REGION 11

Tagum River Flood Plain:

DREAM LiDAR Data Acquistion and Processing Report

TRAINING CENTER FOR APPLIED GEODESY AND PHOTOGRAMMETRY

2015

Published by the UP Training Center for Applied Geodesy and Photogrammetry (TCAGP)
College of Engineering
University of the Philippines, Diliman
Quezon City
1101 PHILIPPINES

This research work is supported by the Department of Science and Technology (DOST) Grants-in-Aid Program and is to be cited as:

UP-TCAGP (2015), DREAM LiDAR Data Acquisition and Processing for Tagum River Floodplain, Disaster Risk and Exposure Assessment for Mitigation (DREAM), DOST Grants-In-Aid Program, 69pp.

For questions/queries regarding this report, contact:

Engr. Czar Jakiri S. Sarmiento, MSRS
Project Leader, Data Acquisition Component, DREAM Program
University of the Philippines Diliman
Quezon City, Philippines 1101
Email: czarjakiri@gmail.com

Engr. Ma. Rosario Concepcion O. Ang, MSRS
Project Leader, Data Processing Component, DREAM Program
University of the Philippines Diliman
Quezon City, Philippines 1101
Email: concon.ang@gmail.com

Enrico C. Paringit, Dr. Eng.
Program Leader, DREAM Program
University of the Philippines Diliman
Quezon City, Philippines 1101
E-mail: paringit@gmail.com

National Library of the Philippines
ISBN: 978-971-9695-17-2

Table of Contents

List of Figures

List of Tables

Introduction

Introduction

1.1 About the DREAM Program

The UP Training Center for Applied Geodesy and Photogrammetry (UP TCAGP) conducts a research program entitled "Nationwide Disaster Risk and Exposure Assessment for Mitigation (DREAM) Program" funded by the Department of Science and Technology (DOST) Grants-in-Aid Program. The DREAM Program aims to produce detailed, up-to-date, national elevation dataset for 3D flood and hazard mapping to address disaster risk reduction and mitigation in the country.

The DREAM Program consists of four components that operationalize the various stages of implementation. The Data Acquisition Component (DAC) conducts aerial surveys to collect Light Detecting and Ranging (LiDAR) data and aerial images in major river basins and priority areas. The Data Validation Component (DVC) implements ground surveys to validate acquired LiDAR data, along with bathymetric measurements to gather river discharge data. The Data Processing Component (DPC) processes and compiles all data generated by the DAC and DVC. Finally, the Flood Modeling Component (FMC) utilizes compiled data for flood modeling and simulation.

Overall, the target output is a national elevation dataset suitable for 1:5000 scale mapping, with 50 centimeter horizontal and vertical accuracies. These accuracies are achieved through the use of state-of-the-art airborne Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) technology and appended with Synthetic-aperture radar (SAR) in some areas. It collects point cloud data at a rate of 100,000 to 500,000 points per second, and is capable of collecting elevation data at a rate of 300 to 400 square kilometers per day, per sensor.

1.2 Objective and Target Outputs

The program aims to achieve the following objectives:

a) To acquire a national elevation and resource dataset at sufficient resolution to produce information necessary to support the different phases of disaster management,
b) To operationalize the development of flood hazard models that would produce updated and detailed flood hazard maps for the major river systems in the country,
c) To develop the capacity to process, produce and analyze various proven and potential thematic map layers from the 3D data useful for government agencies,
d) To transfer product development technologies to government agencies with geospatial information requirements, and,
e) To generate the following outputs
1) flood hazard map
2) digital surface model
3) digital terrain model and
4) orthophotograph

1.3 General Methodological Framework

The methodology employed to accomplish the project's expected outputs are subdivided into four (4) major components, as shown in Figure 1. Each component is described in detail in the following sections. Figure 1. The General Methodological Framework of the Program



Figure 1. The General Methodological Framework of the Program

Study Area

Study Area

The Tagum River Basin is located in Mindanao. It is considered as the tenth largest river basin in the Philippines It covers an estimated basin area of 2,734 square kilometres. The location of Tagum River Basin is as shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2. Tagum River Basin Location Map

It encompasses the provinces of Agusan del Sur, Compostella Valley and Tagum del Norte. It drains the southern portion of the island and traverses through Tagum City and the towns of Laak, Monkayo, Montevista, and Nabunturan in Compostela Valley; Kapalong, San Isidro, Talaingod, Asuncion, New Corella , Santo Tomas, Braulio E. Dujali, Carmen, and Mawab in Tagum del Norte; and Veruela in Agusan del Sur.

The land and soil characteristics are important parameters used in assigning the roughness coefficient for different areas within the river basin. The roughness coefficient, also called Manning's coefficient, represents the variable flow of water in different land covers (i.e. rougher, restricted flow within vegetated areas, smoother flow within channels and fluvial environments).

