Hazard Mapping of the Philippines Using LIDAR (Phil-LIDAR 1)

# LiD/AR Surveys and Flood Mapping of Sicopong River





University of the Philippines Training Center for Applied Geodesy and Photogrammetry University of San Carlos

**APRIL 2017** 

Hazard Mapping of the Philippines Using LIDAR (Phil-LIDAR 1)



© University of the Philippines Diliman and University of San Carlos 2017

Published by the UP Training Center for Applied Geodesy and Photogrammetry (TCAGP) College of Engineering University of the Philippines – Diliman Quezon City 1101 PHILIPPINES

This research project is supported by the Department of Science and Technology (DOST) as part of its Grants-in-Aid Program and is to be cited as:

E. C. Paringit and R. S. Otadoy (eds.) (2017), LiDAR Surveys and Flood Mapping of Sicopong River, Quezon City: University of the Philippines Training Center for Applied Geodesy and Photogrammetry-152pp.

The text of this information may be copied and distributed for research and educational purposes with proper acknowledgement. While every care is taken to ensure the accuracy of this publication, the UP TCAGP disclaims all responsibility and all liability (including without limitation, liability in negligence) and costs which might incur as a result of the materials in this publication being inaccurate or incomplete in any way and for any reason.

For questions/queries regarding this report, contact:

**Dr. Roland Emerito S. Otadoy** Project Leader, Phil-LiDAR 1 Program University of San Carlos Cebu City, Philippines 6000 E-mail: rolandotadoy2012@gmail.com

Enrico C. Paringit, Dr. Eng. Program Leader, Phil-LiDAR 1 Program University of the Philippines Diliman Quezon City, Philippines 1101 E-mail: ecparingit@up.edu.ph

National Library of the Philippines ISBN: 978-621-430-189-8

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

CHAPTER 1: OVERVIEW OF THE PROGRAM AND SICOPONG RIVER	1
1.1 Background of the Phil-LIDAR 1 Program	1
1.2 Overview of the Sicopong River Basin	1
CHAPTER 2: LIDAR DATA ACQUISITION OF THE SICOPONG FLOODPLAIN	3
2.1 Flight Plans	3
2.2 Ground Base Station	5
2.3 Flight Missions	10
2.4. Survey Coverage	10
CHAPTER 3: LIDAR DATA PROCESSING OF THE SICOPONG FLOODPLAIN	12
3.1 Overview of the LIDAR Data Pre-Processing	12
3.2 Transmittal of Acquired LiDAR Data	13
3.3 Trajectory Computation	14
3.4 LiDAR Point Cloud Computation	16
3.5 LiDAR Data Quality Checking	17
3.6 LiDAR Point Cloud Classification and Rasterization	21
3.7 LiDAR Image Processing and Orthophotograph Rectification	23
3.8 DEM Editing and Hydro-Correction	23
3.9 Mosaicking of Blocks	24
3.10 Calibration and Validation of Mosaicked LiDAR Digital Elevation Model	26
3.11 Integration of Bathymetric Data into the LiDAR Digital Terrain Model	29
3.12 Feature Extraction	31
3.12.1 Quality Checking of Digitized Features' Boundary	31
3.12.2 Height Extraction	32
3.12.3 Feature Attribution	32
3.12.4 Final Quality Checking of Extracted Features	33
CHAPTER 4: LIDAR VALIDATION SURVEY AND MEASUREMENTS OF SICOPONG RIVER BASIN	34
4.1 Summary of Activities	34
4.2 Control Survey	35
4.3 Baseline Processing	39
4.4 Network Adjustment	40
4.5 Cross-section and Bridge As-Built Survey, and Water Level Marking	42
4.6 Validation Points Acquisition Survey	52
4.7 Bathymetric Survey	54
CHAPTER 5: FLOOD MODELING AND MAPPING	58
5.1 Data used in Hydrologic Modeling	58
5.1.1 Hydrometry and Rating Curves	58
5.1.2 Precipitation	58
5.1.3 Rating Curve and River Outflow	59
5.2 RIDE Station	61
5.3 HMS Model	62
5.4 Cross-section Data	66
5 5 Elo 2D Model	67
5.6 Results of HMS Calibration	69
5.7 Calculated Outflow hydrographs and Discharge Values for different Rainfall Return Periods	71
5.7 1 Hydrograph using the Bainfall Runoff Model	71
5.7.2 Discharge data using Dr. Horritt's recommended hydrologic method	72
5.8 River Analysis Model Simulation	73
5.9 Flood Hazard and Flow Denth Man	75
5.5 Those the formation of Areas Exposed to Flooding	82
5.10 Flood Validation	
REFERENCES	202
ANNEXES	88 <b>91</b>
	88 91 92
Annex 1 Ontech Technical Specification of the Gemini Sensor	88 91 92
Annex 1. Optech Technical Specification of the Gemini Sensor	88 91 92 92
Annex 1. Optech Technical Specification of the Gemini Sensor Annex 2. NAMRIA Certificates of Reference Points Used Annex 3. Baseline Processing Reports of Control Points used in the UDAR Survey	88 91 92 93 97
Annex 1. Optech Technical Specification of the Gemini Sensor Annex 2. NAMRIA Certificates of Reference Points Used Annex 3. Baseline Processing Reports of Control Points used in the LIDAR Survey Annex 4. The LiDAR Survey Team Composition	88 91 92 93 97 98
Annex 1. Optech Technical Specification of the Gemini Sensor Annex 2. NAMRIA Certificates of Reference Points Used Annex 3. Baseline Processing Reports of Control Points used in the LIDAR Survey Annex 4. The LiDAR Survey Team Composition Annex 5. Data Transfer Sheets	88 91 92 93 97 98 99
Annex 1. Optech Technical Specification of the Gemini Sensor Annex 2. NAMRIA Certificates of Reference Points Used Annex 3. Baseline Processing Reports of Control Points used in the LIDAR Survey Annex 4. The LiDAR Survey Team Composition Annex 5. Data Transfer Sheets	88 91 92 93 97 98 99 01

Annex 7. Flight Status Report	107
Annex 8. Mission Summary Report	114
Annex 9. Sicopong Model Basin Parameters	134
Annex 10. Sicopong Model Reach Parameters	141
Annex 11. Sicopong Flood Validation Data	143
Annex 12. Educational Institutions Affected in Sicopong Floodplain	144
Annex 13. Health Institutions Affected in Sicopong Floodplain	145

## **LIST OF TABLES**

Table 1. Flight planning parameters for Gemini LiDAR system	3
Table 2. Details of the recovered NAMRIA reference point NGE-97 with processed coordi	nates
used as base station for the LiDAR Acquisition.	6
Table 3. Details of the recovered NAMRIA horizontal control point NGE-105 used as base	station _
for the LiDAR acquisition	7
Table 4. Details of the recovered NAMRIA horizontal control point NGE-107 used as base	station
for the LIDAR acquisition	8
Table 5. Details of established ground control point NE-21 used as vertical reference point	it
and established base station for the LIDAR acquisition.	9
Table 5. Ground Control points used during LIDAR data acquisition	10
Table 7. Flight missions for LIDAR data acquisition in Sicopong Floodplain	10
Table 8. Actual parameters used during LIDAR data acquisition	10
Table 9. List of municipalities and cities surveyed during sicopolig Flooupian LiDAR surve	y11
Table 11. List of LiDAR blocks for Sicopong Floodplain	10
Table 12. Siconong classification results in TerraScan	⊥/ ⊃1
Table 12. Sicopolig classification results in ferrascali.	21 22
Table 14. Shift Values of each LiDAR Block of Siconong Eloodalain	25
Table 15. Calibration Statistical Measures	24 20
Table 15. Calibration Statistical Measures	
Table 17. Quality Checking Ratings for Siconong Ruilding Features	
Table 17: Quality Checking Natings for Sicopong Bunding Features.	
Table 10. Total Length of Extracted Roads for Sicopong Floodplain	
Table 10. Number of Extracted Water Bodies for Sicopong Floodplain.	
Table 20. Number of Extracted Water boules for Sicopong Produpant	
Table 22. Baseline Processing Report for Siconong River Static Survey	40
Table 23. Control Point Constraints	40
Table 24 Adjusted Grid Coordinates	41
Table 25. Adjusted Geodetic Coordinates	
Table 26. Reference and control points and its location (Source: NAMRIA, UP-TCAGP)	
Table 27. RIDF values for Dumaguete Point Rain Gauge computed by PAGASA	61
Table 28. Range of calibrated values for Tiabanan Watershed	69
Table 29. Summary of the Efficiency Test of Sicopong HMS Model	70
Table 30. Peak values of the Tiabanan HECHMS Model outflow using the Dumaguete RID	F72
Table 31. Summary of Sicopong river (1) discharge generated in HEC-HMS	72
Table 32. Validation of river discharge estimates	73
Table 33. Municipalities affected in Sicopong Floodplain	75
Table 34. Affected Areas in Bayawan City, Negros Oriental during 5-Year Rainfall Return P	eriod82
Table 35. Affected Areas in Santa Catalina, Negros Oriental during 5-Year Rainfall Return	Period83
Table 36. Affected Areas in Bayawan City, Negros Oriental during 25-Year Rainfall Return	Period84
Table 37. Affected Areas in Santa Catalina, Negros Oriental during 25-Year Rainfall Return	1
Period	
Table 38. Affected Areas in Bayawan City, Negros Oriental during 100-Year Rainfall Return	า
Period	
Table 39. Affected Areas in Santa Catalina, Negros Oriental during 100-Year Rainfall Retur	m
Period	87
Table 40. Area covered by each warning level with respect to the rainfall scenario	88
Table 41. Actual flood vs simulated flood depth of Sicopong River Basin.	90
Table 42. Summary of the Accuracy Assessment in the Sicopong River Basin Survey	90

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 1. N	Map of Sicopong River Basin (in brown)	. 2
Figure 2. F	light plan and base stations used for Sicopong Floodplain	.4
Figure 3 C	SPS set-up over NGE-97 on the SE corner concrete sidewalk of Siconong Bridge	• •
i igure 5. e	n Barangay Suba under the municipality of Siconong (a) and NAMRIA reference point	
r	NGE-97 (b) as recovered by the field team	6
Figure / G	SPS set-up over NGE-105 at top of the bridge wingwall SW of the Bridge main span	.0
rigule 4. C	ar 5 set up over NGL-105 at top of the bruge wing wait 5w of the bruge main span	
	In the left side of the 1st approach confing from Slaton of the way to Sta. Catalina.	
	i në station is located in Barangay Nagbalayen under the municipality of Sta. Catalina	_
(	a) and NAMIRIA reference point NGE-105 (b) as recovered by the field team	. /
Figure 5. G	GPS set-up over NGE-107 on a concrete sidewalk on a bridge at KM. 80+569	
C	over Manalongon River in Barangay Manalongon under the municipality	
C	of Sta. Catalina (a) and NAMRIA reference point NGE-107 (b) as recovered	
k	by the field team	. 8
Figure 6. C	GPS set-up over NE-21 on concrete sidewalk of Camaya-an Bridge about 0.30 meters	
ā	above the ground and 4 meters from the road centerline. The station is located	
C	on barangay Malabogas under the municipality of Sicopong (a) and NAMRIA	
k	penchmark NE-21 (b) as recovered by the field team	.9
Figure 7. A	Actual LiDAR survey coverage for Sicopong Floodplain.	11
Figure 8. S	chematic Diagram for Data Pre-Processing Component	13
Figure 9. S	moothed Performance Metric Parameters of Sicopong Flight 7540G	14
Figure 10.	Solution Status Parameters of Sicopong Flight 7540G	15
Figure 11	Best Estimated Trajectory for Sicopong Floodplain	16
Figure 12	Boundary of the processed LiDAR data over Siconong Floodplain	17
Figure 13	Image of data overlan for Siconong Floodplain	12
Figure 1/	Pulse density man of merged LiDAR data for Siconong Floodplain	10
Figure 14.	Elevation difference man between flight lines for Sicopong Elevation	20
Figure 15.	Quality shacking for Siconong Elight 7540C	20
Figure 10.	Tiles for Ciespans Floodalain (a) and close Frontian results (b) in Terra Coop	20
Figure 17.	Thes for Sicopong Floodplain (a) and classification results (b) in Terrascan.	21
Figure 18.	Point cloud before (a) and after (b) classification	22
Figure 19.	The production of last return DSM (a) and DTM (b), first return DSM (c)	
	and secondary DTM (d) in some portion of Sicopong Floodplain.	22
Figure 20.	Portions in the DTM of Sicopong Floodplain – (a) before and (b) after editing; (c)	
	before and (d) after bridge removal.	23
Figure 21.	Map of Processed LiDAR Data for Sicopong Floodplain	25
Figure 22.	Map of Sicopong Floodplain with validation survey points in green	27
Figure 23.	Correlation plot between calibration survey points and LiDAR data	28
Figure 24.	Correlation plot between validation survey points and LiDAR data.	29
Figure 25.	Map of Sicopong Floodplain with bathymetric survey points shown in blue	30
Figure 26.	QC blocks for Sicopong building features.	31
Figure 27.	Extracted features for Sicopong Floodplain.	33
Figure 28.	Sicopong River Survey Extent	34
Figure 29.	GNSS Network of Sicopong River field survey	36
Figure 30.	GNSS base set up. Trimble <sup>®</sup> SPS 852, at NGE-98 a second-order GCP located on top	
	of a concrete block along Sta. Catalia-Pamplona Provincial Road in Brgy. Caranoche.	
	Sta Catalina Negros Oriental	37
Figure 31	GNSS hase set up Trimble® SPS 882 at NE-107 a second-order GCP located at the	,
inguic 51.	approach of Manalongon Bridge in Brgy Manalongon Sta Catalina Negros Oriental	27
Figure 22	CNSS base set up. Trimble® SDS SEE, at NE 259, a first order DM located on a subject	57
rigure 52.	Gives base set up, Initible - SPS 655, at INE-556, a Inst-order bivi, located off a curvent	20
<b>F</b> ' <b>1 1 1</b>	along Sta. Caalina-Bayawan Koad in Brgy. Obos, Bayawn City, Negros Oriental	38
Figure 33.	GNSS base set up, Trimble® SPS 855, at NGE-94, a GCP used as marker, located	~~
	at the approach of Tiabanan Bridge in Brgy. Bongalonan, Basay, Negros Oriental	38
Figure 34.	GNSS receiver set up, Trimble <sup>®</sup> SPS 882, at UP-SIA, an established control point,	
	located at the approach of Siaton Bridge in Brgy. Caticugan, Siaton, Negros Oriental	39
Figure 35.	Cross-section survey conducted on Amio Bridge in Brgy. Amio, Municipality	
	of Santa Catalina	42
Figure 36.	Cross-section survey conducted on Inobangan Bridge in Brgy. Kabulacan,	
	Municipality of Santa Catalina	43
Figure 37.	Cross-section survey conducted on Amio Spillway in Brgy. Narra, Bayawan City	43
Figure 38.	Location map of Sicopong River cross-section survey	44