The shape files of the soil and land cover were taken from the Bureau of Soils, which is under the Department of Environment and Natural Resources Management, and National Mapping and Resource Information Authority (NAMRIA). The soil and land cover of the Tagum River Basin are shown in Figures 3 and 4, respectively.



Figure 3. Tagum River Basin Soil Map



Figure 4. Tagum River Basin Land Cover Map

Methodology

Methodology

3.1 Acquisition Methodology

The methodology employed to accomplish the project's expected outputs are subdivided into four (4) major components, as shown in Figure 5. Each component is described in detail in the following sections.



Figure 5. Flowchart of project methodology

3.1.1 Pre-site Preparations

3.1.1.1 Creation of Flight Plans

Flight planning is the process of configuring the parameters of the aircraft and LiDAR technology (i.e., altitude, angular field of view (FOV)), speed of the aircraft, scans frequency and pulse repetition frequency) to achieve a target of two points per square meter point density for the floodplain. This ensures that areas of the floodplain that are most susceptible to floods will be covered. LiDAR parameters and their computations are shown in Table 1.

The parameters set in the LiDAR sensor to optimize the area coverage following the objectives of the project and to ensure the aircraft's safe return to the airport (base of operations) are shown in Table 1. Each flight acquisition is designed for four operational hours. The maximum flying hours for Cessna 206H is five hours.

Table 1. Relevant LiDAR parameters.

SW (Swath Width)		SW = 2 * H * tan (θ/2)	H – altitude Θ – angular FOV
Point Spacing	ΔXacross	ΔXacross = (Θ * H) / (Ncos2(Θ/2))	ΔXacross – point spacing across the flight line H – altitude Θ – angular FOV N – number of points in one scanning line
	ΔXalong	ΔXalong = v / fsc	ΔXacross, ΔXalong point spacings
Point density, dmin		dmin = 1 / (ΔXacross * ΔXalong)	ΔXacross, ΔXalong point spacings
Flight line separation, e		e = SW * (1 – overlapping factor)	SW – swath width
# of flight lines, n		n = w / [(1 – overlap) * SW]	w – width of the map that will be produce in meters. The direction of flights will be perpendicular to the width.



Figure 6. Concept of LiDAR data acquisition parameters

The relationship among altitude, swath, and FOV is show in Figure 6. Given the altitude of the survey (H) and the angular FOV, the survey coverage for each pass (swath) can be calculated by doubling the product of altitude and tangent of half the field of view.

3.1.1.2 Collection of Existing Reference Points and Benchmarks

Collection of pertinent technical data, available information, and coordination with the National Mapping and Resource Information Authority (NAMRIA) is conducted prior to the surveys. Reference data collected includes locations and descriptions of horizontal and vertical control (elevation benchmarks) points within or near the project area. These control points are used as base stations for the aerial survey operations. Base stations are observed simultaneously with the acquisition flights.

3.1.1.3 Preparation of Field Plan

In preparation for the field reconnaissance and actual LiDAR data acquisition, a field plan is prepared by the implementation team. The field plan serves as a guide for the actual fieldwork and included personnel, logistical, financial, and technical details. Three major factors are included in field plan preparation: priority areas for the major river basin system; budget; and accommodation and vehicle rental.

LiDAR data are acquired for the floodplain area of the river system as per order of priority based on history of flooding, loss of lives, and damages of property. The order of priority in which LiDAR data surveys are conducted by the team for the floodplain areas of the 18 major river systems and 3 additional systems is shown in Table 2.

Table 2. List of Target River Systems in the Philippines.

	Target River System	Location	Area of the River System (km2)	Area of the Flood Plain (km2)	Area of the Watershed (km2)
1	Cagayan de Oro	Mindanao	1,364	25	1,338.51
1.1	Iponan	Mindanao	438	33	404.65
2	Mandulog	Mindanao	714	7	707.41
2.1	Iligan	Mindanao	153	7	146.38
2.2	Agus	Mindanao	1,918	16	1,901.60
3	Pampanga	Luzon	11,160	4458	6702
4	Agno	Luzon	6,220	1725	4495
5	Bicol	Luzon	3,173	585	2,587.79
6	Panay	Visayas	2,442	619	1823
7	Jalaur	Visayas	2,105	713	1,392.00
8	Ilog Hilabangan	Visayas	2,146	179	1967
9	Magasawang Tubig	Luzon	1,960	483	1,477.08
10	Agusan	Mindanao	11,814	262	11,551.62
11	Tagoloan	Mindanao	1,753	30	1,722.90
12	Tagum	Mindanao	1,609	54	1555
13	Tagum	Mindanao	2,504	595	1,909.23
14	Buayan	Mindanao	1,589	201	1,388.21
15	Mindanao	Mindanao	20,963	405	20,557.53
16	Lucena	Luzon	238	49	189.31
17	Infanta	Luzon	1,029	90	938.61
18	Boracay	Visayas	43.34	43.34	n/a
19	Cagayan	Luzon	28,221	10386	17,835.14

3.1.2 Ground Base Set-up

A reconnaissance is conducted one day before the actual LiDAR survey for purposes of recovering control point monuments on the ground and site visits of the survey area set in the flight plan for the floodplain. Coordination meetings with the Airport Manager, regional DOST office, local government units and other concerned line government agencies are also held.

Ground base stations are established within 30-kilometer radius of the corresponding survey area in the flight plan. This enables the system to establish its position in three-dimensional (3D) space so that the acquired topographic data will have an accurate 3D position since the survey required simultaneous observation with a base station on the ground using terrestrial Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) receivers.

3.1.3 Acquisition of Digital Elevation Data (LiDAR Survey)

Acquisition of LiDAR data is done by following the flight plans. The survey uses a LiDAR instrument mounted on the aircraft with its sensor positioned through a specially modified peep hole on the belly of the aircraft. The pilots are guided by the flight guidance software which uses the data out of the flight planning program with a mini-display at the pilot's cockpit showing the aircraft's real-time position relative to the current survey flight line. The reference points established by NAMRIA are also monitored and used to calibrate the data.

As the system collected LiDAR data, ranges and intensities are recorded on hard drives dedicated to the system while the images are stored on the camera hard drive. Position Orientation System (POS) data is recorded on the POS computer inside the control rack. It can only be accessed and downloaded via file transfer protocol (ftp) to the laptop computer. GPS observations were downloaded each day for efficient data management.

3.1.4 Transmittal of Acquired LiDAR Data

All data surrendered are monitored, inspected and re-checked by securing a data transfer checklist signed by the downloader (Data Acquisition Component) and the receiver (Data Processing Component). The data transfer checklist shall include the following: date of survey, mission name, flight number, disk size of the necessary data (LAS, LOGS, POS, Images, Mission Log File, Range, Digitizer and the Base Station), and the data directory within the server. Figure 7 shows the arrangement of folders inside the data server.



Figure 7. LiDAR Data Management for transmittal

3.1.5 Equipment

ALTM Pegasus

The ALTM Pegasus (Optech, Inc) is a laser based system suitable for topographic survey (Figure 8). It has a dual output laser system for maximum density capability. The LiDAR system is equipped with an Inertial Measurement Unit (IMU) and GPS for geo-referencing of the acquired data (Annex A contains the technical specification of the system).

The camera of the Pegasus sensor is tightly integrated with the system. It has a footprint of 8,900 pixels across by 6,700 pixels along the flight line (Annex B contains the technical specification of the D-8900 aerial digital camera).



Figure 8. The ALTM Pegasus System: a) parts of the Pegasus system, b) the system as installed in Cessna T206H

ALTM Gemini

The ALTM Gemini (Optech, Inc) is a laser based system suitable for topographic survey especially in high altitude areas with 16 kHz of effective laser rate (Figure 9). It has an integrated camera and waveform digitizer (Annex A contains the technical specifications of the system)

Figure 9. The ALTM Gemini System

3.2 Processing Methodology

The schematic diagram of the workflow implemented by the Data Processing Component (DPC) is shown in Figure 10. The raw data collected by the Data Acquisition Component (DAC) is transferred to DPC. Pre-processing of this data starts with the computation of trajectory and georectification of point cloud, in which the coordinates of the LiDAR point cloud data are adjusted and checked for gaps and shifts, using POSPac, Lidar Mapping Suite (LMS), LAStools and Quick Terrain (QT) Modeler software.

The unclassified LiDAR data then undergoes point cloud classification, which allows cleaning of noise data that are not necessary for further processing, using TerraScan software. The classified point cloud data in ASCII format is used to generate a data elevation model (DEM), which is edited and calibrated with the use of validation and bathymetric survey data collected from the field by the Data Validation and Bathymetry Component (DVBC). The final DEM is then used by the Flood Modeling Component (FMC) to generate the flood models for different flooding scenarios.



Figure 10. Schematic diagram of the data processing

3.2.1 Data Transfer

The Mindanao mission, named 2MND1A205A, was flown with the Airborne LiDAR Terrain Mapper (ALTM™ Optech Inc.) by Gemini system on July 24, 2013 over Kabuntalan, Maguindanao. The Data Acquisition Component (DAC) transferred 11.9 Gigabytes of Range data, 275 Megabytes of POS data, 8.75 Megabytes of GPS base station data, and 35 Gigabytes of raw image data to the data server on August 1, 2013.