Figure 40.	Amio bridge cross-section location map	.45
Figure 41.	Amio cross-section diagram	.45
Figure 42.	Inobangan bridge cross-section location map	.46
Figure 43.	Inobangan cross-section diagram	.46
Figure 44.	Amio spillway cross-section location map	.47
Figure 45.	Amio Spillway Cross-section diagram	.47
Figure 46.	Sicopong Bridge diagram data form	.48
Figure 47.	Amio Bridge diagram data form	.49
Figure 48.	Inobangan Bridge diagram data form	.50
Figure 49.	Water level marking on Inobangan Bridge abutment	.51
Figure 50.	Water level marking on Amio spillway	.51
Figure 51.	Validation points acquisition survey set-up	.52
Figure 52	LiDAR Validation points acquisition survey for Sicopong River Basin	53
Figure 53	Bathymetric survey in Siconong River	.54
Figure 54	Manual hathymetric survey in Siconong River	54
Figure 55	Bathymetric survey of Siconong River	55
Figure 56	Siconong riverhed profile	56
Figure 57	Sicopong riverbed profile	57
Figure 57.	Location man of Siconong HEC-HMS model used for calibration	50
Figure 50.	Cross Section Dist of Siconong Bridge	. JO
Figure 39.	Closs-Section Flot of Sicopong Bridge in Sicopong Diver	. 39
Figure 60.	Rating curve at Sicopong Bridge in Sicopong River	.60
Figure 61.	Rainiali and Outhow data at Sicopolig Bridge used for modeling	.00
Figure 62.	Location of Dumaguete RIDF Station relative to Sicopong River Basin	.61
Figure 63.	Synthetic storm generated for a 24-nr period rainfall for various return periods.	.62
Figure 64.	Soil map of the Sicopong River Basin used for the estimation of the CN parameter	.63
Figure 65.	Land cover map of Tiabanan River Basin used for the estimation of the Curve Number	
	(CN) and the watershed lag parameters of the rainfall-runoff model	.63
Figure 66.	Slope map of Sicopong River Basin	.64
Figure 67.	Stream delineation map of Sicopong River Basin	.65
Figure 68.	HEC-HMS generated Siconong River Basin Model	66
0.000	The Third generated Stopping fiver busin model	.00
Figure 69.	River cross-section of Sicopong River generated through Arcmap HEC GeoRAS tool	.67
Figure 69. Figure 70.	River cross-section of Sicopong River generated through Arcmap HEC GeoRAS tool Screenshot of subcatchment with the computational area to be modeled in FLO-2D	.67
Figure 69. Figure 70.	River cross-section of Sicopong River generated through Arcmap HEC GeoRAS tool Screenshot of subcatchment with the computational area to be modeled in FLO-2D GDS Pro	.67 .68
Figure 69. Figure 70. Figure 71.	River cross-section of Sicopong River generated through Arcmap HEC GeoRAS tool Screenshot of subcatchment with the computational area to be modeled in FLO-2D GDS Pro Outflow Hydrograph of Sicopong produced by the HEC-HMS model	.67 .68
Figure 69. Figure 70. Figure 71.	River cross-section of Sicopong River generated through Arcmap HEC GeoRAS tool Screenshot of subcatchment with the computational area to be modeled in FLO-2D GDS Pro Outflow Hydrograph of Sicopong produced by the HEC-HMS model compared with observed outflow.	.67 .68 .69
Figure 69. Figure 70. Figure 71. Figure 72.	River cross-section of Sicopong River generated through Arcmap HEC GeoRAS tool Screenshot of subcatchment with the computational area to be modeled in FLO-2D GDS Pro Outflow Hydrograph of Sicopong produced by the HEC-HMS model compared with observed outflow Outflow hydrograph at Sicopong Bridge, Sta. Catalina generated using Dumaguete	.67 .68 .69
Figure 69. Figure 70. Figure 71. Figure 72.	River cross-section of Sicopong River generated through Arcmap HEC GeoRAS tool Screenshot of subcatchment with the computational area to be modeled in FLO-2D GDS Pro Outflow Hydrograph of Sicopong produced by the HEC-HMS model compared with observed outflow. Outflow hydrograph at Sicopong Bridge, Sta. Catalina generated using Dumaguete Point RIDF simulated in HEC-HMS	.67 .68 .69 .71
Figure 69. Figure 70. Figure 71. Figure 72. Figure 73.	River cross-section of Sicopong River generated through Arcmap HEC GeoRAS tool Screenshot of subcatchment with the computational area to be modeled in FLO-2D GDS Pro Outflow Hydrograph of Sicopong produced by the HEC-HMS model compared with observed outflow. Outflow hydrograph at Sicopong Bridge, Sta. Catalina generated using Dumaguete Point RIDF simulated in HEC-HMS Sicopong river (1) generated discharge using 5-, 25-, and 100-year Dumaguete	.67 .68 .69 .71
Figure 69. Figure 70. Figure 71. Figure 72. Figure 73.	River cross-section of Sicopong River generated through Arcmap HEC GeoRAS tool Screenshot of subcatchment with the computational area to be modeled in FLO-2D GDS Pro Outflow Hydrograph of Sicopong produced by the HEC-HMS model compared with observed outflow. Outflow hydrograph at Sicopong Bridge, Sta. Catalina generated using Dumaguete Point RIDF simulated in HEC-HMS Sicopong river (1) generated discharge using 5-, 25-, and 100-year Dumaguete rainfall intensity-duration-frequency (RIDF) in HEC-HMS	.67 .68 .69 .71
Figure 69. Figure 70. Figure 71. Figure 72. Figure 73. Figure 74.	River cross-section of Sicopong River generated through Arcmap HEC GeoRAS tool Screenshot of subcatchment with the computational area to be modeled in FLO-2D GDS Pro Outflow Hydrograph of Sicopong produced by the HEC-HMS model compared with observed outflow. Outflow hydrograph at Sicopong Bridge, Sta. Catalina generated using Dumaguete Point RIDF simulated in HEC-HMS Sicopong river (1) generated discharge using 5-, 25-, and 100-year Dumaguete rainfall intensity-duration-frequency (RIDF) in HEC-HMS Sample output of Sicopong RAS Model.	.67 .68 .69 .71 .72 .74
Figure 69. Figure 70. Figure 71. Figure 72. Figure 73. Figure 74. Figure 75.	River cross-section of Sicopong River generated through Arcmap HEC GeoRAS tool Screenshot of subcatchment with the computational area to be modeled in FLO-2D GDS Pro Outflow Hydrograph of Sicopong produced by the HEC-HMS model compared with observed outflow Outflow hydrograph at Sicopong Bridge, Sta. Catalina generated using Dumaguete Point RIDF simulated in HEC-HMS Sicopong river (1) generated discharge using 5-, 25-, and 100-year Dumaguete rainfall intensity-duration-frequency (RIDF) in HEC-HMS Sample output of Sicopong RAS Model 100-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth	.67 .68 .69 .71 .72 .74
Figure 69. Figure 70. Figure 71. Figure 72. Figure 73. Figure 74. Figure 75.	River cross-section of Sicopong River generated through Arcmap HEC GeoRAS tool Screenshot of subcatchment with the computational area to be modeled in FLO-2D GDS Pro Outflow Hydrograph of Sicopong produced by the HEC-HMS model compared with observed outflow. Outflow hydrograph at Sicopong Bridge, Sta. Catalina generated using Dumaguete Point RIDF simulated in HEC-HMS Sicopong river (1) generated discharge using 5-, 25-, and 100-year Dumaguete rainfall intensity-duration-frequency (RIDF) in HEC-HMS. Sample output of Sicopong RAS Model 100-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery.	.67 .68 .69 .71 .72 .74
Figure 69. Figure 70. Figure 71. Figure 72. Figure 73. Figure 74. Figure 75. Figure 76.	River cross-section of Sicopong River generated through Arcmap HEC GeoRAS tool Screenshot of subcatchment with the computational area to be modeled in FLO-2D GDS Pro Outflow Hydrograph of Sicopong produced by the HEC-HMS model compared with observed outflow. Outflow hydrograph at Sicopong Bridge, Sta. Catalina generated using Dumaguete Point RIDF simulated in HEC-HMS Sicopong river (1) generated discharge using 5-, 25-, and 100-year Dumaguete rainfall intensity-duration-frequency (RIDF) in HEC-HMS. Sample output of Sicopong RAS Model 100-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 100-year Flow Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery.	.67 .68 .69 .71 .72 .74 .76 .77
Figure 69. Figure 70. Figure 71. Figure 72. Figure 73. Figure 73. Figure 74. Figure 75. Figure 76. Figure 77.	River cross-section of Sicopong River generated through Arcmap HEC GeoRAS tool Screenshot of subcatchment with the computational area to be modeled in FLO-2D GDS Pro Outflow Hydrograph of Sicopong produced by the HEC-HMS model compared with observed outflow. Outflow hydrograph at Sicopong Bridge, Sta. Catalina generated using Dumaguete Point RIDF simulated in HEC-HMS Sicopong river (1) generated discharge using 5-, 25-, and 100-year Dumaguete rainfall intensity-duration-frequency (RIDF) in HEC-HMS Sample output of Sicopong RAS Model 100-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery. 25-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery.	.67 .68 .69 .71 .72 .74 .76 .77
Figure 69. Figure 70. Figure 71. Figure 72. Figure 73. Figure 73. Figure 74. Figure 75. Figure 76. Figure 77. Figure 78.	River cross-section of Sicopong River generated through Arcmap HEC GeoRAS tool Screenshot of subcatchment with the computational area to be modeled in FLO-2D GDS Pro Outflow Hydrograph of Sicopong produced by the HEC-HMS model compared with observed outflow. Outflow hydrograph at Sicopong Bridge, Sta. Catalina generated using Dumaguete Point RIDF simulated in HEC-HMS Sicopong river (1) generated discharge using 5-, 25-, and 100-year Dumaguete rainfall intensity-duration-frequency (RIDF) in HEC-HMS Sample output of Sicopong RAS Model. 100-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery. 25-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery.	.68 .69 .71 .72 .74 .76 .77 .78 .79
Figure 69. Figure 70. Figure 71. Figure 72. Figure 73. Figure 73. Figure 74. Figure 75. Figure 76. Figure 76. Figure 78. Figure 79.	River cross-section of Sicopong River generated through Arcmap HEC GeoRAS tool Screenshot of subcatchment with the computational area to be modeled in FLO-2D GDS Pro Outflow Hydrograph of Sicopong produced by the HEC-HMS model compared with observed outflow. Outflow hydrograph at Sicopong Bridge, Sta. Catalina generated using Dumaguete Point RIDF simulated in HEC-HMS Sicopong river (1) generated discharge using 5-, 25-, and 100-year Dumaguete rainfall intensity-duration-frequency (RIDF) in HEC-HMS Sample output of Sicopong RAS Model 100-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 25-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 25-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 25-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 5-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 5-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 5-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery	.68 .69 .71 .72 .74 .76 .77 .78 .79 .80
Figure 69. Figure 70. Figure 71. Figure 72. Figure 73. Figure 73. Figure 74. Figure 75. Figure 76. Figure 76. Figure 77. Figure 78. Figure 79. Figure 80.	River cross-section of Sicopong River generated through Arcmap HEC GeoRAS tool Screenshot of subcatchment with the computational area to be modeled in FLO-2D GDS Pro Outflow Hydrograph of Sicopong produced by the HEC-HMS model compared with observed outflow Outflow hydrograph at Sicopong Bridge, Sta. Catalina generated using Dumaguete Point RIDF simulated in HEC-HMS Sicopong river (1) generated discharge using 5-, 25-, and 100-year Dumaguete rainfall intensity-duration-frequency (RIDF) in HEC-HMS Sample output of Sicopong RAS Model 100-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 25-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 5-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 5-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 5-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 5-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 5-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 5-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 5-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery	.68 .69 .71 .72 .74 .77 .78 .79 .80 .81
Figure 69. Figure 69. Figure 70. Figure 71. Figure 72. Figure 73. Figure 73. Figure 74. Figure 75. Figure 75. Figure 76. Figure 76. Figure 77. Figure 78. Figure 79. Figure 80. Figure 81	River cross-section of Sicopong River generated through Arcmap HEC GeoRAS tool Screenshot of subcatchment with the computational area to be modeled in FLO-2D GDS Pro Outflow Hydrograph of Sicopong produced by the HEC-HMS model compared with observed outflow Outflow hydrograph at Sicopong Bridge, Sta. Catalina generated using Dumaguete Point RIDF simulated in HEC-HMS Sicopong river (1) generated discharge using 5-, 25-, and 100-year Dumaguete rainfall intensity-duration-frequency (RIDF) in HEC-HMS. Sample output of Sicopong RAS Model 100-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 25-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 25-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery  5-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery  5-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery  5-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery  5-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 5-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 5-year Flood Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 5-year Flood Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 5-year Flood Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 5-year Flood Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 6-year Flood Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 6-year Flood Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 6-year Flood Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 6-year Flood Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery	.68 .69 .71 .72 .74 .76 .77 .78 .79 .80 .81
Figure 69. Figure 70. Figure 71. Figure 72. Figure 73. Figure 73. Figure 74. Figure 74. Figure 75. Figure 75. Figure 76. Figure 76. Figure 77. Figure 78. Figure 79. Figure 80. Figure 81. Figure 82	River cross-section of Sicopong River generated through Arcmap HEC GeoRAS tool Screenshot of subcatchment with the computational area to be modeled in FLO-2D GDS Pro Outflow Hydrograph of Sicopong produced by the HEC-HMS model compared with observed outflow. Outflow hydrograph at Sicopong Bridge, Sta. Catalina generated using Dumaguete Point RIDF simulated in HEC-HMS Sicopong river (1) generated discharge using 5-, 25-, and 100-year Dumaguete rainfall intensity-duration-frequency (RIDF) in HEC-HMS. Sample output of Sicopong RAS Model 100-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 25-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 25-year Flow Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 25-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 25-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 25-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 25-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 25-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 5-year Flood Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 5-year Flood Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 5-year Flood Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 5-year Flood Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 6-year Flood Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 6-year Flood Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 6-year Flood Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 6-year Flood Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 6-year Flood Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 6-year Flood Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery	.68 .69 .71 .72 .74 .76 .77 .78 .80 .81 .82
Figure 69. Figure 70. Figure 71. Figure 72. Figure 73. Figure 73. Figure 74. Figure 75. Figure 75. Figure 76. Figure 77. Figure 78. Figure 79. Figure 80. Figure 81. Figure 82.	River cross-section of Sicopong River generated through Arcmap HEC GeoRAS tool Screenshot of subcatchment with the computational area to be modeled in FLO-2D GDS Pro Outflow Hydrograph of Sicopong produced by the HEC-HMS model compared with observed outflow. Outflow hydrograph at Sicopong Bridge, Sta. Catalina generated using Dumaguete Point RIDF simulated in HEC-HMS Sicopong river (1) generated discharge using 5-, 25-, and 100-year Dumaguete rainfall intensity-duration-frequency (RIDF) in HEC-HMS. Sample output of Sicopong RAS Model 100-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 25-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 25-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 25-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 25-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 25-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 25-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 25-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 35-year Flood Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 35-year Flood Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 35-year Flood Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 35-year Flood Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 35-year Flood Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 35-year Flood Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 35-year Flood Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 35-year Flood Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 35-year Flood Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 35-year Flood Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 35-year Flood Depth Map for	.67 .68 .69 .71 .72 .74 .77 .78 .79 .80 .81 .82
Figure 69. Figure 70. Figure 71. Figure 72. Figure 73. Figure 73. Figure 74. Figure 74. Figure 75. Figure 75. Figure 76. Figure 77. Figure 77. Figure 78. Figure 79. Figure 80. Figure 81. Figure 82.	River cross-section of Sicopong River generated through Arcmap HEC GeoRAS tool Screenshot of subcatchment with the computational area to be modeled in FLO-2D GDS Pro	.67 .68 .69 .71 .72 .74 .77 .78 .80 .81 .82 .83
Figure 69. Figure 69. Figure 70. Figure 71. Figure 72. Figure 73. Figure 73. Figure 74. Figure 74. Figure 75. Figure 75. Figure 76. Figure 77. Figure 77. Figure 78. Figure 79. Figure 80. Figure 81. Figure 83.	River cross-section of Sicopong River generated through Arcmap HEC GeoRAS tool Screenshot of subcatchment with the computational area to be modeled in FLO-2D GDS Pro	.67 .68 .69 .71 .72 .74 .76 .77 .78 .79 .80 .81 .82 .83
Figure 69. Figure 69. Figure 70. Figure 71. Figure 72. Figure 73. Figure 73. Figure 74. Figure 74. Figure 75. Figure 75. Figure 76. Figure 76. Figure 77. Figure 78. Figure 78. Figure 80. Figure 81. Figure 83. Figure 83.	River cross-section of Sicopong River generated through Arcmap HEC GeoRAS tool Screenshot of subcatchment with the computational area to be modeled in FLO-2D GDS Pro	.67 .68 .69 .71 .72 .74 .76 .77 .78 .79 .80 .81 .82 .83 .84
Figure 69. Figure 69. Figure 70. Figure 71. Figure 72. Figure 73. Figure 73. Figure 74. Figure 74. Figure 75. Figure 75. Figure 76. Figure 76. Figure 77. Figure 78. Figure 78. Figure 80. Figure 81. Figure 83. Figure 84.	River cross-section of Sicopong River generated through Arcmap HEC GeoRAS tool Screenshot of subcatchment with the computational area to be modeled in FLO-2D GDS Pro. Outflow Hydrograph of Sicopong produced by the HEC-HMS model compared with observed outflow. Outflow hydrograph at Sicopong Bridge, Sta. Catalina generated using Dumaguete Point RIDF simulated in HEC-HMS Sicopong river (1) generated discharge using 5-, 25-, and 100-year Dumaguete rainfall intensity-duration-frequency (RIDF) in HEC-HMS Sample output of Sicopong RAS Model 100-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery. 25-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 25-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery S-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery S-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery S-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery S-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery S-year Flood Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery  Affected Areas in Bayawan City, Negros Oriental during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Affected Areas in Bayawan City, Negros Oriental during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period Affected Areas in Santa Catalina, Negros Oriental during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period Affected Areas in Santa Catalina, Negros Oriental during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period	.67 .68 .69 .71 .72 .74 .76 .77 .78 .79 .80 .81 .82 .83 .84
Figure 69. Figure 69. Figure 70. Figure 71. Figure 72. Figure 73. Figure 73. Figure 74. Figure 74. Figure 75. Figure 75. Figure 76. Figure 76. Figure 76. Figure 77. Figure 78. Figure 78. Figure 80. Figure 81. Figure 82. Figure 83.	River cross-section of Sicopong River generated through Arcmap HEC GeoRAS tool Screenshot of subcatchment with the computational area to be modeled in FLO-2D GDS Pro Outflow Hydrograph of Sicopong produced by the HEC-HMS model compared with observed outflow Outflow hydrograph at Sicopong Bridge, Sta. Catalina generated using Dumaguete Point RIDF simulated in HEC-HMS. Sicopong river (1) generated discharge using 5-, 25-, and 100-year Dumaguete rainfall intensity-duration-frequency (RIDF) in HEC-HMS. Sample output of Sicopong RAS Model 100-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 25-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 5-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 5-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 5-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 5-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 5-year Flood Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 5-year Flood Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 5-year Flood Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 4ffected Areas in Bayawan City, Negros Oriental during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Affected Areas in Bayawan City, Negros Oriental during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period Affected Areas in Santa Catalina, Negros Oriental during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period Affected Areas in Santa Catalina, Negros Oriental during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period Affected Areas in Santa Catalina, Negros Oriental during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period Affected Areas in Santa Catalina, Negros Oriental during 25-Year Rainfall Return	.67 .68 .69 .71 .72 .74 .77 .78 .77 .78 .79 .80 .81 .82 .83 .84 .85
Figure 69. Figure 69. Figure 70. Figure 71. Figure 72. Figure 73. Figure 73. Figure 74. Figure 74. Figure 75. Figure 75. Figure 76. Figure 76. Figure 77. Figure 78. Figure 78. Figure 80. Figure 81. Figure 81. Figure 83. Figure 84. Figure 85.	River cross-section of Sicopong River generated through Arcmap HEC GeoRAS tool Screenshot of subcatchment with the computational area to be modeled in FLO-2D GDS Pro Outflow Hydrograph of Sicopong produced by the HEC-HMS model compared with observed outflow Outflow hydrograph at Sicopong Bridge, Sta. Catalina generated using Dumaguete Point RIDF simulated in HEC-HMS Sicopong river (1) generated discharge using 5-, 25-, and 100-year Dumaguete rainfall intensity-duration-frequency (RIDF) in HEC-HMS. Sample output of Sicopong RAS Model 100-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 25-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 25-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 5-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 5-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 5-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 5-year Flood Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 5-year Flood Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 5-year Flood Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery Affected Areas in Bayawan City, Negros Oriental during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period Affected Areas in Santa Catalina, Negros Oriental during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period Affected Areas in Santa Catalina, Negros Oriental during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period Affected Areas in Bayawan City, Negros Oriental during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period Affected Areas in Bayawan City, Negros Oriental during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period	.67 .68 .69 .71 .72 .74 .77 .77 .77 .78 .79 .80 .81 .82 .83 .84 .85
Figure 69. Figure 69. Figure 70. Figure 71. Figure 72. Figure 73. Figure 73. Figure 74. Figure 74. Figure 75. Figure 75. Figure 76. Figure 76. Figure 76. Figure 77. Figure 77. Figure 78. Figure 79. Figure 80. Figure 81. Figure 82. Figure 83. Figure 84.	River cross-section of Sicopong River generated through Arcmap HEC GeoRAS tool Screenshot of subcatchment with the computational area to be modeled in FLO-2D GDS Pro Outflow Hydrograph of Sicopong produced by the HEC-HMS model compared with observed outflow. Outflow hydrograph at Sicopong Bridge, Sta. Catalina generated using Dumaguete Point RIDF simulated in HEC-HMS Sicopong river (1) generated discharge using 5-, 25-, and 100-year Dumaguete rainfall intensity-duration-frequency (RIDF) in HEC-HMS. Sample output of Sicopong RAS Model. 100-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 100-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 25-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 5-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 5-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 5-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 4. Sevear Flood Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 5-year Flood Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 5-year Flood Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 4. Affected Areas in Bayawan City, Negros Oriental during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period 4. Affected Areas in Santa Catalina, Negros Oriental during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period 4. Affected Areas in Bayawan City, Negros Oriental during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period 4. Affected Areas in Bayawan City, Negros Oriental during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period 4. Affected Areas in Bayawan City, Negros Oriental during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period 4. Affected Areas in Bayawan City, Negros Oriental during 100-Year Rainfall Return	.67 .68 .69 .71 .72 .74 .77 .78 .77 .78 .80 .81 .82 .83 .84 .85 .86
Figure 69. Figure 69. Figure 70. Figure 71. Figure 72. Figure 73. Figure 73. Figure 74. Figure 74. Figure 75. Figure 75. Figure 76. Figure 77. Figure 77. Figure 78. Figure 78. Figure 80. Figure 81. Figure 83. Figure 83. Figure 84. Figure 85. Figure 86.	River cross-section of Sicopong River generated through Arcmap HEC GeoRAS tool Screenshot of subcatchment with the computational area to be modeled in FLO-2D GDS Pro Outflow Hydrograph of Sicopong produced by the HEC-HMS model compared with observed outflow. Outflow hydrograph at Sicopong Bridge, Sta. Catalina generated using Dumaguete Point RIDF simulated in HEC-HMS Sicopong river (1) generated discharge using 5-, 25-, and 100-year Dumaguete rainfall intensity-duration-frequency (RIDF) in HEC-HMS. Sample output of Sicopong RAS Model 100-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 100-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 5-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 5-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 5-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 5-year Flood Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 5-year Flood Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery 4ffected Areas in Bayawan City, Negros Oriental during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period	.67 .68 .69 .71 .72 .74 .77 .78 .77 .78 .80 .81 .82 .83 .84 .85 .86 .86
Figure 69. Figure 69. Figure 70. Figure 71. Figure 72. Figure 73. Figure 73. Figure 74. Figure 74. Figure 75. Figure 75. Figure 76. Figure 77. Figure 77. Figure 78. Figure 78. Figure 80. Figure 81. Figure 82. Figure 83. Figure 83. Figure 84.	River cross-section of Sicopong River generated through Arcmap HEC GeoRAS tool Screenshot of subcatchment with the computational area to be modeled in FLO-2D GDS Pro Outflow Hydrograph of Sicopong produced by the HEC-HMS model compared with observed outflow. Outflow hydrograph at Sicopong Bridge, Sta. Catalina generated using Dumaguete Point RIDF simulated in HEC-HMS Sicopong river (1) generated discharge using 5-, 25-, and 100-year Dumaguete rainfall intensity-duration-frequency (RIDF) in HEC-HMS. Sample output of Sicopong RAS Model 100-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery. 25-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery. 25-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery. 5-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery. 5-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery. 5-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery. 4ffected Areas in Bayawan City, Negros Oriental during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period. Affected Areas in Santa Catalina, Negros Oriental during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period. 4ffected Areas in Santa Catalina, Negros Oriental during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period. 4ffected Areas in Santa Catalina, Negros Oriental during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period. 4ffected Areas in Santa Catalina, Negros Oriental during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period. 4ffected Areas in Santa Catalina, Negros Oriental during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period. 4ffected Areas in Santa Catalina, Negros Oriental during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period. 4ffected Areas in Santa Catalina, Negros Oriental during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period.	.67 .68 .69 .71 .72 .74 .77 .78 .80 .81 .82 .83 .84 .85 .84 .85
Figure 69. Figure 69. Figure 70. Figure 71. Figure 72. Figure 73. Figure 73. Figure 74. Figure 74. Figure 75. Figure 75. Figure 76. Figure 77. Figure 78. Figure 78. Figure 80. Figure 81. Figure 83. Figure 83. Figure 84. Figure 85. Figure 86. Figure 87.	River cross-section of Sicopong River generated through Arcmap HEC GeoRAS tool Screenshot of subcatchment with the computational area to be modeled in FLO-2D GDS Pro	.67 .68 .69 .71 .72 .74 .76 .77 .78 .79 .80 .81 .82 .83 .84 .85 .84 .85 .86

# LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

AAC	Asian Aerospace Corporation	
Ab	abutment	
ALTM	Airborne LiDAR Terrain Mapper	
ARG	automatic rain gauge	
ATQ	Antique	
AWLS	Automated Water Level Sensor	
BA	Bridge Approach	
BM	benchmark	
CAD	Computer-Aided Design	
CN	Curve Number	
CSRS	Chief Science Research Specialist	
DAC	Data Acquisition Component	
DEM	Digital Elevation Model	
DENR	Department of Environment and Natural Resources	
DOST	Department of Science and Technology	
DPPC	Data Pre-Processing Component	
DREAM	Disaster Risk and Exposure Assessment fo Mitigation [Program]	
DRRM	Disaster Risk Reduction and Management	
DSM	Digital Surface Model	
DTM	Digital Terrain Model	
DVBC	Data Validation and Bathymetry Component	
FMC	Flood Modeling Component	
FOV	Field of View	
GiA	Grants-in-Aid	
GCP	Ground Control Point	
GNSS	Global Navigation Satellite System	
GPS	Global Positioning System	
HEC-HMS	Hydrologic Engineering Center - Hydrologic Modeling System	
HEC-RAS	Hydrologic Engineering Center - River Analysis System	
HC	High Chord	
IDW	Inverse Distance Weighted [interpolation method]	

IMU	Inertial Measurement Unit		
kts	knots		
LAS	LiDAR Data Exchange File format		
LC	Low Chord		
LGU	local government unit		
Lidar	Light Detection and Ranging		
LMS	LiDAR Mapping Suite		
m AGL	meters Above Ground Level		
MMS	Mobile Mapping Suite		
MSL	mean sea level		
NSTC	Northern Subtropical Convergence		
PAF	Philippine Air Force		
PAGASA	Philippine Atmospheric Geophysical and Astronomical Services Administration		
PDOP	Positional Dilution of Precision		
РРК	Post-Processed Kinematic [technique]		
PRF	Pulse Repetition Frequency		
PTM	Philippine Transverse Mercator		
QC	Quality Check		
QT	Quick Terrain [Modeler]		
RA	Research Associate		
RIDF	Rainfall-Intensity-Duration-Frequency		
RMSE	Root Mean Square Error		
SAR	Synthetic Aperture Radar		
SCS	Soil Conservation Service		
SRTM	Shuttle Radar Topography Mission		
SRS	Science Research Specialist		
SSG	Special Service Group		
TBC	Thermal Barrier Coatings		
UP-TCAGP	University of the Philippines – Training Center for Applied Geodesy and Photogrammetry		
USC	University of San Carlos		
UTM	Universal Transverse Mercator		
WGS	World Geodetic System		

## CHAPTER 1: OVERVIEW OF THE PROGRAM AND SICOPONG RIVER

Enrico C. Paringit, Dr. Eng., Dr. Roland Otadoy, and Engr. Aure Flo Oraya

#### 1.1 Background of the Phil-LIDAR 1 Program

The University of the Philippines Training Center for Applied Geodesy and Photogrammetry (UP-TCAGP) launched a research program entitled "Nationwide Hazard Mapping using LiDAR" or Phil-LiDAR 1, supported by the Department of Science and Technology (DOST) Grants-in-Aid (GiA) Program. The program was primarily aimed at acquiring a national elevation and resource dataset at sufficient resolution to produce information necessary to support the different phases of disaster management. Particularly, it targeted to operationalize the development of flood hazard models that would produce updated and detailed flood hazard maps for the major river systems in the country.

Also, the program was aimed at producing an up-to-date and detailed national elevation dataset suitable for 1:5,000 scale mapping, with 50 cm and 20 cm horizontal and vertical accuracies, respectively. These accuracies were achieved through the use of the state-of-the-art Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) airborne technology procured by the project through DOST. The methods applied in this report are thoroughly described in a separate publication entitled "FLOOD MAPPING OF RIVERS IN THE PHILIPPINES USING AIRBORNE LIDAR: METHODS (Paringit, et. al. 2017) available separately.

The implementing partner university for the Phil-LiDAR 1 Program is the University of San Carlos (USC). USC is in charge of processing LiDAR data and conducting data validation reconnaissance, cross section, bathymetric survey, validation, river flow measurements, flood height and extent data gathering, flood modeling, and flood map generation for the 17 river basins in the Central Visayas Region. The university is located in Cebu City in the province of Cebu.

#### 1.2 Overview of the Sicopong River Basin

The Sicopong River Basin covers the municipalities of Pamplona, Santa Catalina, Tanjay City and Bayawan City Negros Oriental. The DENR River Basin Control Office identified the basin to have a drainage area of 308 km2 and an estimated 185 million cubic meter annual run-off (RBCO, 2015).

Its main stem, the Sicopong River, is located in the Province of Negros Oriental and passes along Bayawan City and the Municipality of Santa Catalina. Its head waters covers Tanjay City and Pamplona. The downstream part of the river acts as municipal boundary to Bayawan City and Sta Catalina. The Sicopong River is part of the seventeen (17) river systems in Central Visayas.

With regards to population, there is a total of 35,285 people residing within the immediate vicinity of the river, distributed among five (5) barangays in Bayawan City, namely: Narra, Cansumalig, San Isidro, Maninihon, and Villareal, and three (3) barangays in the Municipality of Santa Catalina, namely: Amio, Obat, and Caranoche (NSO, 2015). Sta Catalina is a 1st income class municipality with a population of 73,306 based on the 2010 census. Its topography is predominantly rolling hills, flat and steep terrain. Its industry is on agro-tourism and fishing. Bayawan City is a 2nd class component city with a population of 117,900 based on the 2015 census. The urban area is about 2.3% of the city's area and is the location of the main institutional, commercial and central business district. Industry in its sub-urban and rural areas are agricultural and agro-industrial. For the other communities within the river basin, as well as in the larger area of Negros Oriental, agriculture is the primary source of livelihood wherein sugarcane, corn, and coconut are the principal produce (Islands Web, 2015).

Hazard Mapping of the Philippines Using LIDAR (Phil-LIDAR 1)



Figure 1. Map of Sicopong River Basin (in brown)

The weather in the area is classified under Type I weather in the Corona climate classification, wherein it has two pronounced seasons. It is dry from November to April and wet during the rest of the year. During the wet season, there is a large probability that typhoons pass through the Sicoping River Basin.

In fact, last December 2011, Typhoon Sendong internationally known as Washi brought massive property damages including 37 casualties and 200 injured. The Municipalities of Sibulan, Valencia, Pamplona, San Jose, Bacong, Amlan, Siaton, Dauin, San Jose and Tanjay City were the most affected during the event (The Negros Chronicle, 2011).

# CHAPTER 2: LIDAR DATA ACQUISITION OF THE SICOPONG FLOODPLAIN

Engr. Louie P. Balicanta, Engr. Christopher Cruz, Lovely Gracia Acuña, Engr. Gerome Hipolito, For. Ma. Verlina Tonga, and Jasmine Alviar

The methods applied in this chapter were based on the DREAM methods manual (Sarmiento, et. al., 2014) and further enhanced and updated in Paringit, et. al. (2017).

### 2.1 Flight Plans

Plans were made to acquire LiDAR data within the delineated priority area for Sicopong Floodplain in Negros Oriental province. These missions were planned for 10 lines and ran for at most four and a half (4.5) hours including take-off, landing and turning time. The flight planning parameters for the LiDAR system are found in Table 1. Figure 2 shows the flight plans for Sicopong floodplain survey.

Block Name	Flying Height (m AGL)	Overlap (%)	Field of View (θ)	Pulse Repetition Frequency (PRF) (kHz)	Scan Frequency (Hz)	Average Speed (kts)	Average Turn Time (Minutes)
BLK 53I	1000	30	40	100	50	130	5
BLK 53J	1000	30	40	100	50	130	5
BLK 53K	1000	30	40	100	50	130	5
BLK55A	1000	30	40	100	50	130	5

Table 1. Flight planning parameters for Gemini LiDAR system.



Figure 2. Flight plan and base stations used for Sicopong Floodplain.

### 2.2 Ground Base Stations

The project team was able to recover three (3) NAMRIA horizontal ground control points of second (2nd) order accuracy, NGE-97, NGE-105 and NGE-107. One (1) NAMRIA benchmark was recovered, NE-21 which is of second (2nd) order accuracy. The benchmark was used as vertical reference point and was also established as ground control point. The certification for the base station is found in Annex 2 while the baseline processing reports for established ground control points are found in Annex 3. These were used as base stations during flight operations for the entire duration of the survey (September 20 – October 15, 2014) especially on the days that flight missions were conducted. Base stations were observed using dual frequency GPS receivers: TRIMBLE SPS 882 and SPS 985. Flight plans and location of base stations used during the aerial LiDAR acquisition in Sicopong floodplain are shown in Figure 2. The list of LiDAR data acquisition team members are found in Annex 4.

Figure 3 to Figure 6 show the recovered NAMRIA reference points within the area. In addition, Table 2 to Table 5 show the details about the following NAMRIA control stations and established points while Table 6 shows the list of all ground control points occupied during the acquisition with the corresponding dates of utilization.



Figure 3. GPS set-up over NGE-97 on the SE corner concrete sidewalk of Sicopong Bridge in Barangay Suba under the municipality of Sicopong (a) and NAMRIA reference point NGE-97 (b) as recovered by the field team.

Table 2. Details of the recovered NAMRIA reference point NGE-97	with processed coordinates
used as base station for the LiDAR Acquisit	tion.

Station Name	NGE-97		
Order of Accuracy	2 <sup>n</sup>	<sup>d</sup> Order	
Relative Error (horizontal positioning)	1 in 50,000		
Geographic Coordinates, Philippine Reference of 1992 Datum (PRS 92)	Latitude Longitude Ellipsoidal Height	9°22'10.68255" North 122°48'1.35582" East 9.65300 meters	
Grid Coordinates, Philippine Transverse Mercator Zone 5 (PTM Zone 5 PRS 92)	Easting Northing	478073.348 meters 1035659.36 meters	
Geographic Coordinates, World Geodetic System 1984 Datum (WGS 84)	Latitude Longitude Ellipsoidal Height	9°22'6.70304" North 122°48'6.69563" East 70.79700 meters	
Grid Coordinates, Universal Transverse Mercator Zone 52 North (UTM 52N PRS 92)	Easting Northing	478081.02 meters 1035659.36 meters	



(a)

Figure 4. GPS set-up over NGE-105 at top of the bridge wingwall SW of the Bridge main span on the left side of the 1st approach coming from Siaton on the way to Sta. Catalina. The station is located in Barangay Nagbalayen under the municipality of Sta. Catalina (a) and NAMRIA reference point NGE-105 (b) as recovered by the field team.

Table 3. Details of the recovered NAMRIA horizontal control point NGE-105 used as base station for the LiDAR acquisition.

Station Name	NGE-105		
Order of Accuracy	3"	dOrder	
Relative Error (horizontal positioning)	1 in 20,000		
Geographic Coordinates, Philippine Reference of 1992 Datum (PRS 92)	Latitude Longitude Ellipsoidal Height	9°15′23.79985″ 122°52′24.36983″ 8.89200 m	
Grid Coordinates, Philippine Transverse Mercator Zone 5 (PTM Zone 5 PRS 92)	Easting Northing	486093.752 m 1023160.66 m	
Geographic Coordinates, World Geodetic System 1984 Datum (WGS 84)	Latitude Longitude Ellipsoidal Height	9°15′19.85595″ 122°52′29.71925″ 70.46200m	
Grid Coordinates, Universal Transverse Mercator Zone 52 North (UTM 52N PRS 92)	Easting Northing	486098.62 m 1023160.66 m	



Figure 5. GPS set-up over NGE-107 on a concrete sidewalk on a bridge at KM. 80+569 over Manalongon River in Barangay Manalongon under the municipality of Sta. Catalina (a) and NAMRIA reference point NGE-107 (b) as recovered by the field team.

Table 4. Details of the recovered NAMRIA horizontal control point NGE-107 used as base station for the LiDAR acquisition.

Station Name	NGE-107		
Order of Accuracy	2 <sup>n</sup>	<sup>d</sup> Order	
Relative Error (horizontal positioning)	1 ir	ו 50,000	
Geographic Coordinates, Philippine Reference of 1992 Datum (PRS 92)	Latitude Longitude Ellipsoidal Height	9°13′23.69730″ North 122°52′53.67884″ East 8.08400 meters	
Grid Coordinates, Philippine Transverse Mercator Zone 5 (PTM Zone 5 PRS 92)	Easting Northing	486987.067 meters 1019829.085 meters	
Geographic Coordinates, World Geodetic System 1984 Datum (WGS 84)	Latitude Longitude Ellipsoidal Height	9°13'19.76274" North 122°52'59.03119" East 69.74600 meters	
Grid Coordinates, Universal Transverse Mercator Zone 52 North (UTM 52N PRS 92)	Easting Northing	486991.62 meters 1019472.13 meters	



Figure 6. GPS set-up over NE-21 on concrete sidewalk of Camaya-an Bridge about 0.30 meters above the ground and 4 meters from the road centerline. The station is located on barangay Malabogas under the municipality of Sicopong (a) and NAMRIA benchmark NE-21 (b) as recovered by the field team.

Table 5. Details of established ground control point NE-21 used as vertical reference point and established base station for the LiDAR acquisition.

Station Name	NE-21		
Order of Accuracy	2 <sup>n</sup>	<sup>d</sup> Order	
Elevation	5	.4216	
Relative Error (horizontal positioning)	1 ir	ו 50,000	
Geographic Coordinates, Philippine Reference of 1992 Datum (PRS 92)	Latitude Longitude Ellipsoidal Height	9°22′18.89002″ North 122°45′39.02590″ East 7.040 meters	
Geographic Coordinates, World Geodetic System 1984 Datum (WGS 84)	Latitude Longitude Ellipsoidal Height	9°22'14.90643" North 122°45'44.36578" East 68.081 meters	
Grid Coordinates, Universal Transverse Mercator Zone 52 North (UTM 52N PRS 92)	Easting Northing	473740.044 meters 1035914.112 meters	

Date Surveyed	Flight Number	Mission Name	Ground Control Points
30-Sep-14	7526G	2BLK53O55A273A	NGE-105, NGE-107
7-Oct-14	7540G	2BLK55AS53KS280A	NGE-105, NGE-107
17-Oct-14	7560G	2BLK55A290A	NGE-105, NGE-107
18-Oct-14	7562G	2BLK53I291A	NE-21, NGE-97
20-Oct-14	7566G	2BLK53JK293A	NE-21, NGE-97
21-Oct-14	7568G	2BLK53KS294A	NE-21, NGE-97

### 2.3 Flight Missions

Six (6) missions were conducted to complete the LiDAR data acquisition in Sicopong floodplain, for a total of twenty one hours and eight minutes (21+08) of flying time for RP-C9322. All missions were acquired using the Gemini LiDAR system. Table 7 shows the total area of actual coverage and the corresponding flying hours per mission, while Table 8 presents the actual parameters used during the LiDAR data acquisition.

Table 7. Flight missions for LiDAR data acquisition in Sicopong Floodplain.

Date	Flight	Flight	Surveyed	Area Surveyed	Area Surveyed Outside	No. of Images (Frames)	Flyi Hoi	ing urs
Surveyed	Number	Plan Area (km2)	Area (km2)	Floodplain (km2)	the Floodplain (km2)		Hr	Min
30-Sep-14	7526G	263.24	65.53	17.94	47.59	-	2	35
7-0ct-14	7540G	381.81	107.06	29.36	77.70	-	3	29
17-Oct-14	7560G	143.39	164.21	0.00	164.21	-	3	47
18-Oct-14	7562G	125.11	162.44	1.62	160.82	-	4	11
20-Oct-14	7566G	238.42	156.75	11.39	145.36	-	3	35
21-0ct-14	7568G	381.81	171.88	59.76	112.12	-	4	11
TOTA	L	1533.78	827.87	120.07	707.80	-	21	8

Table 8. Actual parameters used during LiDAR data acquisition.

Flight Number	Flying Height (m AGL)	Overlap (%)	FOV (θ)	PRF (KHz)	Scan Frequency (Hz)	Average Speed (kts)	Average Turn Time (Minutes)
7526G	1000	30	40	100	50	130	5
7540G	1100	30	40	100	50	130	5
7560G	1000	30	40	100	50	130	5
7562G	1000	30	40	100	50	130	5
7566G	1000	30	40	100	50	130	5
7568G	1100	30	40	100	50	130	5

### 2.4 Survey Coverage

Sicopong Floodplain is located in the province of Negros Oriental with majority of the floodplain situated within the city of Bayawan. The list of municipalities and cities surveyed, with at least one (1) square kilometer coverage, is shown in Table 9. The actual coverage of the LiDAR acquisition for Sicopong floodplain is presented in Figure 7.

Province	Municipality/City	Area of Municipality/City (km2)	Total Area Surveyed (km2)	Percentage of Area Surveyed
	Bayawan City	683.21	335.85	49%
Negros Oriental	Santa Catalina	542.62	186.27	34%
	Siaton	312.75	41.16	13%
	Pamplona	215.09	10.50	5%
Total		1753.67	573.78	32.72%

Table 9. List of municipalities and cities surveyed during Sicopong Floodplain LiDAR survey.



Figure 7. Actual LiDAR survey coverage for Sicopong Floodplain.

# CHAPTER 3: LIDAR DATA PROCESSING OF THE SICOPONG FLOODPLAIN

Engr. Ma. Rosario Concepcion O. Ang, Engr. John Louie D. Fabila, Engr. Sarah Jane D. Samalburo , Engr. Joida F. Prieto , Ailyn G. Biñas , Engr. Jennifer B. Saguran, Engr. Monalyne C. Rabino, Engr. Justine Y. Francisco , Engr. Ma. Joanne I. Balaga, and Engr. Erica Erin E. Elazegui

The methods applied in this chapter were based on the DREAM methods manual (Ang, et. al., 2014) and further enhanced and updated in Paringit, et. al. (2017).

### 3.1 Overview of the LIDAR Data Pre-Processing

The data transmitted by the Data Acquisition Component are checked for completeness based on the list of raw files required to proceed with the pre-processing of the LiDAR data. Upon acceptance of the LiDAR field data, georeferencing of the flight trajectory is done in order to obtain the exact location of the LiDAR sensor when the laser was shot. Point cloud georectification is performed to incorporate correct position and orientation for each point acquired. The georectified LiDAR point clouds are subject for quality checking to ensure that the required accuracies of the program, which are the minimum point density, vertical and horizontal accuracies, are met. The point clouds are then classified into various classes before generating Digital Elevation Models such as Digital Terrain Model and Digital Surface Model.

Using the elevation of points gathered in the field, the LiDAR-derived digital models are calibrated. Portions of the river that are barely penetrated by the LiDAR system are replaced by the actual river geometry measured from the field by the Data Validation and Bathymetry Component. LiDAR acquired temporally are then mosaicked to completely cover the target river systems in the Philippines. Orthorectification of images acquired simultaneously with the LiDAR data is done through the help of the georectified point clouds and the metadata containing the time the image was captured.

These processes are summarized in the flowchart shown in Figure 8.



Figure 8. Schematic diagram for the data pre-processing.

### 3.2 Transmittal of Acquired LiDAR Data

Data transfer sheets for all the LiDAR missions for Sicopong floodplain can be found in Annex 5. Missions flown during the survey conducted on September 2014 used the Airborne LiDAR Terrain Mapper (ALTM<sup>™</sup> Optech Inc.) Gemini system over Sta. Catalina, Negros Oriental.

The Data Acquisition Component (DAC) transferred a total of 69.39 Gigabytes of Range data, 9.75 Gigabytes of POS data, 25.94 Megabytes of GPS base station data, and 91.5 Gigabytes of raw image data to the data server on November 06, 2014 for the survey. The Data Pre-processing Component (DPPC) verified the completeness of the transferred data. The whole dataset for Sicopong was fully transferred on November 06, 2014, as indicated on the Data Transfer Sheets for Sicopong floodplain.

### **3.3 Trajectory Computation**

The Smoothed Performance Metrics of the computed trajectory for flight 7540G, one of the Sicopong flights, which is the North, East, and Down position RMSE values are shown in Figure 9. The x-axis corresponds to the time of flight, which is measured by the number of seconds from the midnight of the start of the GPS week, which on that week fell on October 7, 2014 00:00AM. The y-axis is the RMSE value for that particular position.



Figure 9. Smoothed Performance Metrics of Sicopong Flight 7540G.

The time of flight was from 171,400 seconds to 181,000 seconds, which corresponds to morning of October 07, 2014. The initial spike that is seen on the data corresponds to the time that the aircraft was getting into position to start the acquisition, and the POS system starts computing for the position and orientation of the aircraft.

Redundant measurements from the POS system quickly minimized the RMSE value of the positions. The periodic increase in RMSE values from an otherwise smoothly curving RMSE values correspond to the turnaround period of the aircraft, when the aircraft makes a turn to start a new flight line. Figure 9 shows that the North position RMSE peaks at 1.00 centimeter, the East position RMSE peaks at 1.60 centimeters, and the Down position RMSE peaks at 3.20 centimeters, which are within the prescribed accuracies described in the methodology.



Figure 10. Solution Status Parameters of Sicopong Flight 7540G.

The Solution Status parameters of flight 7540G, one of the Sicopong flights, which are the number of GPS satellites, Positional Dilution of Precision (PDOP), and the GPS processing mode used, are shown in Figure 10. The graphs indicate that the number of satellites during the acquisition did not go down to 9. Majority of the time, the number of satellites tracked was between 9 and 12. The PDP value also did not go above the value of 1.5, which indicates optimal GPS geometry. The processing mode stayed at the value of 0 for majority of the survey. The value of 0 corresponds to a Fixed, Narrow-Lane mode, which is the optimum carrier-cycle integer ambiguity resolution technique available for POSPAC MMS. All of the parameters adhered to the accuracy requirements for optimal trajectory solutions, as indicated in the methodology. The computed best estimated trajectory for all Sicopong flights is shown in Figure 11.



Figure 11. Best Estimated Trajectory for Sicopong FloodplainFloodplain.

### **3.4 LiDAR Point Cloud Computation**

The produced LAS data contains 67 flight lines, with each flight line containing one channel, since the Gemini system contains one channel only. The summary of the self-calibration results obtained from LiDAR processing in LiDAR Mapping Suite (LMS) software for all flights over Sicopong floodplain are given in Table 10.

Parameter	Acceptable Value	Computed Value
Boresight Correction stdev)	<0.001degrees	0.000167
IMU Attitude Correction Roll and Pitch Corrections stdev)	<0.001degrees	0.000941
GPS Position Z-correction stdev)	<0.01meters	0.0027

Table 10. Self-Calibration Results values for Sicopong flights.

The optimum accuracy is obtained for all Sicopong flights based on the computed standard deviations of the corrections of the orientation parameters. Standard deviation values for individual blocks are available in Annex 8: Mission Summary Reports.

### 3.5 LiDAR Quality Checking

The boundary of the processed LiDAR data on top of a SAR Elevation Data over Sicopong Floodplain is shown in Figure 12. The map shows gaps in the LiDAR coverage that are attributed to cloud coverage.



Figure 12. Boundary of the processed LiDAR data over Sicopong Floodplain.

The total area covered by the Sicopong missions is 493.63 sq.km that is comprised of five (5) flight acquisitions grouped and merged into four (4) blocks as shown in Table 11.

LiDAR Blocks	Flight Numbers	Area (sq.km)	
Dumaguete_Blk53J	7566G	153.17	
	7540G	112.88	
Duffaguete_BIK53K	7568G		
Dumaguete_Blk53K_supplement	7526G	52.62	
Dumaguete_Blk55A	7560G	174.96	
TOTAL	493.63 sq.km		

Table 11. List of LiDAR blocks for Sicopong Floodplain.

The overlap data for the merged LiDAR blocks, showing the number of channels that pass through a particular location is shown in Figure 13. Since the Gemini system employs one channel, we would expect an average value of 1 (blue) for areas where there is limited overlap, and a value of 2 (yellow) or more (red) for areas with three or more overlapping flight lines.



Figure 13. Image of data overlap for Sicopong Floodplain.

The overlap statistics per block for the Sicopong floodplain can be found in Annex 8. One pixel corresponds to 25.0 square meters on the ground. For this area, the minimum and maximum percent overlaps are 30.01% and 47.63% respectively, which passed the 25% requirement.

The pulse density map for the merged LiDAR data, with the red parts showing the portions of the data that satisfy the 2 points per square meter criterion is shown in Figure 14. It was determined that all LiDAR data for Sicopong floodplain satisfy the point density requirement, and the average density for the entire survey area is 3.82 points per square meter.



Figure 14. Pulse density map of merged LiDAR data for Sicopong Floodplain.

The elevation difference between overlaps of adjacent flight lines is shown in Figure 15. The default color range is from blue to red, where bright blue areas correspond to portions where elevations of a previous flight line, identified by its acquisition time, are higher by more than 0.20m relative to elevations of its adjacent flight line. Bright red areas indicate portions where elevations of a previous flight line are lower by more than 0.20m relative to elevations of its adjacent flight line. Areas with bright red or bright blue need to be investigated further using Quick Terrain Modeler software.



Figure 15. Elevation difference map between flight lines for Sicopong Floodplain.

A screen capture of the processed LAS data from a Sicopong flight 7540G loaded in QT Modeler is shown in Figure 16. The upper left image shows the elevations of the points from two overlapping flight strips traversed by the profile, illustrated by a dashed yellow line. The x-axis corresponds to the length of the profile. It is evident that there are differences in elevation, but the differences do not exceed the 20-centimeter mark. This profiling was repeated until the quality of the LiDAR data becomes satisfactory. No reprocessing was done for this LiDAR dataset.



Figure 16. Quality checking for Sicopong Flight 7540G using the Profile Tool of QT Modeler.

### 3.6 LiDAR Point Cloud Classification and Rasterization

Pertinent Class	Total Number of Points
Ground	229,210,704
Low Vegetation	229,410,290
Medium Vegetation	546,232,879
High Vegetation	678,374,394
Building	11,681,965

Table 12. Sicopong classification results in TerraScan.