3.2.2 Trajectory Computation

The trajectory of the aircraft is computed using the software POSPac MMS v6.2. It combines the POS data from the integrated GPS/INS system installed on the aircraft, and the Rinex data from the GPS base station located within 25 kilometers of the area. It then computes the Smoothed Best Estimated Trajectory (SBET) file, which contains the best estimated trajectory of the aircraft, and the Smoothed Root Mean Square Estimation error file (SMRMSG), which contains the corresponding standard deviations of the position parameters of the aircraft at every point on the computed trajectory.

The key parameters checked to evaluate the performance of the trajectory are the Solution Status parameters and the Smoothed Performance Metrics parameters. The Solution Status parameters characterize the GPS satellite geometry and baseline length at the time of acquisition, and the processing mode used by POSPAC. The acceptable values for each Solution Status parameter are shown in Table 3.

The Smoothed Performance Metrics parameters describe the root mean square error (RMSE) for the north, east and down (vertical) position of the aircraft for each point in the computed trajectory. A RMSE value of less than 4 centimeters for the north and east position is acceptable, while a value of less than 8 centimeters is acceptable for the down position.

Table 3. Smoothed Solution Status Parameters in POSPAC MMS v6.2

Parameter	Optimal values
Number of satellites	More than 6 satellites
Position Dilution of Precision (PDOP)	Less than 3
Baseline Length	Less than 30 km
Processing mode	Less than or equal to 1, however short bursts of values greater than 1 are acceptable.

3.2.3 LiDAR Point Cloud Rectification

The trajectory file (SBET) and its corresponding accuracy file (SMRMSG) generated in POSPAC are merged with the Range file to compute the coordinates of each individual point. The coordinates of points within the overlap region of contiguous strips vary due to small deviations in the trajectory computation for each strip. These strip misalignments are corrected by matching points from overlapping laser strips. This is done by the Lidar Mapping Suite (LMS) software developed by Optech.

LMS is a LiDAR software package used for automated LiDAR rectification. It has the capability to extract planar features per flight line and to form correspondence among the identical planes available in the overlapping areas (illustrated in Figure 11). In order to produce geometrically correct point cloud, the redundancy in the overlapping areas of flight lines is used to determine the necessary corrections for the observations.



Figure 11. Misalignment of a single roof plane from two adjacent flight lines, before rectification (left). Least squares adjusted roof plane, after rectification (right).

The orientation parameters are corrected in LMS by using least squares adjustment to obtain the best-fit parameters and improve the accuracy of the LiDAR data. The primary indicators of the LiDAR rectification accuracy are the standard deviations of the corrections of the orientation parameters. These values are seen on the Boresight corrections, GPS position corrections, and IMU attitude corrections, all of which are located on the LMS processing summary report. Optimum accuracy is obtained if the Boresight and IMU attitude correction standard deviations are less than 0.001°, and if the GPS position standard deviations are below 0.01 m.

3.2.4 LiDAR Data Quality Checking

After the orientation parameters are corrected and the point cloud coordinates are computed, the entire point cloud data undergoes quality checking, to see if: (a) there are remaining horizontal and vertical misalignments between contiguous strips, and; (b) to check if the density of the point cloud data reach the target density for the site. The LAStools software is used to compute for the elevation difference in the overlaps between strips and the point cloud density. It is a software package developed by Rapidlasso GmbH for filtering, tiling, classifying, rasterizing, triangulating and quality checking Terabytes of LiDAR data, using robust algorithms, efficient I/O tools and memory management. LAStools can quickly create raster representing the computed quantities, which provide guiding images in determining areas where further quality checks are necessary. The target requirements for floodplain acquisition, computed by LAStools, are shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Parameters investigated during quality checks

Criteria	Requirement
Minimum per cent overlap	25%
Average point cloud density per square meter	2.0
Elevation difference between strips (on flat areas)	0.20 meters

LAStools can provide guides where elevation differences probably exceed the 20 centimeters limit. An example of LAStools output raster visualizing points in the flight line overlaps with a vertical difference of +/- 20 centimeters (displayed as dense red/blue areas) is shown in Figure 12.



Figure 12. Elevation difference between flight lines generated from LAStools

To investigate the occurrences of elevation differences in finer detail, the profiling tool of Quick Terrain Modeler software is used. Quick Terrain Modeler (QT Modeler) is a 3D point cloud and terrain visualization software package developed by Applied Imagery, Inc. The profiling capability of QT Modeler is illustrated in Figure 13.





Figure 13. Profile over roof planes (a) and a zoomed-in profile on the area encircled in yellow (b)

The profile (e.g., over a roof plane) shows the overlapping points from different flight lines which serve as a good indicator that the correction applied by LMS for individual flight lines is good enough to attain the desired horizontal and vertical accuracy requirements. Flight lines that do not pass quality checking are subject for reprocessing in LMS until desired accuracies are obtained.