The tile system that TerraScan employed for the LiDAR data and the final classification image for a block in Sicopong floodplain is shown in Figure 17. A total of 707 1km by 1km tiles were produced. The number of points classified to the pertinent categories is illustrated in Table 12. The point cloud has a maximum and minimum height of 719.03 meters and 60.30 meters respectively.750.91 meters and 52.78 meters



Figure 17. Tiles for Sicopong Floodplain (a) and classification results (b) in TerraScan.

An isometric view of an area before and after running the classification routines is shown in Figure 18. The ground points are in orange, the vegetation is in different shades of green, and the buildings are in cyan. It can be seen that residential structures adjacent or even below canopy are classified correctly, due to the density of the LiDAR data.



Figure 18. Point cloud before (a) and after (b) classification.

The production of last return (V\_ASCII) and the secondary (T\_ASCII) DTM, first (S\_ASCII) and last (D\_ASCII) return DSM of the area in top view display are shown in Figure 19. It shows that DTMs are the representation of the bare earth while on the DSMs, all features are present such as buildings and vegetation.



Figure 19. The production of last return DSM (a) and DTM (b), first return DSM (c) and secondary DTM (d) in some portion of Sicopong Floodplain.

### 3.7 LiDAR Image Processing and Orthophotograph Rectification

There are no available orthophotographs for the Sicopong floodplain.

### 3.8 DEM Editing and Hydro-Correction

Four (4) mission blocks were processed for Sicopong flood plain. These blocks are composed of Dumaguete blocks with a total area of 493.63 square kilometers. Table 13 shows the name and corresponding area of each block in square kilometers.

LiDAR Blocks	Area (sq. km.)	
Dumaguete_Blk53J	153.17	
Dumaguete_Blk53K	112.88	
Dumaguete_Blk53K_supplement	52.62	
Dumaguete_Blk55A	174.96	
TOTAL	493.63 sq.km	

Table 13. LiDAR blocks with its corresponding area.

Portions of DTM before and after manual editing are shown in Figure 20. Lines along the DTM (Figure 20a) were removed (Figure 20b). Another is the bridge (Figure 20c) is also considered to be an impedance to the flow of water and has to be removed (Figure 20d) in order to hydrologically correct the river. These are shown in the figure below.



Figure 20. Portions in the DTM of Sicopong Floodplain – (a) before and (b) after editing; (c) before and (d) after bridge removal.

### 3.9 Mosaicking of Blocks

Dumaguete\_Blk53H was used as the reference block at the start of mosaicking due to the presence of more fixed built-up areas like roads on the flight block compared to the other. Table 14 shows the shift values applied to each LiDAR block during mosaicking.

Mosaicked LiDAR DTM for Sicopong floodplain shown in Figure 21. It can be seen that the entire Sicopong floodplain is 100% covered by LiDAR data.

Mission Blocks	Shift Values (meters)			
IVIISSION BIOCKS	х	у	Z	
Dumaguete_Blk53J	0	0	0.20	
Dumaguete_Blk53K	0	0	0.63	
Dumaguete_Blk53K_supplement	0	0	0.15	
Dumaguete_Blk55A	0	0	0.53	

Table 14. Shift Values of each LiDAR Block of Sicopong Floodplain.



Figure 21. Map of Processed LiDAR Data for Sicopong Floodplain.

### 3.10 Calibration and Validation of Mosaicked LiDAR DEM

The extent of the validation survey done by the Data Validation and Bathymetry Component (DVBC) in Sicopong to collect points with which the LiDAR dataset is validated is shown in Figure 22. A total of 14,047 survey points were gathered for all the flood plains within the provinces of Negros Oriental and Negros Occidental wherein the Sicopong floodplain is located. Random selection of 80% of the survey points, resulting to 11,237 points, was used for calibration.

A good correlation between the uncalibrated mosaicked LiDAR DTM and ground survey elevation values is shown in Figure 23. Statistical values were computed from extracted LiDAR values using the selected points to assess the quality of data and obtain the value for vertical adjustment. The computed height difference between the LiDAR DTM and calibration points is 0.35 meters with a standard deviation of 0.18 meters. Calibration of the LiDAR data was done by subtracting the height difference value, 0.35 meters, to the mosaicked LiDAR data. Table 15 shows the statistical values of the compared elevation values between the LiDAR data and calibration data.



Figure 22. Map of Sicopong Floodplain with validation survey points in green.


Figure 23. Correlation plot between calibration survey points and LiDAR data.

Calibration Statistical Measures	Value (meters)
Height Difference	0.35
Standard Deviation	0.18
Average	-2.30
Minimum	-0.57
Maximum	0.30

The remaining 20% of the total survey points were intersected to the flood plain, resulting to 343 points, were used for the validation of calibrated Sicopong DTM. A good correlation between the calibrated mosaicked LiDAR elevation values and the ground survey elevation, which reflects the quality of the LiDAR DTM, is shown in Figure 24. The computed RMSE between the calibrated LiDAR DTM and validation elevation values is 0.19 meters with a standard deviation of 0.17 meters, as shown in Table 16.



Figure 24. Correlation plot between validation survey points and LiDAR data.

Validation Statistical Measures	Value (meters)
RMSE	0.19
Standard Deviation	0.17
Average	0.07
Minimum	-0.21
Maximum	0.57

# 3.11 Integration of Bathymetric Data into the LiDAR Digital Terrain Model

For bathy integration, centerline and zigzag data were available for Sicopong with 8,660 bathymetric survey points. The resulting raster surface produced was done Krigging interpolation method. After burning the bathymetric data to the calibrated DTM, assessment of the interpolated surface is represented by the computed RMSE value of 0.60 meters. The extent of the bathymetric survey done by the Data Validation and Bathymetry Component (DVBC) in Sicopong integrated with the processed LiDAR DEM is shown in Figure 25.



Figure 25. Map of Sicopong Floodplain with bathymetric survey points shown in blue.

# **3.12 Feature Extraction**

The features salient in flood hazard exposure analysis include buildings, road networks, bridges and water bodies within the floodplain area with 200 m buffer zone. Mosaicked LiDAR DEM with 1 m resolution was used to delineate footprints of building features, which consist of residential buildings, government offices, medical facilities, religious institutions, and commercial establishments, among others. Road networks comprise of main thoroughfares such as highways and municipal and barangay roads essential for routing of disaster response efforts. These features are represented by a network of road centerlines.

# 3.12.1 Quality Checking (QC) of Digitized Features' Boundary

Sicopong floodplain, including its 200 m buffer, has a total area of 78.55 sq km. For this area, a total of 5.0 sq km, corresponding to a total of 740 building features, are considered for QC. Figure 26 shows the QC blocks for Sicopong floodplain.



Figure 26. QC blocks for Sicopong building features.

Quality checking of Sicopong building features resulted in the ratings shown in Table 17.

Table 17. Quality Checking Ratings for Sicopong Building Features.

FLOODPLAIN	COMPLETENESS	CORRECTNESS	QUALITY	REMARKS
Sicopong	99.87	100.00	98.92	PASSED

# **3.12.2 Height Extraction**

Height extraction was done for 5,770 building features in Sicopong floodplain. Of these building features, 60 were filtered out after height extraction, resulting to 5,710 buildings with height attributes. The lowest building height is at 2.00 m, while the highest building is at 13.5 m.

#### **3.12.3 Feature Attribution**

In attribution, combination of participatory mapping and actual field validation was done. Representatives from LGU were invited to assist in the determination of the features. The remaining unidentified features were then validated on the field.

Table 18 summarizes the number of building features per type. On the other hand, Table 19 shows the total length of each road type, while Table 20 shows the number of water features extracted per type.

Facility Type	No. of Features
Residential	5,377
School	134
Market	7
Agricultural/Agro-Industrial Facilities	2
Medical Institutions	35
Barangay Hall	8
Military Institution	0
Sports Center/Gymnasium/Covered Court	10
Telecommunication Facilities	0
Transport Terminal	2
Warehouse	14
Power Plant/Substation	0
NGO/CSO Offices	1
Police Station	1
Water Supply/Sewerage	0
Religious Institutions	16
Bank	1
Factory	0
Gas Station	1
Fire Station	0
Other Government Offices	11
Other Commercial Establishments	90
Total	5,710

Table 18. Building Features Extracted for Sicopong Floodplain.

Floodplain	Barangay Road	City/ Municipal Road	Provincial Road	National Road	Others	Total
Sicopong	144.29	19.08	3.88	7.64	0	174.89

#### Table 19. Total Length of Extracted Roads for Sicopong Floodplain.

Table 20. Number of Extracted Water Bodies for Sicopong Floodplain.

Floodplain	Rivers/ Streams	Lakes/Ponds	Sea	Dam	Fish Pen	Total
Sicopong	6	2	0	0	12	20

A total of 6 bridges and culverts over small channels that are part of the river network were also extracted for the floodplain.

# 3.12.4 Final Quality Checking of Extracted Features

All extracted ground features were completely given the required attributes. All these output features comprise the flood hazard exposure database for the floodplain. This completes the feature extraction phase of the project.

Figure 27 shows the Digital Surface Model (DSM) of Sicopong floodplain overlaid with its ground features.



Figure 27. Extracted features for Sicopong Floodplain.

# CHAPTER 4 LIDAR VALIDATION SURVEY AND MEASUREMENT OF THE SICOPONG RIVER BASIN

Engr. Louie P. Balicanta, Engr. Joemarie S. Caballero, Ms. Patrizcia Mae. P. dela Cruz, Engr. Dexter T. Lozano For. Dona Rina Patricia C. Tajora, Elaine Bennet Salvador, and For. Rodel C. Alberto

The methods applied in this chapter were based on the DREAM methods manual (Ang, et. al., 2014) and further enhanced and updated in Paringit, et. al. (2017).

# 4.1 Summary of Activities

The Data Validation and Bathymetry Component (DVBC) conducted a field survey in Sicopong River on March 9 – 23, 2016 with the following scope of work: reconnaissance; control survey; cross-section, bridge as-built survey and water level marking in MSL for Amio Bridge located in Brgy. Amio, Municipality of Santa Catalina, Inobangan Bridge in Brgy. Kabulacan, Municipality of Santa Catalina, and Amio Spillway in Brgy. Narra, Bayawan City; validation points data acquisition of about 30.14 km for the areas traversing the area of Sicopong River Basin; and bathymetric survey from Brgy. Narra, Bayawan City, with an estimated length of 21.145 km using OHMEX<sup>™</sup> Sonarmite echo sounder and Trimble<sup>®</sup> SPS 882 GNSS PPK survey technique as shown in Figure 28.



Figure 28. Sicopong River Survey Extent

# 4.2 Control Survey

The GNSS network used for Sicopong River Basin is composed of three (3) loops established on March 11, 2016 occupying the following reference points: NGE-98, a second-order GCP, in Brgy. Caranoche, Municipality of Santa Catalina; NGE-107, a second-order GCP, in Brgy. Manalongon, also in Municipality of Santa Catalina; and NE-358, a first-order BM, in Brgy. Ubos, Bayawan City.

A control point was established along the approach of Siaton Bridge, namely UP-SIA, at Brgy. Caticugan, Municiality of Siaton; and a NAMRIA established control point, NGE-94 located in Brgy. Bongalonan, Municipality of Basay which was used as a marker for the network.

The summary of reference and control points and its location is summarized in Table 21 while GNSS network established is illustrated in Figure 29.

		Geographic Coordinates (WGS 84)						
Control Point	Order of Accuracy	Latitude	Longitude	Ellipsoid Height (m)	Elevation (MSL) (m)	Date of Establishment		
	С-	Control Sur	vey on December 10,	2016		·		
NGE-98	2nd Order, GCP	9°22'16.41564"	122°53'48.54064"	132.087	-	2007		
NGE-107	2nd Order, GCP	9°13'19.76274"	122°52'59.03199"	69.527	7.670	2007		
NE-358	1st Order, BM	-	-	67.723	5.116	2008		
NGE-94	Used as Marker	-	-	-	-	2007		
UP-SIA	UP Established	-	-	-	-	March 2016		

Table 21. List of reference and control points used in Sicopong River Basin survey (Source: NAMRIA, UP-TCAGP)



Figure 29. GNSS Network of Sicopong River field survey

The GNSS set up made in the location of the reference and control points are exhibited are shown in Figure 30 to Figure 34.



Figure 30. GNSS base set up, Trimble® SPS 852, at NGE-98 a second-order GCP located on top of a concrete block along Sta. Catalia-Pamplona Provincial Road in Brgy. Caranoche, Sta. Catalina, Negros Oriental



Figure 31. GNSS base set up, Trimble® SPS 882, at NE-107, a second-order GCP located at the approach of Manalongon Bridge in Brgy. Manalongon, Sta. Catalina, Negros Oriental



Figure 32. GNSS base set up, Trimble® SPS 855, at NE-358, a first-order BM, located on a culvert along Sta. Caalina-Bayawan Road in Brgy. Ubos, Bayawn City, Negros Oriental.



Figure 33. GNSS base set up, Trimble® SPS 855, at NGE-94, a GCP used as marker, located at the approach of Tiabanan Bridge in Brgy. Bongalonan, Basay, Negros Oriental



Figure 34. GNSS receiver set up, Trimble® SPS 882, at UP-SIA, an established control point, located at the approach of Siaton Bridge in Brgy. Caticugan, Siaton, Negros Oriental

#### **4.3 Baseline Processing**

GNSS Baselines were processed simultaneously in TBC by observing that all baselines have fixed solutions with horizontal and vertical precisions within +/- 20 cm and +/- 10 cm requirement, respectively. In case where one or more baselines did not meet all of these criteria, masking is performed. Masking is done by removing/masking portions of these baseline data using the same processing software. It is repeatedly processed until all baseline requirements are met. If the reiteration yields out of the required accuracy, resurvey is initiated. Baseline processing result of control points in Sicopong River Basin is summarized in Table 22 generated by TBC software.

Observation	Date of Observation	Solution Type	H. Prec. (Meter)	V. Prec. (Meter)	Geodetic Az.	Ellipsoid Dist. (Meter)	∆Height (Meter)
NE-358 NGE-98	03-11-2016	Fixed	0.004	0.020	276°04'18"	-64.370	-64.370
NGE-98 UP- SIA	03-11-2016	Fixed	0.003	0.019	157°29'24"	-61.895	-61.895
NGE-98 NGE-107	03-11-2016	Fixed	0.003	0.020	185°14'15"	-62.546	-62.546
NE-358 NGE-94	03-11-2016	Fixed	0.005	0.021	103°45'37"	-1.108	-1.108
NE-358 NGE-107	03-11-2016	Fixed	0.005	0.032	337°54'15"	-1.830	1.830
UP-SIA NGE-107	03-11-2016	Fixed	0.004	0.023	318°46'17"	-0.673	-0.673
NGE-94 NGE-107	03-11-2016	Fixed	0.003	0.029	128°25'03"	0.653	0.653

Table 22. Baseline Processing Report for Sicopong River Static Survey (Source: NAMRIA, UP-TCAGP)

As shown in Table 22, a total of seven (7) baselines were processed with reference points NGE-98 and NGE 107 held fixed for grid values; and NE-358 fixed for elevation. All of them passed the required accuracy.

# 4.4 Network Adjustment

After the baseline processing procedure, network adjustment is performed using TBC. Looking at the Adjusted Grid Coordinates table of the TBC generated Network Adjustment Report, it is observed that the square root of the sum of the squares of x and y must be less than 20 cm and z less than 10 cm or in equation form:

 $\sqrt{((x_e)^2 + (y_e)^2)} < 20 \text{ cm and } z_e < 10 \text{ cm}$ 

where:

xe is the Easting Error, ye is the Northing Error, and ze is the Elevation Error

for each control point. See the Network Adjustment Report shown in Table 23 to Table 25 for the complete details.

The five (5) control points, NGE-98, NE-107, NE-358NGE-94 and UP-SIA were occupied and observed simultaneously to form a GNSS loop. Coordinates of points NGE-98 and NGE-107, and elevation value of NE-358, were held fixed during the processing of the control points as presented in Table 23. Through these reference points, the coordinates and elevation of the unknown control points will be computed.

Point ID	Туре	East σ (Meter)	North σ (Meter)	Height σ (Meter)	Elevation σ (Meter)		
NGE-98	Global	Fixed	Fixed				
NGE-107	Global	Fixed	Fixed				
NE-358					Fixed		
Fixed = 0.000001(Meter)							

Table 23. Control Point Constraints

The list of adjusted grid coordinates, i.e. Northing, Easting, Elevation and computed standard errors of the control points in the network is indicated in Table 24. The fixed control points NGE-98 and NGE-107 have no values for grid errors; while NE-358 has no values for elevation error.

Point ID	Easting (Meter)	Easting Error (Meter)	Northing (Meter)	Northing Error (Meter)	Elevation (Meter)	Elevation Error (Meter)	Constraint
NGE-98	488670.521	?	1035896.031	?	69.180	0.054	LL
NGE-107	487155.076	?	1019415.410	?	7.670	0.058	LL
NE-358	480099.830	0.009	1036810.192	0.008	5.116	?	е
NGE-94	458621.676	0.015	1042094.324	0.013	7.244	0.058	
UP-SIA	502963.760	0.013	1001378.367	0.011	8.267	0.070	

#### Table 24. Adjusted Grid Coordinates.

With the mentioned equation,  $\sqrt{((x_e)^2+(y_e)^2)}<20$ cm for horizontal and  $z^e<10$  cm for the vertical; the computation for the accuracy are as follows:

а.	NGE-98 horizontal accuracy vertical accuracy	= =	Fixed 5.40 < 10 cm
b.	NGE-107 horizontal accuracy vertical accuracy	= =	Fixed 5.80 cm < 10 cm
с.	NE-358 horizontal accuracy vertical accuracy	= = =	√((0.90) <sup>2</sup> + (0.80) <sup>2</sup> √ (0.81 + 0.64) 1.20 cm < 20 cm Fixed
d.	NGE-94 horizontal accuracy vertical accuracy	= = =	√((1.50) <sup>2</sup> + (1.30) <sup>2</sup> √ (2.25 + 1.69) 1.98 cm < 20 cm 5.80 cm < 10 cm
e.	<b>UP-SIA</b> horizontal accuracy vertical accuracy	= = =	√((1.30) <sup>2</sup> + (1.10) <sup>2</sup> √ (1.69 + 1.21) 1.70 cm < 20 cm 7.0 cm < 10 cm

Following the given formula, the horizontal and vertical accuracy result of the five (5) occupied control points are within the required precision.

Point ID	Latitude	Longitude	Ellipsoid Height (Meter)	Height Error (Meter)	Constraint
NGE-98	N9°22'16.41564"	E122°53'48.54064"	132.087	0.054	LL
NGE-107	N9°13'19.76274"	E122°52'59.03199"	69.527	0.058	LL
NE-358	N9°22'46.06928"	E122°49'07.51892"	67.723	?	е
NGE-94	N9°25'37.57022"	E122°37'23.12090"	68.846	0.058	
UP-SIA	N9°03'32.50400"	E123°01'37.08746"	70.195	0.070	

Table 25. Adjusted Geodetic Coordinates.

The corresponding geodetic coordinates of the observed points are within the required accuracy as shown in Table 25. Based on the result of the computation, the accuracy condition is satisfied; hence, the required accuracy for the program was met.

The summary of reference and control points used is indicated in Table 26.

		Geograph	ic Coordinates (WGS 84)	UTM ZONE 51 N			
Control Point	Order of Accuracy	Latitude Longitude Height (m)		Northing (m)	Easting (m)	BM Ortho (m)	
NGE-98	2nd order, GCP	9°22'16.41564"N	122°53'48.54064"E	132.087	1035896.031	488670.521	69.180
NGE- 107	Used as marker	9°13'19.76274"N	122°52'59.03199"E	69.527	1019415.410	487155.076	7.670
NE-358	1st order, BM	9°22'46.06928"N	122°49'07.51892"E	67.723	1036810.192	480099.830	5.116
NGE-94	UP Established	9°25'37.57022"N	122°37'23.12090"E	68.846	1042094.324	458621.676	7.244
UP-SIA	UP- Established	9°03'32.50400"N	123°01'37.08746"E	70.195	1001378.367	502963.760	8.267

 Table 26. Reference and control points and its location (Source: NAMRIA, UP-TCAGP)

### 4.5 Cross-section and Bridge As-Built survey and Water Level Marking

There are three bridges along Sicopong River namely, Sicopong Bridge, Amio Bridge, and Inobangan Bridge. Bridge as-built and cross-section survey was conducted on March 14, 2016 at the downstream side of Sicopong Bridge in Brgy. Villareal, Bayawan City using GNSS receiver Trimble® SPS 882 in PPK survey technique. Cross section survey was also conducted at the downstream side of Amio Bridge in Brgy. Amio, Municpality of Santa Catalina on March 15, 2016 using GNSS receiver Trimble® SPS 882 in PPK survey technique and a total station as shown in Figure 35. Cross section for the downstream side of Inobangan Bridge in Brgy. Kabucalan in the Municipality of Santa Catalina was conducted on March 19, 20 and 21, 2016 using GNSS receiver Trimble® SPS 882 in PPK survey technique and a total station as shown in Figure 35.



Figure 35. Cross-section survey conducted on Amio Bridge in Brgy. Amio, Municipality of Santa Catalina



Figure 36. Cross-section survey conducted on Inobangan Bridge in Brgy. Kabulacan, Municipality of Santa Catalina



Figure 37. Cross-section survey conducted on Amio Spillway in Brgy. Narra, Bayawan City

The cross-sectional line length of Sicopong Bridge is about 164.37 m with 35 cross-sectional points acquired using NE-358 as the GNSS base station; 106.490 m with 41 points acquired for Amio Bridge using NGE-98 and NE-358; 82.039 m with 46 points acquired for Inobangan Bridge using NGE-98; and 62.754 m with 18 points for Amio Spillway using NGE-98 as base station. The location maps. cross-section diagrams, and the bridge data forms are shown in Figure 38 to Figure 48, respectively.



Figure 38. Location map of Sicopong River cross-section survey.





Figure 39. Sicopong River Cross-section Diagram.



Figure 40. Amio bridge cross-section location map.



Figure 41. Amio cross-section diagram



Figure 42. Inobangan bridge cross-section location map





Figure 43. Inobangan cross-section diagram



Figure 44. Amio spillway cross-section location map

# Amio Spillway

Sicopong River Basin

Lat: 9°25'15.25868"N Long: 122°56'32.34922"E



Figure 45. Amio Spillway Cross-section diagram



Abutment: Is the abutment sloping? Yes; If yes, fill in the following information:

	Station (Distance from BA1)	Elevation
Ab1	116.210	1.560m
Ab2	N/A	

Pier (Please start your measurement from the left side of the bank facing upstream)

Shape: Cylinder Number of Piers: 8

Height of column footing: <u>N/A</u>

	Station (Distance from BA1)	Elevation	Pier Width
Pier 1	129.607m	5.638m	8.77m
Pier 2	147.733m	5.796m	8.77m
Pier 3	165.926m	5.977m	8.77m
Pier 4	184.104m	6.199m	8.77m
Pier 5	202.310m	6.208m	8.77m
Pier 6	220.554m	6.069m	8.77m
Pier 7	238.809m	5.901m	8.77m
Pier 8	257.010m	5.749m	8.77m

NOTE: Use the center of the pier as reference to its station

Figure 46. Sicopong Bridge diagram data form

				Bridge D	ata Fori	n			
Bri	idge Na	lge Name: <u>Amio Bridge</u>				Date: <u>March 15, 2016</u>			
Riv	iver Name: <u>Sicopong River</u>						Time: <u>11:18</u>	AM	
Lo	cation (	Brgy, C	ity,Region): <u>Brgy. Amio, N</u>	/lunicipality	of Sta.	Catalina			
Su	rvey Te	am: <u>Mi</u>	chael Labrador, Erlan Me	ndoza, Rom	alyn Bo	ado, Mady Miras, R	odel Alberto	, Caren Ordo	na
Flow condition: normal Weather Condition: fair									
Lat	titude: 9	9°24'56	.99446" N			Longitude: <u>12</u>	2 °55'50.350	<u>85" E</u>	
BA2 BA1 BA1 BA2 BA3 BA3 BA3 BA3 BA3 BA3 BA3 BA3 BA3 BA3									
Elev	ation: <u>2</u>	2.434 m	Deck (Please start your m Width: Station	neasurement fro <u>N/A</u>	om the left Span Higt	side of the bank facing ups (BA3-BA2): <u>88.730 m</u> Chord Elevation	tream)	ord Elevation	LC
1			N/A		NA			NA	$\neg$
			Bridge Approach (Please	start your measure	ment from th	e left side of the bank facing upst	ream)		
Г		Statio	on(Distance from BA1)	Elevation		Station(Distance	from BA1)	Elevation	1
ł	BA1	Jun	0	22.434m	BA3	106.49m		22.511m	1
ł	BA2		17 76m	22.545m	BA4	N/A			1
Abutment: Is the abutment sloping? No; If yes, fill in the following information:									
	Ab1 N/A					NA			
	A	Ab2 N/A				NA			
			Pier (Please start your me	easurement from	m the left :	ide of the bank facing up	tream)		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						

	Station (Distance from BA1)	Elevation	Pier Width
Pier 1	58m	22.545m	1.5m

NOTE: Use the center of the pier as reference to its station

Figure 47. Amio Bridge diagram data form



NOTE: Use the center of the pier as reference to its station

Figure 48. Inobangan Bridge diagram data form

Water surface elevation in MSL of Sicopong River was determined on March 14, 2016 12:24 PM at Sicopong Bridge with a value of 0.855 m in MSL; on March 15, 2016 11:18 AM at Amio Bridge with a value of 13.160 m in MSL; on March 20, 2016 10:39 AM at Inobangan Bridge with a value of 7.777 m in MSL; and on March 19, 2016 11:54 AM at Amio Spillway m in MSL. The GNSS Trimble<sup>®</sup> SPS 882 in PPK mode technique was used all throughout the survey.

Water level values gathered for Inobangan and Amio Spillway were translated onto marking on the dikes along the river using a digital level as shown in Figure 49 and Figure 50, respectively. The markings with corresponding MSL values will serve as reference for flow data gathering and depth gauge deployment of USC for Sicopong river.



Figure 49. Water level marking on Inobangan Bridge abutment



Figure 50. Water level marking on Amio spillway

# 4.6 Validation Points Acquisition Survey

Validation points acquisition survey was conducted on March 14, 2016 using a survey-grade GNSS Rover receiver, Trimble<sup>®</sup> SPS 882, mounted on a pole which was attached to the side of vehicle as shown in Figure 51. It was secured with cable ties to ensure that it was horizontally and vertically balanced. The antenna height was 2.265 m measured from the ground up to the bottom of notch of the GNSS rover receiver. The PPK technique utilized for the conduct of the survey was set to continuous topo mode with NE-358 occupied as the GNSS base station all throughout the conduct of the survey.



Figure 51. Validation points acquisition survey set-up

The validation points acquisition survey for the Sicopong River Basin traversed Bayawan City and the Municipality of Santa Catalina. The route of the survey aims to traverse LiDAR flight strips perpendicularly for the basin. A total of 43,714 points with an approximate length of 30.14 km was acquired for the validation point acquisition survey as shown in the map in Figure 52.



Figure 52. LiDAR Validation points acquisition survey for Sicopong River Basin

### 4.7 River Bathymetric Survey

Bathymetric survey was executed on March 14, 2016 using a Trimble<sup>®</sup> SPS 882 in GNSS PPK survey technique and Ohmex<sup>™</sup> single beam echo sounder, as illustrated in Figure C-26. The extent of the survey is from Brgy. San Isidro, Bayawan City with coordinates 9d22'37.86971" N, 122d53'03.03631"E, down to the mouth of the river in Brgy. Villareal, Bayawan City with coordinates 9d19'58.38494"N, 122d50'24.08632"E, as shown in the map in Figure 53.

Manual bathymetric survey was done on March 19, 2016 using a Trimble<sup>®</sup> SPS 882 GNSS PPK survey technique as shown in Figure 54. The survey began from the upstream portion of the river at Amio Spillway in Brgy. Narra, Bayawan City with coordinates 9d25'17.24892"N, 122d56'34.03185"E; traversed down by foot and ended at the starting point of the bathymetric survey using an echo sounder in the same barangay. The control points NGE-98 and NE-358 were occupied as the GNSS base stations all throughout the surveys.



Figure 53. Bathymetric survey in Sicopong River



Figure 54. Manual bathymetric survey in Sicopong River.

The bathymetric survey gathered a total of 32,002 points covering 21.145 km of the river traversing a small portion of Brgy. Narra, Bayawan City, Negros Oriental, and Brgy. Villareal, Bayawan City, Negros Occidental (Figure 55).



Figure 55. Bathymetric survey of Sicopong River

A CAD drawing was also produced to illustrate the riverbed profile of Sicopong River. As shown in Figure 56 and Figure 57, the highest and lowest elevation has a 24.294-meter difference. The highest elevation observed was 21.205 m above MSL located at the upmost portion of the river while the lowest was -3.089 m below MSL located at the downstream portion of the river in Brgy. Villareal, Bayawan City.









# **CHAPTER 5: FLOOD MODELING AND MAPPING**

Dr. Alfredo Mahar Lagmay, Christopher Uichanco, Sylvia Sueno, Marc Moises, Hale Ines, Miguel del Rosario, Kenneth Punay, Neil Tingin, and Pauline Racoma

The methods applied in this chapter were based on the DREAM methods manual (Lagmay, et. al., 2014) and further enhanced and updated in Paringit, et. al. (2017).

### 5.1 Data Used for Hydrologic Modeling

#### 5.1.1 Hydrometry and Rating Curves

All data that affect the hydrologic cycle of the Sicopong River Basin were monitored, collected, and analyzed. Rainfall, water level, and flow in a certain period of time, which may affect the hydrologic cycle of the Sicopong River Basin were monitored, collected, and analyzed.

#### 5.1.2 Precipitation

Precipitation data was taken from a data logging rain gauge installed by the University of San Carlos Phil LiDAR Project. The rain gauge was installed in the Brgy San Miguel, Sta Catalina with geographic coordinates of 9.35281°N and 122.943°E. The location of the rain gage in the watershed in presented in Figure 58. The total precipitation data used for calibration is 14.3 mm. The rainfall event was used started at 9:10 in the morning and ended at 11:30 in the morning on July 14, 2017.



Figure 58. Location map of Sicopong HEC-HMS model used for calibration.

# 5.1.3 Rating Curves and River Outflow

A rating curve was developed at Sicopong Bridge (9.347278° N and 122.852023°E). It gives the relationship between the observed water levels and outflow of the watershed at this location. It is expressed in the form of the following equation:

Q=a<sup>nh</sup>

where, Q : Discharge (m3/s), h : Gauge height (reading in Sicopong Bridge), and; a and n : Constants.

For Sicopong Bridge the rating curve is expressed y=7.60316e<sup>x</sup> as shown in Figure 60.

This image is not available for this river basin.

Figure 59. Cross-Section Plot of Sicopong Bridge



Figure 60. Rating curve at Sicopong Bridge in Sicopong River

This rain and outflow in Sicopong Bridge used for the calibration of the HMS Model of Sicopong is shown in Figure 61. Peak discharge is 67.67 m3/s at 15:30, July 14, 2017.



Figure 61. Rainfall and outflow data at Sicopong Bridge used for modeling

# 5.2 RIDF Station

The Philippines Atmospheric Geophysical and Astronomical Services Administration (PAGASA) computed Rainfall Intensity Duration Frequency (RIDF) values for the Dumaguete Point Gauge. This station chosen based on its proximity to the Sicopong watershed. Sicopong extreme values for this watershed were computed based on a 35-year record Table 27.

COMPUTED EXTREME VALUES (in mm) OF PRECIPITATION										
T (yrs)	10 mins	20 mins	30 mins	1 hr	2 hrs	3 hrs	6 hrs	12 hrs	24 hrs	
2	16.2	24.8	30.6	39.7	50	55.3	63.4	69.1	76	
5	21.8	33.6	42.3	57.1	76.5	87.3	100	109.5	116.5	
10	25.6	39.4	50	68.6	94	108.5	124.3	136.3	143.3	
15	27.7	42.7	54.3	75.1	103.9	120.5	138	151.4	158.4	
20	29.1	45	57.4	79.7	110.8	128.9	147.5	162	169	
25	30.3	46.8	59.7	83.2	116.1	135.3	154.9	170.2	177.2	
50	33.8	52.3	66.9	94	132.5	155.2	177.6	195.3	202.4	
100	37.2	57.7	74.1	104.8	148.8	174.9	200.2	220.2	227.3	

Table 27. RIDF values for Dumaguete Point Rain Gauge computed by PAGASA



Figure 62.Location of Dumaguete RIDF Station relative to Sicopong River Basin



Figure 63. Synthetic storm generated for a 24-hr period rainfall for various return periods.

#### 5.3 HMS Model

The soil dataset was generated before 2004 by the Bureau of Soils and Water Management under the Department of Agriculture (DA-BSWM). The land cover dataset is from the National Mapping and Resource information Authority (NAMRIA). The soil and land cover of the Sicopong River Basin are shown in Figure 64 and Figure 65, respectively.



Figure 64. Soil map of the Sicopong River Basin used for the estimation of the CN parameter. (Source: DA)



Figure 65. Land cover map of Tiabanan River Basin used for the estimation of the Curve Number (CN) and the watershed lag parameters of the rainfall-runoff model. (Source: NAMRIA)
For the Sicopong river basin, four (4) soil classes were identified. The Sicopong river basin is mostly rough mountainous land and Faraon clay (steep phase), with small portions of Calumpang clay and San Manuel fine sandy loam. Moreover, five (5) land cover classes were identified. Most of the Sicopong river basin is largely brushland and cultivated area, with small patches of grassland, tree plantation and perennial, and open canopy forest land cover.



Figure 66. Slope map of Sicopong River Basin.



Figure 67. Stream delineation map of Sicopong River Basin

The Sicopong basin model comprises 101 sub basins, 50 reaches, and 50 junctions. The main outlet is outlet 1. This basin model is illustrated in Figure 68. The basins were identified based on soil and land cover characteristic of the area. Precipitation was taken from an installed rain gauge near and inside the river basin. Finally, it was calibrated using the data from actual discharge flow gathered in the Sicopong Bridge.



Figure 68. HEC-HMS generated Sicopong River Basin Model.

# 5.4 Cross-section Data

Riverbed cross-sections of the watershed are crucial in the HEC-RAS model setup. The cross-section data for the HEC-RAS model was derived using the LiDAR DEM data. It was defined using the Arc GeoRAS tool and was post-processed in ArcGIS. This is illustrated in Figure 69.





# 5.5 Flo 2D Model

The automated modelling process allows for the creation of a model with boundaries that are almost exactly coincidental with that of the catchment area. As such, they have approximately the same land area and location. The entire area is divided into square grid elements, 10 meter by 10 meter in size. Each element is assigned a unique grid element number which serves as its identifier, then attributed with the parameters required for modelling such as x-and y-coordinate of centroid, names of adjacent grid elements, Manning coefficient of roughness, infiltration, and elevation value. The elements are arranged spatially to form the model, allowing the software to simulate the flow of water across the grid elements and in eight directions (north, south, east, west, northeast, northwest, southeast, southwest).

Based on the elevation and flow direction, it is seen that the water will generally flow from the northeast of the model to the southwest, following the main channel. As such, boundary elements in those particular regions of the model are assigned as inflow and outflow elements respectively.

D → Q Q Y ▲ ● + ■ × O ■ 474,333.41 1,041,682.19 me

- o ×



Figure 70. Screenshot of subcatchment with the computational area to be modeled in FLO-2D GDS Pro

The simulation is then run through FLO-2D GDS Pro. This particular model had a computer run time of 19.19775 hours. After the simulation, FLO-2D Mapper Pro is used to transform the simulation results into spatial data that shows flood hazard levels, as well as the extent and inundation of the flood. Assigning the appropriate flood depth and velocity values for Low, Medium, and High creates the following food hazard level. For this particular level, the minimum h (Maximum depth) is set at 0.2 m while the minimum vh (Product of maximum velocity (v) times maximum depth (h)) is set at 0 m2/s.

The creation of a flood hazard map from the model also automatically creates a flow depth map depicting the maximum amount of inundation for every grid element. The legend used by default in Flo-2D Mapper is not a good representation of the range of flood inundation values, so a different legend is used for the layout. In this particular model, the inundated parts cover a maximum land area of 24 523 300.00 m2.

There is a total of 52 082 025.29 m3 of water entering the model. Of this amount, 5 608 006.37 m3 is due to rainfall while 46 474 018.91 m3 is inflow from other areas outside the model. 3 018 355.50 m3 of this water is lost to infiltration and interception, while 9 171 441.57 m3 is stored by the flood plain. The rest, amounting up to 39 892 229.24 m3, is outflow.

The rest, amounting up to 139 066 229.25 m3, is outflow.

# 5.6 Results of HMS Calibration

After calibrating the Sicopong HEC-HMS river basin model, its accuracy was measured against the observed values. Figure 71 shows the comparison between the two discharge data.



Figure 71. Outflow Hydrograph of Sicopong produced by the HEC-HMS model compared with observed outflow.

Enumerated in Table 28 are the adjusted ranges of values of the parameters used in calibrating the model.

Hydrologic Element	Calculation Type	Method	Parameter	Range of Calibrated Values
Less		SCS Curve Number	Initial Abstraction (mm)	0.57-13.76
	LUSS	SCS Curve Number	Curve Number	39.34-99
Desin	Transform	Clark Unit Hydrograph	Time of Concentration (hr)	0-100
DdSIII			Storage Coefficient (hr)	0.02-199.99
	Baseflow	Recession	<b>Recession Constant</b>	0.02-23.14
			Ratio to Peak	0-0.01
Reach	Routing	Muskingum-Cunge	Manning's Coefficient	0-0.03

Table 28. Range of calibrated values for Tiabanan Watershed

Initial abstraction defines the amount of precipitation that must fall before surface runoff. The magnitude of the outflow hydrograph increases as initial abstraction decreases. The range of values from 0.57-13.76mm means that there is minimal to average amount of infiltration or rainfall interception by vegetation.

Curve number is the estimate of the precipitation excess of soil cover, land use, and antecedent moisture. The magnitude of the outflow hydrograph increases as curve number increases. The range of curve numbers for Sicopong is 39.34-99 since it is consists mostly of grasslands and the soil consists of clay and mountainous land.

Time of Concentration is the travel time of runoff in a watershed. The range of calibrated values from 0.02-199.99 minutes determines the reaction time of the model with respect to the rainfall. The peak magnitude of the hydrograph also decreases when these parameters are increased.

Recession constant is the rate at which baseflow recedes between storm events and ratio to peak is the ratio of the baseflow discharge to the peak discharge. Recession constant 0-0.01 indicates that the basin is unlikely to quickly return to its original discharge.

Manning's roughness coefficient of 0.014-0.8 corresponds to the landcover types in Sicopong riverbasin. These were identified as most brushland and cultivated areas (Brunner, 2010).

Accuracy measure	Value	
RMSE	8.8913	
r2	0.9535	
NSE	0.8665	
PBIAS	-23	
RSR	0.3654	

Table 29. Summary of the Efficiency Test of Sicopong HMS Model.

The Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) method aggregates the individual differences of these two measurements. It was identified at 8.8913.

The Pearson correlation coefficient ( assesses the strength of the linear relationship between the observations and the model. This value being close to 1 corresponds to an almost perfect match of the observed discharge and the resulting discharge from the HEC HMS model. Here, it measured 0.9536.

The Nash-Sutcliffe (E) method was also used to assess the predictive power of the model. Here the optimal value is 1. The model attained an efficiency coefficient of 0.8665.

A positive Percent Bias (PBIAS) indicates a model's propensity towards under-prediction. Negative values indicate bias towards over-prediction. Again, the optimal value is 0. In the model, the PBIAS is -23.

The Observation Standard Deviation Ratio, RSR, is an error index. A perfect model attains a value of 0 when the error in the units of the valuable a quantified. The model has an RSR value of 0.3654.

# 5.7 Calculated Outflow hydrographs and Discharge Values for different Rainfall Return Periods

# 5.7.1 Hydrograph using the Rainfall Runoff Model

The summary graph (Figure 72) show the Sicopong outflow using the Dumaguete Rainfall Intensity-Duration-Frequency curves (RIDF) in 5 different return periods (5-, 10-, 25-, 50-, and 100-year rainfall time series) based on the Philippine Atmospheric Geophysical and Astronomical Services Administration (PAG-ASA) data. The simulation results reveal significant increase in outflow magnitude as the rainfall intensity increases for a uniform duration of 24 hours and varying return periods.





A summary of the total precipitation, peak rainfall, peak outflow and time to peak of the Sicopong River discharge using the Dumaguete Point Rainfall Intensity-Duration-Frequency curves (RIDF) in five different return periods is shown in Table 30.

RIDF Period	Total Precipitation (mm)	Peak rainfall (mm)	Peak outflow (m 3/s)	Time to Peak
5-year RIDF	116.5	21.800	822.907	3 hours, 30 minutes
10-year RIDF	143.3	25.600	1,015.751	3 hours, 20 minutes
25-year RIDF	177.2	30.300	1,264.251	3 hours, 20 minutes
50-year RIDF	202.4	33.800	1,447.268	3 hours, 20 minutes
100-year RIDF	227.3	37.200	1,629.255	3 hours, 20 minutes

Table 30. Peak values of the Tiabanan HECHMS Model outflow using the Dumaguete RIDF

# 5.7.2 Discharge data using Dr. Horritt's recommended hydrologic method

The river discharge values for the river entering the floodplain are shown in and the peak values are summarized in Table 31.



Figure 73. Sicopong river (1) generated discharge using 5-, 25-, and 100-year Dumaguete rainfall intensity-duration-frequency (RIDF) in HEC-HMS

Гable 31. Summar	y of Sicopor	ng river (1)	) discharge	generated in	HEC-HMS
	· ·	() ()		()	

RIDF Period	Peak discharge (cms)	Time-to-peak
100-Year	2015.3	16 hours, 20 minutes
25-Year	1485.5	16 hours, 20 minutes
5-Year	873.3	16 hours, 20 minutes

Discharge				VALI	DATION
Point	Q <sub>MED(SCS)</sub> , cms	Q <sub>BANKFUL</sub> , cms	Q <sub>MED(SPEC)</sub> , cms	Bankful Discharge	Specific Discharge
Sicopong (1)	768.504	1088.954	456.556	Pass	Fail

Table 32. Validation of river discharge estimates

The values from the HEC-HMS river discharge estimates were able to satisfy the conditions for validation using the bankful discharge method but it failed to satisfy the conditions for validation using the specific discharge method. The calculated values are based on theory but are supported using other discharge computation methods so they were good to use for flood modeling. However, these values will need further investigation for the purpose of validation. It is therefore recommended to obtain actual values of the river discharges for higher-accuracy modeling.

## 5.8 River Analysis (RAS) Model Simulation

The HEC-RAS Flood Model produced a simulated water level at every cross section for every time step for every flood simulation created. The resulting model will be used in determining the flooded areas within the model. The simulated model will be an integral part in determining real-time flood inundation extent of the river after it has been automated and uploaded on the DREAM/ Phil-LiDAR 1 website. For this publication, only a sample output map river was to be shown. The sample generated map of Sicopong River using the calibrated event flow is shown in Figure 74.



Figure 74. Sample output of Sicopong RAS Model

# 5.9 Flow Depth and Flood Hazard

The resulting hazard and flow depth maps have a 10m resolution. Figure 75 to Figure 80 shows the 5-, 25-, and 100-year rain return scenarios of the Sicopong floodplain. The floodplain, with an area of \_\_\_\_\_\_ sq. km., covers one city and one municipality, namely Bayawan City and Santa Catalina, respectively. Table 31 shows the percentage of area affected by flooding per municipality.

Table 33. Municipalities affected in Sicopong Floodplain.

Province	Municipality	Total Area	Area Flooded	% Flooded
Bayawan City	699.09			
Santa Catalina	414.05			



Figure 75. 100-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery.



Figure 76. 100-year Flow Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery



Figure 77. 25-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery



Figure 78. 25-year Flow Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery



Figure 79. 5-year Flood Hazard Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery



Figure 80. 5-year Flood Depth Map for Sicopong Floodplain overlaid on Google Earth imagery

# 5.10 Inventory of Areas Exposed to Flooding

Affected barangays in the Sicopong river basin, grouped by municipality, are listed below. For the said basin, two (2) municipalities consisting of five (5) barangays are expected to experience flooding when subjected to 5-yr rainfall return period.