3.2.5 LiDAR Point Cloud Classification and Rasterization

Point cloud classification commences after the point cloud data has been rectified. TerraScan is a TerraSolid LiDAR software suite used for the classification of point clouds. It can read airborne and vehicle-based laser data in raw laser format, LAS, TerraScan binary or other ASCII-survey formats. Its classification and filtering routines are optimized by dividing the whole data into smaller geographical datasets called blocks, to automate the workflow and increase efficiency. In this study, the blocks were set to 1 kilometer by 1 kilometer with a 50 m buffer zone to prevent edge effects.

The process includes the classification of all points into Ground, Low Vegetation, Medium Vegetation, High Vegetation and Buildings. The classifier tool in TerraScan first filters air points and low points by finding points that are 5 standard deviations away from the median elevation of a search radius, which is 5 meters by default. It then divides the region into 60m by 60m search areas (the maximum area where at least one laser point hits the ground) and assigns the lowest points in these areas as the initial ground points from which a triangulated ground model is derived. The classifier then iterates through all the points and adds the points to the ground model by testing if it is (a) within the maximum iteration angle of 4° by default from a triangle plane, and (b) if it is within the maximum iteration distance (1.2 m by default) from a triangle plane. The ground plane is continuously updated from these iterations. The ground classification technique is illustrated in Figure 14. It is apparent that the smaller the iteration angle, the less eager the classifier is to follow changes in the point cloud (small undulations in terrain or hits on low vegetation). An angle close to 4° is used in flat terrain areas while an angle of 10° is used in mountainous or hilly terrains.



Figure 14. Ground classification technique employed in Terrascan

The parameters for ground classification routines used in floodplain and watershed areas are listed in Table 5.

Table 5. Ground classification parameters used in Terrascan for floodplain and watershed areas

Classification maximums	Floodplain (default)	Watershed (adjusted)
Iteration angle (degrees)	4	8
Iteration distance (meters)	1.20	1.50

The comparison between the produced DTM using the default parameters versus the adjusted is shown in Figure 15. The default parameters may fail to capture the sudden change in the terrain, resulting to less points being classified as ground that makes the DTM interpolated (Figure 15a). The adjusted parameters works better in these spatial conditions as shown in Figure 15b. Statistically, the number of ground points and model key points correctly classified can increase by as much as fifty percent (50%) when using the adjusted parameters.



Figure 15. Resulting DTM of ground classification using the default parameters (a) and adjusted parameters (b)

The classification to Low, Medium and High vegetation is a straightforward testing of how high a point is from the ground model. The range of elevation values and its corresponding classification is shown in Table 6.

Table 6. Classification of Vegetation according to the elevation of points

Elevation of points (meters)	Classification
0.05 to 0.15	Low Vegetation
0.15 to 2.50	Medium Vegetation
2.50 to 50.0	High Vegetation

The classification to Buildings routine tests points above two meters (2.0 m) if they only have one echo, and if they form a planar surface of at least 40 square meters with points adjacent to them. Minimum size and Z tolerance are the parameters used in the classify buildings routine as szown in Figure 16.



Figure 16. Default TerraScan building classification parameters

Minimum size is set to the smallest building footprint size of 40 square meters while the Z tolerance of 20 centimeters is the approximate elevation accuracy of the laser points.

The point cloud data are examined for possible occurrences of air points which are to be deleted manually in the TerraScan window. Air points are defined as groups of points which are significantly higher or lower from the ground points. The different examples of air points are shown in Figure 17.



Figure 17. Different examples of air points manually deleted in the TerraScan window

The noise data can be as negligible as shown in Figure 16a or can be as severe as the one shown in Figure 16c. A combination of cloud points and shower of short ranges is displayed in Figure 16b. Shower of short ranges are caused by signal interference from the radio transmission of the tower and the aircraft. During every transmission on a specific frequency (around 120MHz), the signal is getting distorted due to the interference causing showers of short ranges in the output LAS.

Classified LiDAR point clouds that are free of air points, noise and unwanted data are processed in TerraScan to produce Digital Terrain Model (DTM) and the corresponding first and last return Digital Surface Models (DSM). These ground models are produced in the American Standard Code for Information Interchange format (ASCII) format. DTMs are produced by rasterizing all points classified to ground and model key points in a 1 m by 1 m grid. The last return DSMs are produced by rasterizing all last returns from all classifications (Ground, Model Key Points, Low, Medium, High Vegetation, Buildings and Default) in a 1 m by 1 m grid. The first return DSMs on the other hand are produced by rasterizing all first returns from all classifications. Power lines are usually included in this model. All of these ground models are used in the mosaicking, manual editing and hydro correction of the topographic dataset, in preparation for the floodplain hydraulic modelling.