For the 5-year return period, 1.74% of the city of Bayawan with an area of 699.09 sq. km. will experience flood levels of less 0.20 meters. 0.11% of the area will experience flood levels of 0.21 to 0.50 meters while 0.09%, 0.11%, 0.14%, and 0.12% of the area will experience flood depths of 0.51 to 1 meter, 1.01 to 2 meters, 2.01 to 5 meters, and more than 5 meters respectively. Listed in Table 34 and shown in Figure 81 are the affected areas in square kilometres by flood depth per barangay.

Table 34. Affected Areas in Bayawan City, Negros Oriental during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period.

Affected Area (sq. km.) by	Area of affected barangays in Bayawan City (in sq. km.)			
flood depth (in m.)	Maninihon	San Isidro	Villareal	
0.03-0.20	7.89	3.17	1.11	
0.21-0.50	0.4	0.14	0.23	
0.51-1.00	0.24	0.053	0.36	
1.01-2.00	0.2	0.047	0.56	
2.01-5.00	0.4	0.18	0.4	
> 5.00	0.37	0.37	0.083	



Figure 81. Affected Areas in Bayawan City, Negros Oriental during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period

For the municipality of Santa Catalina, with an area of 414.05 sq. km., 1.28% will experience flood levels of less 0.20 meters. 0.19% of the area will experience flood levels of 0.21 to 0.50 meters while 0.14%, 0.12%, 0.02%, and 0.1% of the area will experience flood depths of 0.51 to 1 meter, 1.01 to 2 meters, 2.01 to 5 meters, and more than 5 meters, respectively. Listed in Table 35 and shown in Figure 82 are the affected areas in square kilometres by flood depth per barangay.

Affected Area (sq. km.)	Area of affected barangays in Santa Catalina (in sq. km.)		
(in m.)	Caranoche	Poblacion	
0.03-0.20	5.1	0.18	
0.21-0.50	0.77	0.0089	
0.51-1.00	0.58	0.0026	
1.01-2.00	0.47	0.0019	
2.01-5.00	0.09	0.0027	
> 5.00	0.4	0	

Table 35. Affected Areas in Santa Catalina, Negros Oriental during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period.



Figure 82. Affected Areas in Santa Catalina, Negros Oriental during 5-Year Rainfall Return Period.

For the 25-year return period, 1.66% of the city of Bayawan with an area of 699.09 sq. km. will experience flood levels of less 0.20 meters. 0.12% of the area will experience flood levels of 0.21 to 0.50 meters while 0.1%, 0.13%, 0.13%, and 0.18% of the area will experience flood depths of 0.51 to 1 meter, 1.01 to 2 meters, 2.01 to 5 meters, and more than 5 meters respectively. Listed in Table 36 and shown in Figure 83 are the affected areas in square kilometres by flood depth per barangay.

Affected Area (sq. km.) by	Area of affected barangays in Bayawan City (in sq. km.)			
flood depth (in m.)	Maninihon	San Isidro	Villareal	
0.03-0.20	7.7	3.07	0.86	
0.21-0.50	0.43	0.16	0.23	
0.51-1.00	0.28	0.062	0.34	
1.01-2.00	0.22	0.04	0.62	
2.01-5.00	0.25	0.071	0.58	
> 5.00	0.62	0.56	0.11	

Table 36. Affected Areas in Bayawan City, Negros Oriental during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period.



Figure 83. Affected Areas in Bayawan City, Negros Oriental during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period.

For the municipality of Santa Catalina, with an area of 414.05 sq. km., 1.11% will experience flood levels of less 0.20 meters. 0.23% of the area will experience flood levels of 0.21 to 0.50 meters while 0.15%, 0.19%, 0.03%, and 0.13% of the area will experience flood depths of 0.51 to 1 meter, 1.01 to 2 meters, 2.01 to 5 meters, and more than 5 meters, respectively. Listed in Table 37 and shown in Figure 84 are the affected areas in square kilometres by flood depth per barangay.

Affected Area (sq. km.)	Area of affected barangays in Santa Catalina (in sq. km.)		
(in m.)	Caranoche	Poblacion	
0.03-0.20	4.44	0.17	
0.21-0.50	0.93	0.013	
0.51-1.00	0.62	0.0062	
1.01-2.00	0.77	0.0033	
2.01-5.00	0.11	0.0035	
> 5.00	0.55	0	

Table 37. Affected Areas in Santa Catalina, Negros Oriental during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period.



Figure 84. Affected Areas in Santa Catalina, Negros Oriental during 25-Year Rainfall Return Period.

For the 100-year return period, 1.62% of the city of Bayawan with an area of 699.09 sq. km. will experience flood levels of less 0.20 meters. 0.12% of the area will experience flood levels of 0.21 to 0.50 meters while 0.1%, 0.13%, 0.15%, and 0.2% of the area will experience flood depths of 0.51 to 1 meter, 1.01 to 2 meters, 2.01 to 5 meters, and more than 5 meters respectively. Listed in Table 38 and shown in Figure 85 are the affected areas in square kilometres by flood depth per barangay.

Affected Area (sq. km.) by	Area of affected barangays in Bayawan City (in sq. km.)			
flood depth (in m.)	Maninihon	San Isidro	Villareal	
0.03-0.20	7.58	2.99	0.72	
0.21-0.50	0.44	0.17	0.2	
0.51-1.00	0.29	0.071	0.32	
1.01-2.00	0.25	0.041	0.65	
2.01-5.00	0.27	0.069	0.72	
> 5.00	0.66	0.6	0.13	

Table 38. Affected Areas in Bayawan City, Negros Oriental during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period.



Figure 85. Affected Areas in Bayawan City, Negros Oriental during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period.

For the municipality of Santa Catalina, with an area of 414.05 sq. km., 0.98% will experience flood levels of less 0.20 meters. 0.26% of the area will experience flood levels of 0.21 to 0.50 meters while 0.18%, 0.22%, 0.04%, and 0.16% of the area will experience flood depths of 0.51 to 1 meter, 1.01 to 2 meters, 2.01 to 5 meters, and more than 5 meters, respectively. Listed in Table 39 and shown in Figure 86 are the affected areas in square kilometres by flood depth per barangay.

Affected Area (sq. km.)	Area of affected barangays in Santa Catalina (in sq. km.)				
(in m.)	Caranoche	Poblacion			
0.03-0.20	3.91	0.16			
0.21-0.50	1.06	0.017			
0.51-1.00	0.72	0.0031			
1.01-2.00	0.92	0.0077			
2.01-5.00	0.17	0.0043			
> 5.00	0.64	0			

Table 39. Affected Areas in Santa Catalina, Negros Oriental during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period



Figure 86. Affected Areas in Santa Catalina, Negros Oriental during 100-Year Rainfall Return Period

Among the barangays in the city of Bayawan, Maninihon is projected to have the highest percentage of area that will experience flood levels at 1.36%. Meanwhile, San Isidro posted the second highest percentage of area that may be affected by flood depths at 0.57%.

Among the barangays in the municipality of Santa Catalina, Caranoche is projected to have the highest percentage of area that will experience flood levels at 1.79%. Meanwhile, Poblacion posted the second highest percentage of area that may be affected by flood depths at 0.1%.

Moreover, the generated flood hazard maps for the Sicopong Floodplain were used to assess the vulnerability of the educational and medical institutions in the floodplain. Using the flood depth units of PAG-ASA for hazard maps - "Low", "Medium", and "High" - the affected institutions were given their individual assessment for each Flood Hazard Scenario (5 yr, 25 yr, and 100 yr).

Marning Loval	Area Covered in sq. km.					
warning Level	5 year	25 year	100 year			
Low	1.56	1.77	1.91			
Medium	2.07	2.31	2.48			
High	2.78	3.55	4.12			
TOTAL	6.41	7.63	8.51			

Table 40. Area covered by each warning level with respect to the rainfall scenario.

Of the six (6) identified Education Institutions in the Sicopong Flood plain, 1 school was assessed to be exposed to Low level flooding during the 5, and 25 year scenarios. The educational institutions affected by flooding in the Sicopong floodplain are found in Annex 12.

One (1) identified Medical Institution was identified in the Sicopong Flood Plain and it was not assessed to be exposed to any of the flooding scenarios. The medical or health institutions affected by flooding in the Sicopong floodplain are found in Annex 12.

## **5.11 Flood Validation**

Survey was done along the floodplain of Sicopong River to validate the generated flood maps. The team gathered secondary data regarding flood occurrence in the area. Ground validation points were acquired as well as the other necessary details like date of occurrence, name of typhoon and actual flood depth.

During validation conducted last December 7, 2016, the team was assisted by the local Disaster Risk Reduction and Management representative from the Municipality of Sta Catalina. Residents along the floodplain were interviewed of the historical flood events they experiences.

Actual flood depth acquired from the ground validation were then computed and compared to the flood depth simulated by the model. An RMSE value of 0.05 was obtained (Figure 88).

This image is not available for this river basin.





### Figure 88. Flood map depth vs. actual flood depth

Actual Flood Depth			Modeled Flood Depth (m)				
(m)	0-0.20	0.21-0.50 0.51-1.00		1.01-2.00	1.01-2.00 2.01-5.00		Total
0-0.20	0	0	1	0	8	0	9
0.21-0.50	0	0	0	0	7	0	7
0.51-1.00	0	0	1	0	5	0	6
1.01-2.00	0	0	0	1	5	1	7
2.01-5.00	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
> 5.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	2	1	27	1	31

Table 41. Actual flood vs simulated flood depth of Sicopong River Basin.

The overall accuracy generated by the flood model is estimated at 12.90% with 4 points correctly matching the actual flood depths. In addition, there were 5 points estimated one level above and below the correct flood depths while there were 7 points and 15 points estimated two levels above and below, and three or more levels above and below the correct flood. A total of 4 points were overestimated while a total of 0 points were underestimated in the modelled flood depths of Sicopong. The summary of the accuracy assessment is presented in Table 42.

Table 42. Summary of the Accuracy Assessment in the Sicopong River Basin Survey.

	No. of Points	%
Correct	4	12.90
Overestimated	27	87.10
Underestimated	0	0.00
Total	31	100.00

# REFERENCES

Ang M.O., Paringit E.C., et al. 2014. DREAM Data Processing Component Manual. Quezon City, Philippines: UP Training Center for Applied Geodesy and Photogrammetry.

Balicanta L.P., Paringit E.C., et al. 2014. DREAM Data Validation Component Manual. Quezon City, Philippines: UP Training Center for Applied Geodesy and Photogrammetry.

Brunner, G. H. 2010a. HEC-RAS River Analysis System Hydraulic Reference Manual. Davis, CA: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Institute for Water Resources, Hydrologic Engineering Center.

Lagmay A.F., Paringit E.C., et al. 2014. DREAM Flood Modeling Component Manual. Quezon City, Philippines: UP Training Center for Applied Geodesy and Photogrammetry.

Paringit E.C, Balicanta L.P., Ang, M.O., Sarmiento, C. 2017. Flood Mapping of Rivers in the Philippines Using Airborne Lidar: Methods. Quezon City, Philippines: UP Training Center for Applied Geodesy and Photogrammetry.

Sarmiento C., Paringit E.C., et al. 2014. DREAM Data Acquisition Component Manual. Quezon City, Philippines: UP Training Center for Applied Geodesy and Photogrammetry.

UP TCAGP 2016, Acceptance and Evaluation of Synthetic Aperture Radar Digital Surface Model (SAR DSM) and Ground Control Points (GCP). Quezon City, Philippines: UP Training Center for Applied Geodesy and Photogrammetry.

# **ANNEXES** Annex 1. Optech Technical Specification of the Gemini Sensor



Figure A-1.1 Gemini Sensor

Table A-1.1 Parameters and Specifications of the Gemini Sensor

Parameter	Specification
Operational envelope (1,2,3,4)	150-4000 m AGL, nominal
Laser wavelength	1064 nm
Horizontal accuracy (2)	1/5,500 x altitude, (m AGL)
Elevation accuracy (2)	<5-35 cm, 1 σ
Effective laser repetition rate	Programmable, 33-167 kHz
Position and orientation system	POS AV™ AP50 (OEM); 220-channel dual frequency GPS/GNSS/Galileo/L-Band receiver
Scan width (WOV)	Programmable, 0-50°
Scan frequency (5)	Programmable, 0-70 Hz (effective)
Sensor scan product	1000 maximum
Beam divergence	Dual divergence: 0.25 mrad (1/e) and 0.8 mrad (1/e), nomi- nal
Roll compensation	Programmable, ±5° (FOV dependent)
Range capture	Up to 4 range measurements, including 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and last returns
Intensity capture	Up to 4 intensity returns for each pulse, including last (12 bit)
Video Camera	Internal video camera (NTSC or PAL)
Image capture	Compatible with full Optech camera line (optional)
Full waveform capture	12-bit Optech IWD-2 Intelligent Waveform Digitizer (optional)
Data storage	Removable solid state disk SSD (SATA II)
Power requirements	28 V; 900 W;35 A(peak)
Dimensions and weight	Sensor: 260 mm (w) x 190 mm (l) x 570 mm (h); 23 kg Control rack: 650 mm (w) x 590 mm (l) x 530 mm (h); 53 kg
Operating temperature	-10°C to +35°C (with insulating jacket)
Relative humidity	0-95% no-condensing

# Annex 2. NAMRIA Certificate of Reference Points Used in the LiDAR Survey

1. NGE-97



October 15, 2014

#### CERTIFICATION

To whom it may concern:

This is to certify that according to the records on file in this office, the requested survey information is as follows -

	Province: NEGROS ORIENTAL			
	Station Name: NGE-97			
	Order: 2nd			
Island: VISAYAS Municipality: BAYAWAN	Barangay: SUBA MSL Elevation: PRS92 Coordinates			
Latitude: 9° 22" 10.68255"	Longitude: 122º 48' 1.35582"	Ellipsoidal	Hgt	9.65300 m.
	WGS84 Coordinates			
Latitude: 9º 22" 6.70304"	Longitude: 122º 48' 6.69563"	Ellipsoidal	Hgt	70.79700 m
	PTM / PRS92 Coordinates			
Northing: 1036021.986 m.	Easting: 478073.348 m.	Zone:	4	
	UTM / PRS92 Coordinates			
Northing: 1,035,659.36	Easting: 478,081.02	Zone:	51	

Location Description

NGE-97

The station is located on the SE corner of Bayawan Bridge which is at KM 102+198. Mark is the head of 4" copper nail flushed at the center of a 30cm x 30cm cement putty embedded on the bridge's concrete sidewalk with inscription "NGE-97 2007 NAMRIA."

Requesting Party: Phil-LIDAR I Purpose: Reference OR Number: 8075810 I T.N.: 2014-2468

RUEL DM. BELEN, MNSA Director, Mapping And Geodesy Branch G





NAMEN OFFICES: Main: Lawna Awarus, Fort Benillecis, 1658 Tagaig City, Philippines Tel. No. (602) B10-4831 to 41 Brench : 421 Bernes Di. San Nicolas, 1910 Annia, Philippines, Tel. No. (602) 241-0404 to 06 www.namria.gov.ph

ISO 9001: 2008 CERTIFIED FOR MAPPING AND GEOSPATIAL INFORMATION MANAGEMENT

Figure A-2.1 NGE-97

#### 2. NGE-105



October 15, 2014

#### CERTIFICATION

To whom it may concern:

This is to certify that according to the records on file in this office, the requested survey information is as follows -

	Province: NEGROS ORIENTAL		
	Station Name: NGE-105		
	Order: 2nd		
Island: VISAYAS Municipality: STA CATALINA	Barangay: NAGBALAYEN MSL Elevation: PRS92 Coordinates		
Latitude: 9º 15' 23.79985"	Longitude: 122º 52' 24.36983"	Ellipsoidal Hgt	8.89200 m.
	WGS84 Coordinates		
Latitude: 9º 15' 19.85595"	Longitude: 122º 52' 29.71925"	Ellipsoidal Hgt	70.46200 m
	PTM / PRS92 Coordinates		
Northing: 1023518.905 m.	Easting: 486093.752 m.	Zone: 4	
	UTM / PRS92 Coordinates		
Northing: 1,023,160.66	Easting: 486,098.62	Zone: 51	

Location Description

NGE-105 The station is located on top of the bridge wingwall SW of the Bridge main span. It is on the left side of the 1st approach coming from Siaton on the way to Sta Catalina. The height of the wingwall is about 1.00 m, from the road pavement. The bridge is at Km. 84+627. The station is along the Dumaguete-Bayawan national highway. Mark is the head of a 4" copper nail drilled and grouted at the center of a 30 x 30 cm. cement putty embedded on concrete bridge's wingwall with inscriptions "NGE-105; 2007; NAMRIA".

Requesting Party:	Phil-Ll
Purpose:	Refere
OR Number:	807581
T.N.:	2014-2

DARI ince 101 462

RUEL DM. BELEN, MNSA Director, Mapping And Geodesy Branch G





NAMINA OFFICES: Nam: Lawton Avenue, Pot Bonitacia, 1634 Taguig City, Philippines Tel. No. (652) 810-4831 to 41 Bench: 421 Burnaca St. San Nicolas, 1018 Namia, Philippines, Tal. No. (652) 241-3454 to 98 www.namina.gov.ph

ISO 9101: 2008 CERTIFIED FOR MAPPING AND GEOSPATIAL INFORMATION MANAGEMENT

Figure A-2.2 NGE-105

#### 3. NGE-107



Republic of the Philippines Department of Environment and Natural Resources NATIONAL MAPPING AND RESOURCE INFORMATION AUTHORITY

October 15, 2014

#### CERTIFICATION

#### To whom it may concern:

This is to certify that according to the records on file in this office, the requested survey information is as follows -

	Province: NEC	GROS ORIENTAL			
	Station Na	ame: NGE-107			
	Order	2nd			
Island: VISAYAS Municipality: STA CATALINA	Barangay: MSL Elevat	MANALONGON tion:			
	PRSS	92 Coordinates			
Latitude: 9º 13' 23.69730"	Longitude:	122° 52' 53.67884"	Ellipsoida	al Hgt:	8.08400 m.
	WGS	84 Coordinates			
Latitude: 9º 13' 19.76274"	Longitude:	122° 52' 59.03119"	Ellipsoida	al Hgt:	69.74600 m.
	PTM / PI	RS92 Coordinates			
Northing: 1019829.085 m.	Easting:	486987.067 m.	Zone:	4	
	UTM / PI	RS92 Coordinates			
Northing: 1,019,472.13	Easting:	486,991.62	Zone:	51	

Location Description

#### NGE-107

The bridge is at Km.80+569 over Manalongon river. The barangay hall complex is on the NE of the bridge, about 60 m. from the station. The station is located on top of the sidewalk of Manalongon bridge, near the Manalongon barangay complex. It is located on the left approach of the bridge coming from Sta Catalina heading to Dumaguete City. Mark is the head of a 4" copper nail drilled and grouted at the center of a 30 x 03 cm. cement putty embedded on concrete bridge's sidewalk with inscriptions "NGE-107; 2007; NAMRIA".

Requesting Party: Phil-LIDAR I Purpose: OR Number: T.N.:

Reference 8075810 I 2014-2464

RUEL DM. BELEN, MNSA Director Mapping And Geodesy Branch G





NAMRIA OFFICES: Main : Lawton Avenue, Fort Bonifacio, 1634 Taguig City, Philippines Tel. No.: (632) 810-4831 to 41 Branch : 421 Barraca St. San Nicolas, 1010 Manila, Philippines, Tel. No. (632) 241-3494 to 98 www.namria.gov.ph

ISO 9001: 2008 CERTIFIED FOR MAPPING AND GEOSPATIAL INFORMATION MANAGEMENT

Figure A-2.3 NGE-107

#### 4. NE-21

Republic of the Philippines Department of Environment and Natural Resources NATIONAL MAPPING AND RESOURCE INFORMATION AUTHORITY

October 15, 2014

#### CERTIFICATION

#### To whom it may concern:

O RESOURCE INF

This is to certify that according to the records on file in this office, the requested survey information is as follows -

	Province: NE	GROS ORIENTAL			
	Station N	ame: NGE-107			
	Orde	r: 2nd			
Island: VISAYAS Municipality: STA CATALINA	Barangay: MSL Eleva <i>PRS</i>	MANALONGON ation: 92 Coordinates			
Latitude: 9º 13' 23.69730"	Longitude:	122° 52' 53.67884''	Ellipsoid	lal Hgt:	8.08400 m.
	WGS	84 Coordinates			
Latitude: 9º 13' 19.76274"	Longitude:	122° 52' 59.03119"	Ellipsoid	lal Hgt:	69.74600 m
	PTM/P	RS92 Coordinates			
Northing: 1019829.085 m.	Easting:	486987.067 m.	Zone:	4	
	UTM / P	RS92 Coordinates			
Northing: 1,019,472.13	Easting:	486,991.62	Zone:	51	

Location Description

NGE-107

The bridge is at Km.80+569 over Manalongon river. The barangay hall complex is on the NE of the bridge, about 60 m. from the station. The station is located on top of the sidewalk of Manalongon bridge, near the Manalongon barangay complex. It is located on the left approach of the bridge coming from Sta Catalina heading to Dumaguete City. Mark is the head of a 4" copper nail drilled and grouted at the center of a 30 x 03 cm. cement putty embedded on concrete bridge's sidewalk with inscriptions "NGE-107; 2007; NAMRIA".

Requesting Party: Purpose: OR Number: T.N.:

Phil-LIDAR I Reference 8075810 I 2014-2464

11 RUEL DM. BELEN, MNSA Director Mapping And Geodesy Branch G





NAMRIA OFFICES: Main : Lawton Avenue, Fort Bonifacio, 1634 Taguig Cily, Philippines Tel. No.: (632) 810-4831 to 41 Branch : 421 Barraca St. San Nicolas, 1010 Manila, Philippines, Tel. No. (632) 241-3494 to 98 www.namria.gov.ph

ISO 9001: 2008 CERTIFIED FOR MAPPING AND GEOSPATIAL INFORMATION MANAGEMENT

Figure A-2.4 NE-21

# Annex 3. Baseline Processing Reports of Control Points used in the LiDAR Survey

1. NE-21

NGE-97 - NE-21 (6:29:33 AM-9:38:04 AM) (S1)					
Baseline observation:	NGE-97 NE-21 (B1)				
Processed:	11/3/2014 11:39:15 AM				
Solution type:	Fixed				
Frequency used:	Dual Frequency (L1, L2)				
Horizontal precision:	0.006 m				
Vertical precision:	0.016 m				
RMS:	0.019 m				
Maximum PDOP:	1.766				
Ephemeris used:	Broadcast				
Antenna model:	Trimble Relative				
Processing start time:	10/20/2014 6:29:39 AM (Local: UTC+8hr)				
Processing stop time:	10/20/2014 9:38:04 AM (Local: UTC+8hr)				
Processing duration:	03:08:25				
Processing interval:	5 seconds				

#### Vector Components (Mark to Mark)

From:	NGE-97								
G	irid	Local		cal		Global		bal	
Easting	478081.022 m	Latit	ude	N9°22'10	0.68255"	Latitude		N9°22'06.70304"	
Northing	1035659.360 m	Long	gitude	E122°48'0	1.35582"	Longitude		E122°48'06.69563"	
Elevation	8.347 m	Heig	pht		9.653 m	Height		70.797 m	
To:	NE-21								
G	irld	Local			Global		bal		
Easting	473740.044 m	Latit	ude	N9°22'18	8.89002"	Latitude		N9°22'14.90643"	
Northing	1035914.112 m	Long	gitude	E122°45'3	9.02590"	" Longitude		E122°45'44.36578"	
Elevation	5.801 m	Helg	pht		7.040 m	Height		68.081 m	
Vector									
ΔEasting	-4340.97	'9 m	NS Fwd Azimuth			273°19'34"	ΔX	3674.857 m	
ΔNorthing	254.75	i2 m	Ellipsoid Dist.			4350.156 m	ΔY	2314.666 m	
ΔElevation	-2.54	6 m	∆Height			-2.613 m	ΔZ	248.233 m	

#### Standard Errors

Vector errors:					
σ ΔEasting	0.002 m	σ NS fwd Azimuth	0°00'00"	σΔΧ	0.005 m
σΔNorthing	0.002 m	σ Ellipsoid Dist.	0.002 m	σΔY	0.007 m
σ ΔElevation	0.008 m	σΔHeight	0.008 m	σΔΖ	0.002 m

Figure A-2.1 NE-21

# Annex 4. The LiDAR Survey Team Composition

Data Acquisition Component Sub-Team	Designation	Name	Agency/ Affilia- tion
PHIL-LIDAR 1	Program Leader	ENRICO C. PARINGIT, D.ENG	UP-TCAGP
Data Acquisition Component Leader	Data Component Project Leader – I	ENGR. LOUIE P. BALICANTA	UP-TCAGP
	Chief Science Research Specialist (CSRS)	ENGR. CHRISTOPHER CRUZ	UP-TCAGP
Survey	Supervising	LOVELY GRACIA ACUÑA	UP-TCAGP
Supervisor	Science Research Specialist (Supervising SRS)	LOVELYN ASUNCION	UP-TCAGP
	F	FIELD TEAM	
LiDAR Operation	Senior Science Research Special- ist (SSRS)	GEROME HIPOLITO	
		MA. VERLINA E. TONGA	
LiDAR Operation	Research Associ-	MA. REMEDIOS VILLANUEVA	UP-ICAGP
Ground Survey, Data Download and Transfer	ate (RA)	JONATHAN ALMALVEZ	
	Airborne Security	SSG. RAYMUND DOMINI	PHILIPPINE AIR FORCE (PAF)
		CAPT. RAUL CZ SAMAR II	
LIDAR Operation	Pilot	CAPT. BRYAN DONGUINES	AEROSPACE
		CAPT. NEIL ACHILLES AGAWIN	CORPORATION (AAC)

Floodplain
Sicopong
Sheet for
<b>Transfer</b> 3
Data
Annex 5.

DATA TRANSFER SHEET 1020/2014(Dumagueto ready)

				RAW	IAS				MISSIONLOG			DASE 51	ATION(S)	OPERATOR	FLIGHT	PLAN	SERVER
DATE	FUGHT WO.	NISSION MANE	SENBOR	Output LAB	KONL (swath)	LOGS (MB)	50d	IMAGENCASI	FLECALS	NANDE	permeter	BASE STATION(S)	Base Into (Art)	(00140)	Actual	KML	LOCATION
14 Can 14	76 V M	TRI VCCCDG7A	CEMIN	191	190	460	121	Ń	NA	8	W	12	103	8	n	2/8	2-IDNORAW
et-dac-67	tic)	REACTER PROPERTY	GEMN	16	119	192	ter t	NA	W	12.7	W	12	1,68	100	VN	=	Z IDADRAW DATA
+T-dac-h7	100	North Courtes	CPIMINI C	18.7	266	909	82	ž	NA	28.5	NK	7.82	ixB	1KB	9	F	ZIDACRAW DATA
25-5ep-14	alc/	VODVDDAN INT		1	ş	6	725	NA	M	13.3	NA	0,54	1KB	1KB	ø		ZIDACRAW
20-5cp-14	81C/	ACTORNESS INC	CEMIN	16.8	303	103	248	gN	MA	22	W	6.07	168	10		60	ZIDACRAW
+T-dac-27	Percent	Dollars A272A	GEMIN	537	5	123	1	¥	ž	1.8	Ň	3.6	tixe	100	318	5	ZUDADRAW
+T-dac-ne	0901	AATCAA 21 INC	GEMINI	191	1	210	122	ž	ž	526	Ŵ	3.91	1KB	1KB	2M	12	ZNDACRAW DATA
2-0ct-14	7530	2BUG48556E275	CEMIN	7.09	415	82	22	đ	×	8.74	Ň	3.7	1KB	163	344	12/7	ZNDACRAW
		V															

Received by

こうちょうい Received from Signature Position Name

Name Postion Signature

tion longia UNDA F. PRIETO

Figure A-5.1 Data Transfer Sheet for Sicopong Floodplain Flight – A
	SURVER LOCATION		Magnings for shores cost also all from the	Visiting from the first of the state of the	Water inter intercent and add with the state of the	Water inter landerers and add of the Australia	Water has been dream and add add add add and and	Variations has deen read adv. of maximum.	Vignatings for dealers and add of harren ever	Wateries for dealer und adv. adv. adv. adv.	Vignatings for dealers and add add additions	Waterbare has deared and and all all waterbare	Variation for Annual and the second s	Viewiew technic for doors up 6.00 august 000 AUG	Vieta transmission of community and a complete with the
PL AN		CML	NIA	6.76 KB	N/M	7.6 88	NUN	20.5 108	7.84 KB	MAK	8.95 68	15.4 68	7 80 CT	10/04	11.8 KB
PUGHT		ACTUN.	440	116 80	366 ¢8	236	372.65	198.88	352 103	351 103	151 109	672.808	145.69	193 80	NIA
Consister Young	LOCS	[B0H08]	148	1.48	1 KD	1 KB	1 103	1 103	1 103	1 103	1 103	1 103	100	1 48	5
	SET INFO	[.tet]	1.48	1.00	1 103	1 103	1 103	1 13	1 13	1 83	1 83	188	1 KB	110	1KB
	BASE DIATIO	ATTON/S	3.61	4.94	Ne.2	6.40	6.05	6.01	6.57	S.78	4.91	6.13	5.16	8.47	347
	1211 HIGH	15	MON	M/M	M/M	M(A	N/A	N/A	N/N	N/A	M/M	M/A	MUN	N/M	N/A
	(GB)		5.50	13.1	961	24.1	21	0.80	21.5	20.2	17.1	18.1	10.9	16.7	3.86
MISSION	/anu poo	0001000	M/A	M/M	PN/A	N/A	115 00	101 88	106 KB	N/A	MA	N/A	N/A	63.3 109	N/A
TANK	INAGES /	3	W/W	M/A	W/W	N/A	0.508	23 68	222	NA	MN	N/N	N/A	7.62 GB	N/N
3	(MM)		601	817	100	242		767	-		100	8	672	165	345
	1003		116.03	202.00	NIA	211.08	941.65	10000	EN OLY	0199.00	IN VCC	911 108	20018	12 15	307 KB
SN	R04L	(chevre)	64.5 858	847.07	BN 107	Did Not	100 000	000 CPE	GN 200	400 Mile	0000	400 73	227 102	272 88	62.1 MB
RAW	Output	S	1	110	e la	17 1 /14	24.00	18.48	40.5.06	10 10 D	2 24 24	00 4/10	80.017	12.7 00	N/A
	RENISOR	COLUMN COLUMN	COMPLETED	COMMITCARI,	COMMIL/AG	GENERICACI	GEMINE CASE	GDMIM-CAS	General Page	COMPRESS AND	COMPACT ACT	COMMITTEE COM	PERMIT CALL	COLUMN CLUB	SEMINI-CAS
	MINING MUSICAL	2010/04/04/04/04	20000000000000000000000000000000000000	28005542934	ZBLICESCREA	28.05318242A	281453452544	ZBUCSH295A	28UC531297A	200 ASSAMPLING	28 KSH9003004	201056201 SURFERENCE	2 14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	281 K GA KCRN10	BTORNAL COLS
RUGHT	NO.	(Dawn	7540	75.00	3562	1989	75,68	9570	76.74	7476	7575	OBiac	1682	1000	100
	ATE		Der.13	10400	And	Contra 1	Con-14	Out-14	Det-14	04444	104.14	10444	AT.130.	-06-34	005-34

RECEIVED BY:

RECEIVED FROM: NAME



Figure A-5.2 Data Transfer Sheet for Sicopong Floodplain Flight – B



AR OPERATOR: MAVE TONCIA 2 ALTM Model: CAN	H and 3 Mission Name: 281K53	OSBA 247844e: VFR	5 Ai rora ft Type: Ces nna T20	34 6 Aircraft Identification: 9302
at: R. SHTMYN BUD-PILOT NI ABOULD	9 Route:			
12 Alrport of Departure	(Airport, City/Province):	12 Airport of Arrival	(Airport, City/Prownce):	
07 07 14 Engine 011:	15 Notal Engine Time: 02 35	16 Take off:	17 Landing:	18 Total Flight Time:
eather Cloudy				
marks: Gurveyed 3 lines	of BLK530	and 4 hi	ner of BLK53	7
oblems and Solutions:				
Acquisition Flight Appropried by Ac	equisition Flight Continued by	Pliotin-Ct Signature	Manda David	Udar Operator Art Art Signadue over frinted Name

Figure A-6.1 Flight Log for 7526G Mission

Activity of Bourte: The Crownie (Nirport, City/Province): 32 Airport of Arriva I (Airport, City/Province): 32 Airport of Arriva I (Air	
Acquisition flight Certified by Riording: 16 Take off: 17 Landing: 16 Take off: 17 Landing: 17 Landing: 16 Take off: 17 Landing: 17 Landing: 16 Take off: 16 Take off: 16 Take off: 17 Landing: 16 Take off: 16 Take off: 16 Take off: 17 Landing: 16 Take off: 17 Landing: 16 Take off: 16 Tak	
Acquisition Flight Certified by Acquisition Flight Certified by Representation Flight Certified by Representation Flight	18 Total Flight Time:
Acquisition flight Certified by Acquisition flight Certified by Acquistion flight Certified by Acquistio	
Acquisition Flight Certified by Acquisition Flight Certified b	
Acquisition Flight Certified by Acquisition Flight Certified by Representation Service over Print of Name Service over Print of Name Service over Print of Name	
Acquisition Flight Certified by Plotts Parting and Net of Acquisition Flight Certified by Scinting of Acquisition Flight Certified by Scinting of Acquisition Flight Certified by Scinting of Action Plotts Fl	
Acquisition Flight Certified by Ployts Rammand Requirements of the Certified by Reprint Signature Service over Printed Name Rola Representations	
Acquisition flight Certified by Plovin Command Recurrence of the Computer Semigrad Semigration over Print of Name Semigration over Print of Name Role Resentatives	
	Lidar Operator Development Name Signature preprinted Name



*	Ī
E.	
PHIL-LiDAR 1 Data Acquisition Flight Log	

me: 2846	me; 2874554-240 # 4 Type: VFR 5 Aircraft Type: CesnnaT206H 6 Aircraft Ic	
----------	--	--

Flight Log No.: 7740

95 22							\ \
6 Aircraft Identification:			18 Total Flight Time:				udar Operator Archard Signature over Printed Name
5 Aircraft Type: Cesnna T206H		(Airport, City/Province):	17 Landing:				Mana (NIL)
or# 4 Type: VFR		2 Airport of Arrival	6 Take off:		voids		Pilocin-Con
3 Mission Name: 282455926	9 Route:	Virport, City/Province): 1 uc/c	15 Total Engine Time: 1		with minimel		istican Flight Contificient of the second for the s
2 ALTM Model: CASI	ot: N' Aqawin	12 Airport of Departure (A	ne Off: 20		14 2 / d 4000		Acquires (PAF)
erator: ME + D-1 ~	R. Samar 8 Co-Pil	72 17, 2014	0n: 6 2 3 14 Engi	r pair	an an an	ms and Solutions:	Acquisition Flight Approved E H-X -T L-V E-T Acut Signature over Printed Name Tend User Representative)
1 LIDAR Op	7 Pilot:	10 Date:	13 Engine (	19 Weathe	20 Remark	21 Proble	

Figure A-6.3 Flight Log for 7560G Mission

4 97	400							]
0	6 Aircraft Identification: 7			18 Total Flight Time:				Idar Operation
	5 Aircraft Type: Cesnna T206H		Airport, City/Province):	17 Landing:		havens up Du		Mand O Alford Name
	4 Type: VFR		12 Airport of Arrival (	16 Take off:		countered du		Pilot-in-Co
	3 Mission Name:	9 Route:	Airport, City/Province):	15 Total Engine Time: 4 +/1		voids were en		utisition Flight Certified and a Market Certified and a Market Control of Market Gause over Printed Name
8111 FOR 1	www.2 ALTM Model: CASI	B Ca-Pillat: N . Agamin	12 Airport of Departure (	14 Engine Off: /o : 4 C		completed but		proved by Acq Proved by Acq Featury, Eed Name Sta
HIL-LIDAR 1 Data Acquisition FIL	I LIDAR Operator: MR Ville	7 Pilot: K. Comer	10 Date: 0ct 12 , 20/4	13 Engine On: 1. 3 5	19 Weather Fair	20 Remarks: Mi 45i 00	21 Problems and Solutions	Acquisition Flight A

Figure A-6.4 Flight Log for 7562G Mission



<u>ю</u>.

CODEL: Crar 3 MISSION NEITHE:
Tracker 19 Route:
Departure (Airport, City/Province): 
15 Total Engine Time: タイ・タテ
that caith chr.
Acquisition Fight Certified by Routher control of the fighture over Printed Name (nvr. Representative)

Figure A-6.5 Flight Log for 7566G Mission



	3 Mission Name:	4 Type: VFR	5 Aircraft Type: Cesnna T206H	4 6 Aircraft Identification: 93.22
R. Garrow 8 Co-Pilot: 0. Agamin	9 Route:			
Dot 21, 2014 12 Airport of Departu	ure (Airport, City/Province):	12 Airport of Arrival	(Airport, Gty/Province):	
01: 6: 33 14 Engine Off: 10. 44	15 Total Engine Time: ダナバ	16 Take off:	17 Landing:	18 Total Flight Time:
i Fair				
si Mission completed ( w	its case - first h	(~		
ms and Solutions:				
Acquisition Flight Approved by Any Approved by Le VEUT Acutor Signature aver Printed Name (End User Representative)	Acquisition Flight Cardined by Acquisition Flight Cardined by Acquisition Cardined by Signature over Printed Name (PAR Representative)	Pilot-in-Co	menand Annot swop Printed Name	Lidar Operator MAC W HOLALE VG Signgare over Printed Name

Figure A-6.5 Flight Log for 7568G Mission

# Annex 7. Flight status reports

### Table A-7.1. Flight Status Report

#### NEGROS ORIENTAL September 20 – October 15, 2014

FLIGHT NO	AREA	MISSION	OPERATOR	DATE FLOWN	REMARKS
7526 G	BLK 530 & BLK 55A	2BLK53O55A273A	MVE Tonga	9/30/14	Surveyed 3 line of BLK53O and 4 lines of BLK55A
7540 G	BLK 55A & BLK 53K	2BLK55AS53KS280A	MR Villanueva	10/7/14	Surveyed 11 lines
7560 G	BLK 55A	2BLK55A290A	MVE Tonga	10/17/14	Mission completed with minimal voids
7562 G	BLK 53I	2BLK53I291A	MR Villanueva	10/18/14	Mission completed but there were voids encountered during the survey
7566 G	BLK 53K & BLK 53J	2BLK53JK293A	MVE Tonga	10/20/14	Mission completed with CASI
7568 G	BLK 53K	2BLK53KS294A	MR Villanueva	10/21/14	Mission completed

Hazard Mapping of the Philippines Using LIDAR (Phil-LIDAR 1)

#### LAS BOUNDARIES PER FLIGHT

LAS

 Flight No. :
 7526G

 Area:
 BLK53O & BLK55A

 Mission Name:
 2BLK53O55A273A (BLK53K)



Figure A-7.1 Swath for Flight No. 7526G

Flight No. :7540GArea:BLK 55A and BLK 53KMission Name:2BLK55AS53KS280A



Figure A-7.2 Swath for Flight No. 7540G

Flight No. :7560GArea:BLK 55AMission Name:2BLK55A290A



Figure A-7.3 Swath for Flight No. 7560G

Flight No. :7562GArea:BLK 53IMission Name:2BLK53I291A



Figure A-7.4 Swath for Flight No. 7562G

Hazard Mapping of the Philippines Using LIDAR (Phil-LIDAR 1)

Flight No:566GArea:BLK 53K & BLK 53JMission Name:2BLK53JK293A



Figure A-7.4 Swath for Flight No. 7566G

Flight No. :7568GArea:BLK 53KMission Name:2BLK53KS294A



Figure A-7.4 Swath for Flight No. 7568G

# **ANNEX 8. Mission Summary Reports**

Fable A-8.1 Mission	Summary	Report for	Mission	Blk53J
				- /

Flight Area	Dumaguete
Mission Name	Blk53J
Inclusive Flights	7566G
Range data size	19.0 GB
Base data size	6.05 MB
POS	210 MB
Image	na
Transfer date	November 6, 2014
Solution Status	
Number of Satellites (>6)	Yes
PDOP (<3)	Yes
Baseline Length (<30km)	Yes
Processing Mode (<=1)	Yes
Smoothed Performance Metrics (in cm)	
RMSE for North Position (<4.0 cm)	1.25
RMSE for East Position (<4.0 cm)	3.0
RMSE for Down Position (<8.0 cm)	5.0
Boresight correction stdev (<0.001deg)	0.000126
IMU attitude correction stdev (<0.001deg)	0.000301
GPS position stdev (<0.01m)	0.0012
Minimum % overlap (>25)	30.01%
Ave point cloud density per sq.m. (>2.0)	3.60
Elevation difference between strips (<0.20 m)	Yes
Number of 1km x 1km blocks	233
Maximum Height	440.16 m
Minimum Height	62.84 m
Classification (# of points)	
Ground	70,438,370
Low vegetation	63,300,697
Medium vegetation	166,611,886
High vegetation	213,423,896
Building	2,735,569
Orthophoto	No
Processed by	Engr. Irish Cortez, Engr. Chelou Prado, Engr. Jeffrey Delica



Figure A-8.1 Solution Status



Figure A-8.2. Smoothed Performance Metric Parameters



Figure A-8.3. Best Estimated Trajectory



Figure A-8.4. Coverage of LiDAR data







Figure A-8.6. Density map of merged LiDAR data

Hazard Mapping of the Philippines Using LIDAR (Phil-LIDAR 1)



Figure A-8.7. Elevation difference between flight lines

Flight Area	Dumaguete						
Mission Name	Blk53K						
Inclusive Flights	7540G, 7566G, 7568G						
Range data size	41.99 GB						
Base data size	17.00 MB						
POS	610 MB						
Image	na						
Transfer date	November 6, 2014						
Solution Status							
Number of Satellites (>6)	Yes						
PDOP (<3)	Yes						
Baseline Length (<30km)	Yes						
Processing Mode (<=1)	Yes						
Smoothed Performance Metrics (in cm)							
RMSE for North Position (<4.0 cm)	1.0						
RMSE for East Position (<4.0 cm)	1.6						
RMSE for Down Position (<8.0 cm)	3.2						
Boresight correction stdev (<0.001deg)	0.000194						
IMU attitude correction stdev (<0.001deg)	0.157208						
GPS position stdev (<0.01m)	0.0132						
Minimum % overlap (>25)	46.62%						
Ave point cloud density per sq.m. (>2.0)	3.78						
Elevation difference between strips (<0.20 m)	Yes						
Number of 1km x 1km blocks	156						
Maximum Height	442.28 m						
Minimum Height	62.23 m						
Classification (# of points)							
Ground	52,875,981						
Low vegetation	63,446,538						
Medium vegetation	142,908,519						
High vegetation	123,701,134						
Building	3,086,316						
Orthophoto	No						
Processed by	Engr. Analyn Naldo, Engr. Christy Lubiano, Jovy Narisma						

### Table A-8.2 Mission Summary Report for Mission Blk53K



Figure A-8.8. Solution Status



Figure A-8.9. Smoothed Performance Metric Parameters



Figure A-8.10. Best Estimated Trajectory



Figure A-8.11. Coverage of LiDAR data



Figure A-8.12. Image of data overlap



Figure A-8.13. Density map of merged LiDAR data



Figure A-8.14. Elevation difference between flight lines

Flight Area	Dumaguete						
Mission Name	Blk53K_supplement						
Inclusive Flights	7526G,7540G						
Range data size	20.9 GB						
Base data size	8.54 MB						
POS	352 MB						
Image	na						
Transfer date	Oct. 20 and Nov. 6, 2014						
Solution Status							
Number of Satellites (>6)	Yes						
PDOP (<3)	Yes						
Baseline Length (<30km)	Yes						
Processing Mode (<=1)	Yes						
Smoothed Performance Metrics (in cm)							
RMSE for North Position (<4.0 cm)	0.082						
RMSE for East Position (<4.0 cm)	1.05						
RMSE for Down Position (<8.0 cm)	1.9						
Boresight correction stdev (<0.001deg)	0.000571						
IMU attitude correction stdev (<0.001deg)	0.001794						
GPS position stdev (<0.01m)	0.0102						
Minimum % overlap (>25)	47.63%						
Ave point cloud density per sq.m. (>2.0)	4.08						
Elevation difference between strips (<0.20 m)	Yes						
Number of 1km x 1km blocks	93						
Maximum Height	383.29 m						
Minimum Height	64.07 m						
Classification (# of points)							
Ground	21,234,446						
Low vegetation	22,518,129						
Medium vegetation	75,022,634						
High vegetation	65,449,423						
Building	801,385						
Orthophoto	No						
Processed by	Engr. Jommer Medina, Engr. Mark Joshua Salvacion, Engr. Ma. Ailyn Olanda						