3.2.6 DEM Editing and Hydro-correction

Even though the parameters of the classification routines are optimized, various digital elevation models (DTM, first and last return DSM) that are automatically produced may still display minor errors that still need manual correction to make the DEMs suitable for fine-scale flood modelling. This is true especially for features that are under heavy canopy. Natural embankments on the side of the river might be flattened or misrepresented because no point pierced the canopy on that area. The same difficulty might also occur on smaller streams that are under canopy. The DTM produced might have discontinuities on these channels that might affect the flood modelling negatively. Manual inspection and correction is still a very important part of quality checking the LiDAR DEMs produced.

To correctly portray the dynamics of the flow of water on the floodplain, the river geometry must also be taken into consideration. The LiDAR data must be made consistent to the topographic surveys done for the area, and the bathymetric data must be "burned", or integrated, into the DEM to make the dataset suitable for hydraulic analyses. However, no cross-sectional survey was performed for this area.

Results and Discussion

Results and Discussion

4.1 LiDAR ACQUISITION IN TAGUM FLOODPLAIN

4.1.1 Flight Plans

Plans were made to acquire LiDAR data within the Tagum floodplain as shown in Figure 18. Each flight mission had an average of 10-12 flight lines and ran for at most 4 hours including take-off, landing and turning time. The parameter used in the LiDAR system for acquisition is found in Table 7. The maximum flying hours for Cessna 206H is five hours.

Table 7. Parameters used in LiDAR System during Flight Acquisition

Fixed Variables	Values		
Flying Height (AGL – Above Ground Level) (m)	750	1000	1200
Overlap	30 %	30 %	30 %
Max. field of View (θ)	50	50	50
Speed of Plane (kts)	130	130	130
Turn around minutes	5	5	5
Swath (m)	661.58m	882m	1058.53m

The parameters that set in the LiDAR sensor to optimize the area coverage following the objectives of the project and to ensure the aircraft's safe return to the airport (base of operations) are shown in Table 7. Each flight acquisition is designed for four operational hours. The maximum flying hours for Cessna 206H is five hours.



Figure 18. Tagum floodplain flight plans

4.1.2 Ground Base Station

The project team was able to recover one NAMRIA control station (COV-14) with second (2nd) order accuracy. The certification for the base station is found in Annex E and the Benchmark Ortho values were obtained from DV-76 with NAMRIA certification also found in Annex D. The ground control point (GCP) was used as reference point during flight operations using TRIMBLE SPS R8, a dual frequency GPS receiver.

Table 8. Details of the recovered NAMRIA horizontal control point COV-14 used as base station for the LiDAR Acquisition.

Station Name	COV-14	
Order of Accuracy	2nd	
Relative Error (horizontal positioning)	1 in 50,000	
Geographic Coordinates, Philippine Reference of 1992 Datum (PRS 92)	Latitude Longitude Ellipsoidal Height	7° 22’ 16.56505” 125° 51’ 36.23705” 68.09600 meters
Grid Coordinates, Philippine Transverse Mercator Zone 5 (PTM Zone 5 PRS 92)	Easting Northing	594955.891 meters 815116.743 meters
Geographic Coordinates, World Geodetic System 1984 Datum (WGS 84)	Latitude Longitude Ellipsoidal Height	7° 22’ 13.38586” North 125° 51’ 41.73051” East 140.90600 meters
Grid Coordinates, Universal Transverse Mercator Zone 51 North (UTM 51N WGS 1984)	Easting Northing	815772.26 meters 815751.82 meters
BM-Ortho	8.3592m	

Figure 19. COV-14 located in the town plaza fronting Sto. Tomas Municipal Hall in Maco, Compostela Valley



Figure 20. Tagum floodplain flight plans and base station



Figure 21. Tagum floodplain data acquisition LAS output

Table 9. Flight Missions for LiDAR Data Acquisition in Tagum floodplain

Date Surveyed	Name	Flight Plan Area (km^2)	Surveyed Area (km^2)	Area Surveyed within the River Systems (km^2)	Area Surveyed Outside the River Systems (km^2)	No. of Images (Frames)	Flying Hours	
							Hours	Minutes
July 13, 2013	TGM 1A	168.78	163.75	123.26	40.49	No camera data	3	10
July 16, 2013	TGM 1B	120.84	159.9	157.09	2.81	No camera data	3	40
July 17, 2013	TGM 1C	129.23	185.99	184.325	1.665	No camera data	3	20
July 17, 2013	TGM1D	130.57	219.45	216.374	3.076	No camera data	3	10
July 18, 2013	TGM 1E	121.74	33.117	33.117	0	No camera data	1	20
July 19, 2013	TGM 1E	121.74	171.7	171.7	0	No camera data	3	20
July 20, 2013	TGM 1A	168.78	182.52	83.961	98.559	No camera data	3	25
July 23, 2013	TGM 1BS	100.62	93.722	93.722	0	No camera data	3	30
	TGM 1F	64.494	118.48	118.48	0	No camera data		
July 31, 2013	TGM 1AS	147.94	157.2	0	157.2	No camera data	3	10
August 16, 2013	TGM 1BS	40.734	46.396	2.089	44.307	No camera data	3	40

Thirteen missions were conducted to complete the LiDAR Data Acquisition in Tagum floodplain, for a total of 59 hours and 15 minutes of flying time for RP-C9022. Both missions were acquired using the Pegasus LiDAR system. Table 12 shows the total area to be surveyed according to the flight plan and the total area of actual coverage per mission.

Tagum floodplain with an area of 595 sq.km was completely surveyed by Mark Ano, Jasmine Alviar and Christopher Joaquin from July 13-August 16, 2013 as shown in Table 13.

Table 10. Area of Coverage of the LiDAR Data Acquisition in Tagum floodplain

Location	Date Surveyed	Operator	Mission Name	Flood-plain Surveyed Area (km^2)	Total Flood-plain Area (km^2)	Water-shed Surveyed Area (km^2)	Total Water-shed Area (km^2)
Tagum-TAGUM	July 13, 2013	M. Ano	1TGM192A	123.26	595	0	1,909.23
	July 16, 2013	J. Alviar	1TGM1B195A	153.56		3.529	
	July 17, 2013	C. Joaquin	1TGM1C196A	125.66		58.665	
	July 17, 2013	M. Ano	1TGM1D196B	168.92		47.454	
	July 18, 2013	C. Joaquin	1TGM1E197A	29.331		3.786	
	July 19, 2013	M. Ano	1TGM1E198A	108.41		63.29	
	July 20, 2013	J. Alviar	1TGM1AS199A	83.961		0	
	July 23, 2013	M. Ano	1TGM1FBS202A	15.062		197.14	
	July 31, 2013	J. Alviar	1TGMAS210A	0		0	
	August 16, 2013	M. Ano	1TGMS228A	2.089		0	

4.2 LiDAR DATA PROCESSING

4.2.1 Trajectory Computation



Figure 22. Smoothed Performance Metric Parameters of Tagum flight

The Smoothed Performance Metric parameters of the Tagum flight are shown in Figure 21. The x-axis is the time of flight, which is measured by the number of seconds from the midnight of the start of the GPS week. The y-axis is the RMSE value for a particular aircraft position with respect to GPS survey time. The North (Figure 21a) and east (Figure 21b) position RMSE values fall within the prescribed accuracy of 4 centimeter, and all Down (Figure 21c) position RMSE values fall within the prescribed accuracy of 8 centimeter.



Figure 23. Solution Status Parameters of Tagum flight

The Solution Status parameters of the computed trajectory for Tagum flight, which are the number of GPS satellites, Positional Dilution of Precision (PDOP), and the GPS processing mode used are shown in Figure 22. The number of GPS satellites (Figure 22a) graph indicates that the number of satellites during the acquisition was between 8 and 9. The PDOP (Figure 22b) value does not exceed the value of 3, indicating optimal GPS geometry. The processing mode (Figure 22c) varies from 0 to 3, the value 0 corresponds to a Fixed, Narrow-Lane mode, which indicates an optimum solution for trajectory computation by POSPac MMS v6.2; the value 1 corresponds a Wide-Lane mode; and the value 2 corresponds a Float mode. All of the parameters satisfied the accuracy requirements for optimal trajectory solutions as indicated in the methodology.

4.2.2 LiDAR Point Cloud Computation

The LAS data output contains 19 flight lines, with each flight line containing two channels, a feature of the Pegasus system. The result of the boresight correction standard deviation values for both channel 1 and channel 2 are better than the prescribed 0.001°. The position of the LiDAR system is also accurately computed since all GPS position standard deviations are less than 0.04 meter. The attitude of the LiDAR system passed accuracy testing since the standard deviation of the corrected roll and pitch values of the IMU attitudes are less than 0.02 degrees.

4.2.3 LiDAR Data Quality Checking

The LAS boundary of the LiDAR data on top of the SRTM elevation data is shown in Figure 23. The map shows gaps in the LiDAR coverage that are attributed to cloud cover present during the survey.



Figure 24. Coverage of LiDAR data for the Tagum mission

The overlap data for the merged LiDAR data showing the number of channels that pass through a particular location is shown in Figure 24. Since the Pegasus system employs two channels, an average value of 2 (blue) for areas where there are only two overlapping flight lines, and a value of 3 (yellow) or more (red) for areas with three or more overlapping flight lines, are expected.