### Table A-8.3 Mission Summary Report for Mission Blk53K\_supplement



Figure A-8.15. Solution Status



Figure A-8.16. Smoothed Performance Metrics Parameters



Figure A-8.17. Best Estimated Trajectory



Figure A-8.18. Coverage of LiDAR data



Figure A-8.19. Image of data overlap



Figure A-8.20. Density of merged LiDAR data



Figure A-8.21. Elevation difference between flight lines

Flight Area	Dumaguete						
Mission Name	Blk55A						
Inclusive Flights	7526G, 7540G, 7560G						
Range data size	59.7 GB						
Base data size	13.88 MB						
POS	667 MB						
Image	na						
Transfer date	Oct. 20 and Nov. 6, 2014						
Solution Status							
Number of Satellites (>6)	Yes						
PDOP (<3)	Yes						
Baseline Length (<30km)	Yes						
Processing Mode (<=1)	Yes						
Smoothed Performance Metrics (in cm)							
RMSE for North Position (<4.0 cm)	0.088						
RMSE for East Position (<4.0 cm)	1.32						
RMSE for Down Position (<8.0 cm)	2.2						
Boresight correction stdev (<0.001deg)	0.000170						
IMU attitude correction stdev (<0.001deg)	0.001080						
GPS position stdev (<0.01m)	0.0066						
Minimum % overlap (>25)	47.00%						
Ave point cloud density per sq.m. (>2.0)	3.83						
Elevation difference between strips (<0.20 m)	Yes						
Number of 1km x 1km blocks	225						
Maximum Height	719.03 m						
Minimum Height	60.3 m						
Classification (# of points)							
Ground	84661907						
Low vegetation	80144926						
Medium vegetation	161689840						
High vegetation	275799941						
Building	5058695						
Orthophoto	No						
Processed by	Engr. Jommer Medina, Engr. Edgardo Gubatanga Jr., Engr. Elainne Lopez						

### Table A-8.4 Mission Summary Report for Mission Blk55A



Figure A-8.22. Solution Status



Figure A-8.23. Smoothed Performance Metrics Parameters



Figure A-8.24. Best Estimated Trajectory



Figure A-8.25. Coverage of LiDAR data



Figure A-8.26. Image of data overlap



Figure A-8.27. Density of merged LiDAR data



Figure A-8.28. Elevation difference between flight lines

Annex 9. Sicopong Model Basin Parameters

0.00276 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.00667 Ratio to Peak Threshold Type Recession Constant Baseflow Model Ratio to Peak 0.0043018 0.0145185 0.0029264 0.0043018 0.0029264 Recession Constant 0.0029264 0.0029264 0.0029264 0.0029264 0.0029264 0.0029264 0.0029264 0.0147593 0.0163445 0.0476415 0.0319675 0.0282665 0.0050228 0.0433534 0.0155759 0.047426 0.056047 0.0055767 0.031531 Initial Discharge Initial Type Discharge Discharge .2617 Discharge Discharge Discharge Discharge 0.057841 Discharge 0.74476 Discharge Discharge Discharge Discharge 1.2003 Discharge 0.041846 Storage Coefficient 1.3263 .2059 3.3062 0.049856 1.1978 10.322 0.13334 Clark Transform Model 16.405 7.0548 199.99 3.3974 20.953 1.155 63.36 14.734 Concentration 17.871 40.005 1.5283 2.8992 Time of 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Impervious SCS Curve Number Loss Model 43.496 60.249 67.242 72.512 51.065 Curve Number 55.944 63.323 39.337 87.584 66 66 58.153 9.7538 10.118 12.986 8.7142 13.507 10.044 6.4711 5.8982 10.862 Abstraction 11.137 7.1089 4.1184 Initial Basin Number W1050 W1060 W1070 W1080 W1090 W1100 W1120 W1130 W1020 W1030 W1040 W1110

0.00667	0.0029778	0.001	0.001	0.00298	0.00287	0.001	0.001	600.0	0.001	0.00447	0.0067	0.0067	0.001	0.001	
Ratio to Peak	Ratio to														
0.0029264	0.0029264	0.0043896	0.0039506	0.0059259	0.0145185	0.0059259	0.0065844	0.0065504	0.0065512	0.0146661	0.0065844	0.0112943	0.0065844	0.0065843	
0.0173835	0.0324032	0.0679041	0.0022781	0.0192321	0.0246025	0.0247331	0.0591185	0.029745	0.0074384	0.0125284	0.0227176	0.000611046	0.0368327	0.0508636	
Discharge															
0.035562	0.28914	1.4027	1.1973	0.0931871	0.064265	0.068004	1.7937	0.0893606	1.8516	0.04827	5.7549	0.02	0.54423	0.052092	
2.8669	3.4575	31.474	2.4449	3.5265	4.1003	2.906	11.877	4.1276	9.5802	3.2353	8.0227	0.28479	8.6016	4.8409	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
66	66	65.903	81.945	97.02	66	63.979	58.97	66	56.087	66	68.399	82.448	57.682	82.448	
10.514	4.6939	8.4585	6.5388	4.9632	4.522	9.7197	11.689	4.7385	4.72	7.046	8.3186	4.7434	13.721	4.7425	
W1140	W1150	W1160	W1170	W1180	W1190	W1200	W1210	W1220	W1230	W1240	W1250	W1260	W1270	W1280	
W1300	4.743	82.448	0	6.6178	2.9764	Discharge	0.0053271	0.0065844	Ratio to Peak	0.001					
-------	--------	--------	----	--------	----------	-----------	-------------	-----------	------------------	---------					
W1310	7.046	66	0	9.9136	0.33656	Discharge	0.0176972	0.0065844	Ratio to Peak	0.0067					
W1320	4.7431	82.448	0	3.2806	0.044585	Discharge	0.0021707	0.0065514	Ratio to Peak	0.001					
W1330	7.0803	93.645	0	3.1102	0.055309	Discharge	0.0154932	0.0065844	Ratio to Peak	0.001					
W1340	4.7424	82.448	0	9.9507	0.073236	Discharge	0.031565	0.0019509	Ratio to Peak	0.00667					
W1350	13.758	57.6	0	8.4909	0.80183	Discharge	0.019331	0.0088889	Ratio to Peak	0.001					
W1360	13.758	57.6	0	6.5646	0.61977	Discharge	0.0174585	0.0142281	Ratio to Peak	0.001					
W1370	5.8121	77.713	0	4.0399	3.7023	Discharge	0.0248095	0.0065844	Ratio to Peak	0.001					
W1380	5.6794	66	0	2.4898	0.9162	Discharge	0.0124372	0.009679	Ratio to Peak	0.0098					
W1390	4.4291	85.662	0	2.5695	1.9176	Discharge	0.02655	0.0065844	Ratio to Peak	0.001					
W1400	13.758	57.6	0	4.0266	1.7908	Discharge	0.0207014	0.0088889	Ratio to Peak	0.001					
W1410	13.758	57.6	0	4.4988	1.2101	Discharge	0.0017729	0.0087998	Ratio to Peak	0.001					
W1420	13.758	57.6	10	5.2286	0.020099	Discharge	0.000707608	0.0065186	Ratio to Peak	0.001					
W1430	13.758	57.6	10	4.4232	0.72315	Discharge	0.0319636	0.0098765	Ratio to Peak	0.001					
W1440	13.758	57.6	10	4.1365	0.033645	Discharge	0.0152198	0.0098765	Ratio to Peak	0.001					
W1450	10.296	62.376	10	4.2556	4.6809	Discharge	0.0279073	0.0098765	Ratio to Peak	0.001					

0.00447	0.00445	0.00467	0.004	0.0044	0.0029	0.00447	0.001	0.00196	0.0029	0.001	0.00133	0.001	0.001	0.0029	0.00193
Ratio to Peak															
0.0065844	0.0043896	0.0019509	0.0043896	0.0019509	0.0065844	0.009679	0.0098765	0.0019509	0.0019509	0.0148148	0.0019509	0.0043896	0.0043458	0.0043896	0.0043896
0.0229022	0.0200494	0.0018061	0.0028111	0.020156	0.0389432	0.0169617	0.0346434	0.017015	0.0145477	0.0370173	0.0275133	0.00201	0.000618771	0.0464441	0.0133101
Discharge															
2.2876	0.068157	0.030036	0.025245	0.36095	0.21727	0.52638	6.1862	0.0293777	0.25591	12.684	0.69873	3.724	0.02	0.057009	0.076668
11.242	7.4181	0.14871	0.23476	4.351	0.0166667	4.4912	4.7808	5.3581	4.7147	4.3804	4.9068	2.1739	0.14313	5.2305	4.8134
10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
91.526	82.448	82.448	84.098	92.583	92.921	91.352	72.604	93.022	87.6	57.614	66	57.6	79.578	85.402	92.396
4.7842	7.0798	4.7426	4.3993	2.8208	6.4707	3.9827	8.2905	3.6834	4.6973	13.752	1.5896	13.758	6.4511	5.145	3.7941
W1460	W1470	W1480	W1490	W1500	W1510	W1520	W1530	W1540	W1550	W1560	W1570	W1580	W1590	W1600	W1610

0.00133	0.00291	0.02572	0.00133	0.00133	0.00223	0.00058	0.01	0.00133	0.00198	0.01	0.00131	0.003	0.00193	0.002	0.00133
Ratio to Peak															
0.0019509	0.0059259	0.0019509	0.0019509	0.0019509	0.0019509	0.0019509	0.0043896	0.0019509	0.0019509	0.009679	0.0019509	0.0043896	0.0043896	0.0059259	0.0019509
0.0185554	0.0168289	0.0021244	0.032292	0.0161661	0.0235573	0.0036825	0.0723692	0.0171487	0.041256	0.0207107	0.0268312	0.0190459	0.0417211	0.0175349	0.0178478
Discharge															
0.055572	0.046818	0.057863	3.3103	0.076319	0.056293	0.14342	0.69206	0.068848	0.50503	1.4264	0.052825	0.0836671	0.070529	0.052278	0.19197
5.3968	5.6481	0.15229	14.36	5.6672	6.1634	0.31055	51.994	6.2426	6.5805	4.4407	6.7033	3.9619	5.5861	5.5808	6.4863
25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	50	50	50	50	50	50
66	87.422	87.649	97.976	66	66	98.705	66.578	66	66	58.834	66	57.6	95.684	66	66
1.8235	4.7325	4.6876	2.8548	1.5794	1.5697	2.7401	10.19	1.5697	1.9077	13.203	1.5697	13.758	3.2274	1.5798	1.5697
W1620	W1630	W1640	W1650	W1660	W1670	W1680	W1690	W1700	W1710	W1720	W1730	W1740	W1750	W1760	W1770

W1780	13.758	57.6	50	11.539	0.37405	Discharge	0.0032005	0.0043018	Ratio to Peak	0.00293
W1790	13.758	57.6	50	3.7577	0.056137	Discharge	0.0126535	0.0043896	Ratio to Peak	0.01
W1800	1.5697	66	50	0.14673	0.02	Discharge	4.87E-05	0.0019509	Ratio to Peak	0.002
W1810	13.758	57.6	50	6.7241	0.05805	Discharge	0.0368914	0.0019509	Ratio to Peak	0.001
W1820	1.5697	66	50	0.14614	0.02	Discharge	0.0055828	0.0019509	Ratio to Peak	0.001
W1830	13.758	57.6	50	22.794	3.4137	Discharge	0.0486759	0.0043896	Ratio to Peak	0.002
W1840	3.5843	66	50	6.8629	0.060053	Discharge	0.0142541	0.0019509	Ratio to Peak	0.00191
W1850	1.5697	57.6	50	4.3935	0.079347	Discharge	0.030482	0.0043896	Ratio to Peak	0.00196
W1860	4.1883	93.587	50	6.0394	0.048004	Discharge	0.0149509	0.0043896	Ratio to Peak	0.00296
W1870	11.403	66	50	0.27609	0.02	Discharge	0.0036825	0.0043675	Ratio to Peak	0.0067
W1880	13.583	90.24	50	0.15799	0.02	Discharge	0.000115875	0.0035556	Ratio to Peak	0.00131
W1890	1.5697	63.228	50	5.6802	0.0926833	Discharge	0.0031672	0.0043676	Ratio to Peak	0.00133
W1900	1.5697	57.985	50	5.47	0.0882592	Discharge	0.0065716	0.0043896	Ratio to Peak	0.00444
W1910	2.5193	66	100	0.28541	0.02	Discharge	0.0027934	0.0043896	Ratio to Peak	0.0045
W1920	13.758	66	100	0.19028	0.02	Discharge	0.000666666	0.0019509	Ratio to Peak	0.001
W1930	13.408	66	100	5.0266	0.79255	Discharge	0.0168968	0.0043896	Ratio to Peak	0.001

W1940	3.2525	57.6	100	5.0491	3.6499	Discharge	0.0307647	0.0064527	Ratio to Peak	0.002
W1950	3.5821	58.373	100	5.2032	0.067171	Discharge	0.016163	0.0087111	Ratio to Peak	0.001
W1960	9.0355	95.533	100	0.15127	0.99288	Discharge	0.0168806	0.0065505	Ratio to Peak	0.001
W1970	13.758	93.6	100	4.925	1.0371	Discharge	0.0195867	0.0065504	Ratio to Peak	0.002
W1980	13.758	70.116	100	5.5072	0.046984	Discharge	0.0278841	0.0065844	Ratio to Peak	0.00193
W1990	1.5697	57.6	100	5.1463	0.082491	Discharge	0.0138872	0.0065844	Ratio to Peak	0.001
W2000	6.1078	57.6	100	5.607	23.143	Discharge	0.0322896	0.0065844	Ratio to Peak	0.001
W2010	1.5697	66	100	5.7262	0.17766	Discharge	0.0518601	0.0019509	Ratio to Peak	0.00133
W2020	0.5697	91.03	100	7.0229	0.0177371	Discharge	0.0180989	0.00019509	Ratio to Peak	0.0000133

Parameters
Reach
Model
icopong
x 10. S
Anney

d D C D C D C D C D C D C D C D C D C D		Muskir	ngum Cunge I	Routing Model			
Number	Time Step Method	Length	Slope	Manning's n	Shape	Width	Side Slope
R1010	Automatic Fixed Interval	2196.8	0.12898	0.1028	Trapezoid	30	-
R110	Automatic Fixed Interval	1011.4	0.0076348	0.0001	Trapezoid	30	-
R120	Automatic Fixed Interval	1059.5	0.0113428	0.0001	Trapezoid	30	-
R130	Automatic Fixed Interval	488.99	0.0323817	0.0001	Trapezoid	30	-
R160	Automatic Fixed Interval	1780.7	0.031455	0.0001	Trapezoid	30	-
R190	Automatic Fixed Interval	296.57	0.0321708	0.000298872	Trapezoid	30	-
R220	Automatic Fixed Interval	1130.5	0.0018656	0.0001	Trapezoid	30	-
R230	Automatic Fixed Interval	381.84	0.001	0.0001	Trapezoid	30	-
R260	Automatic Fixed Interval	931.54	0.0960995	0.0001	Trapezoid	30	-
R270	Automatic Fixed Interval	419.71	0.0268924	0.000207996	Trapezoid	30	-
R30	Automatic Fixed Interval	1195.8	0.001	0.0001	Trapezoid	30	-
R330	Automatic Fixed Interval	413.14	0.12219	0.0001	Trapezoid	30	-
R350	Automatic Fixed Interval	245.56	0.0188967	0.0001	Trapezoid	30	-
R370	Automatic Fixed Interval	2004.7	0.0509529	0.0244429	Trapezoid	30	-
R380	Automatic Fixed Interval	1715.8	0.0100022	0.000125856	Trapezoid	30	-
R420	Automatic Fixed Interval	622.13	0.001	0.0649963	Trapezoid	30	-
R430	Automatic Fixed Interval	2923.1	0.001	0.001762	Trapezoid	30	-
R450	Automatic Fixed Interval	499.41	0.001	0.0360312	Trapezoid	30	-
R460	Automatic Fixed Interval	2946.3	0.0238535	0.22938	Trapezoid	30	-
R480	Automatic Fixed Interval	5615.3	0.0314977	0.0466044	Trapezoid	30	~
R490	Automatic Fixed Interval	4555.5	0.0281237	0.022266	Trapezoid	30	1
R500	Automatic Fixed Interval	445.56	0.013661	1	Trapezoid	30	1

~	-	-	~	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	~	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	~
30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
Trapezoid																											
0.5079	1	0.95558	0.0498469	0.0440906	0.0921516	0.0248704	0.0455436	0.0489809	0.0499526	0.0433442	0.24762	0.0784149	0.18322	0.0001	0.16561	0.0565404	0.0451094	0.0160964	0.13076	0.0010885	0.0078649	0.0035488	0.0001	0.0138838	0.0500794	0.0191434	0.012402
0.007662	0.0115925	0.001	0.0059356	0.001	0.0409344	0.0152759	0.0012882	0.001	0.0454288		0.0035135	0.0255741	0.001	0.0632947	0.0041365	0.0018221	0.0114739	0.0019207	0.0083455	0.001	0.0133495	0.0403591	0.0037704	0.0255131	0.0515484	0.0088696	0.0014989
2713.9	3798.8	7.777	1791.7	296.98	3270.5	2585.5	2554.1	502.55	682.84	2118.2	288.28	8525.2	28.284	3284.2	518.7	1061	1007	3542.4	785.56	255.56	2169.9	5252.9	2138.7	4130.9	1280.2	4347.5	2881
Automatic Fixed Interval																											
R510	R530	R540	R550	R570	R600	R620	R630	R650	R700	R730	R740	R760	R770	R80	R800	R820	R830	R840	R850	R860	R870	R880	R890	R90	R900	R960	R970

## Annex 11. Sicopong Flood Validation Data

Point	Valida Coordii	tion nates	Model	Validation	Error	Event /	Return Period of
	Longitude	Latitude	Var (m)		(m)	Duto	Event
1	122.852908	9.346479	0.893	0.7	0.03725	Ruping	100-Year
2	122.852701	9.346389	2.682	0	7.19312	Ramil	5-Year
3	122.852674	9.346266	2.842	0.9	3.77136	Ruping	100-Year
4	122.852542	9.346032	2.507	0	6.28505	Ramil	5-Year
5	122.852481	9.345921	2.463	0	6.06637	Ondoy	100-Year
6	122.852754	9.346505	2.44	0	5.9536	Ramil	5-Year
7	122.852706	9.346449	2.44	0	5.9536	Quennie	100-Year
8	122.852647	9.346425	2.807	1.2	2.58245	Ramil	5-Year
9	122.85261	9.346439	2.807	0.3	6.28505	Quennie	100-Year
10	122.852462	9.346817	1.543	1.8	0.06605	Ramil	5-Year
11	122.852559	9.346957	3.978	2.6	1.89888	Ruping	100-Year
12	122.851576	9.348236	2.834	0.95	3.54946	Ramil	5-Year
13	122.851605	9.348245	3.179	0.1	9.48024	Quennie	100-Year
14	122.851593	9.348035	3.738	1.4	5.46624	Ramil	5-Year
15	122.851592	9.348042	3.738	1	7.49664	Quennie	100-Year
16	122.851542	9.347736	3.506	3.1	0.16484	Ruping	5-Year
17	122.85151	9.347801	3.571	0	12.752	Ramil	5-Year
18	122.851539	9.34778	4.04	0.3	13.9876	Quennie	100-Year
19	122.851353	9.349	0.717	0	0.51409	Ruping	100-Year
20	122.854153	9.351129	5.203	1.4	14.4628	Ramil	5-Year
21	122.854154	9.351105	4.768	1.5	10.6798	Ruping	100-Year
22	122.854169	9.351091	4.768	0.2	20.8666	Sendong	100-Year
23	122.853931	9.351722	4.128	0.3	14.6536	Ramil	5-Year
24	122.853974	9.351761	4.574	0.3	18.2671	Ruping	100-Year
25	122.854564	9.351835	4.5	0.3	17.64	Ramil	5-Year
26	122.854565	9.351804	4.5	0.3	17.64	Quedan	100-Year
27	122.854234	9.351773	4.502	1.4	9.6224	Ruping	100-Year
28	122.854269	9.351731	3.851	1	8.1282	Ramil	5-Year
29	122.85539	9.353198	3.336	1	5.4569	Ruping	100-Year
30	122.854356	9.355223	2.654	0.4	5.08052	Ramil	5-Year
31	122.853844	9.352102	3.732	1.3	5.91462	Ramil	5-Year

Table A-11.1 Sicopong Flood Validation Data

## Annex 12. Educational Institutions Affected in Sicopong Floodplain

Table A-12.1 Educational Institutions in Bayawan City, Negros Oriental Affected by Flooding in Sicopong Floodplain

Ne	gros Oriental			
В	ayawan City			
Duilding Norra	Demonstrativ	R	ainfall Scena	rio
Building Name	вагапдау	5-year	25-year	100-year
OMOD HIGH SCHOOL	Maninihon			
AW-A ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	San Isidro			
OMOD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	San Isidro			

Table A-12.2 Educational Institutions in Santa Catalina, Negros Oriental Affected by Flooding in Sicopong Floodplain

Ne	gros Oriental			
Sa	nta Catalina			
Duilding Norre	Demonstra	R	ainfall Scena	rio
Building Name	вагапдау	5-year	25-year	100-year
BAPTIST LEARNING CENTER	Caranoche			
SCIENCE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	Caranoche		Low	Low
STA. CATALINA ACADEMY	Caranoche			

## Annex 13. Health Institutions Affected in Sicopong Floodplain

Table A-13.1 Health Institutions in Santa Catalina, Negros Oriental Affected by Flooding in Sicopong Floodplain

Ne	gros Oriental			
Sa	anta Catalina			
Duilding Name	Demonstrativ	F	ainfall Scena	rio
Building Name	вагапдау	5-year	25-year	100-year
STA. CATALINA HEALTH CENTER	Caranoche			