Figure 25. Image of data overlap for the Tagum mission

The density map for the merged LiDAR data, with the red areas showing the portions of the data that satisfy the 25.0 points per square meter requirement, is shown in Figure 25. It was determined that 67.61% of the total area satisfied the point density requirement.



Figure 26. Density map of merged LiDAR data for the Tagum mission

The elevation difference between overlaps of adjacent flight lines is shown in Figure 26. The default color range is from blue to red, where bright blue areas correspond to a -0.20 m difference, and bright red areas correspond to a +0.20 m difference. Areas with bright red or bright blue need to be investigated further using QT Modeler.



Figure 27. Elevation difference map between flight lines

A screen capture of the LAS data loaded in QT Modeler is shown in Figure 27a. A line graph showing the elevations of the points from all of the flight strips traversed by the profile in red line is shown in Figure 27b. It is evident that there are differences in elevation, but the differences do not exceed the 20-centimeter mark. No reprocessing was necessary for this LiDAR dataset.



Figure 28. Quality checking with the profile tool of QT Modeler

4.2.4 LiDAR Point Cloud Classification and Rasterization

The block system that TerraScan employed for the LiDAR data is shown in Figure 28a generated a total of 1,564 1 kilometer by 1 kilometer blocks. The final classification of the point cloud for a mission in the Tagum floodplain is shown in Figure 28b. The number of points classified to the pertinent categories along with other information for the mission is shown in Table 11.



Figure 29. (a)Tagum floodplains and (b) Tagum classification results in TerraScan

Table 11. Tagum classification results in TerraScan

Pertinent Class	Count
Ground	747,678,735
Low Vegetation	862,493,479
Medium Vegetation	1,248,123,100
High Vegetation	865,039,845
Building	32,668,678
Number of 1km x 1km blocks	1,564
Maximum Height	485.46 m
Minimum Height	56.24 m

An isometric view of an area before (a) and after (b) running the classification routines for the mission is shown in Figure 29. The ground points are in brown, the vegetation is in different shades of green, and the buildings are in cyan. It can be seen that residential structures adjacent or even below canopy are classified correctly, due to the density of the LiDAR data.



Figure 30. Point cloud (a) before and (b) after classification

4.2.5 DEM Editing and Hydro-correction

Snapshots of DTMs before and after the manual editing. Image (a) shows an example of a small stream that suffers from discontinuity of flow due to an existing bridge. The bridge was removed in order to hydrologically correct the flow of water through the river as seen in Image (b).



Figure 31. Images of DTMs before and after manual editing. Image (a) and (b) show an example of a stream before and after it has been edited, respectively.

The extent of the validation survey done by the Data Validation Component (DVC) in Tagum to collect points with which the LiDAR dataset is validated is shown in Figure 31. A total of 1140 control points were collected. The good correlation between the airborne LiDAR elevation values and the ground survey elevation values, which reflects the quality of the LiDAR DTM is shown in Figure 32. The computed RMSE between the LiDAR DTM and the surveyed elevation values is 2.770 centimeters with a standard deviation of 2.771 centimeters. The LE 90 value represents the linear vertical distance that 90% of the sampled DEM points and their respective DVC validation point counterparts should be found from each other. Other statistical information can be found in Table 15. The final DTM and extent of the bathymetric survey done along the river is shown in Figure 33.



Figure 32. Map of Tagum River System with validation survey shown in blue



Figure 33. One-one Correlation plot between topographic and LiDAR data

Table 12. Statistical values for the calibration of flights

Statistical Information	Values (cm)
Min	-4.786
Max	5.584
RMSE	2.770
Standard Deviation	2.771
LE90	4.387



Figure 34. Final DTM of Tagum with validation survey shown in blue

The floodplain extent for Tagum is also presented, showing the completeness of the LiDAR dataset and DSM produced, is shown in Figure 34. Samples of 1 kilometer by 1 kilometer of DSM and DTM are shown in Figure 35 and Figure 36, respectively.



Figure 35. Final DSM in Tagum



Figure 36. Sample 1x1 square kilometer DSM



Figure 37. Sample 1x1 square kilometer DTM

Annexes

Annex A

OPTECH TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION OF THE PEGASUS SENSOR

Parameter	Specification
Operational envelope (1,2,3,4)	150-5000 m AGL, nominal
Laser wavelength	1064 nm
Horizontal accuracy (2)	1/5,500 x altitude, 1σ
Elevation accuracy (2)	< 5-20 cm, 1σ
Effective laser repetition rate	Programmable, 100-500 kHz
Position and orientation system	POS AV ™AP50 (OEM)
Scan width (FOV)	Programmable, 0-75 ˚
Scan frequency (5)	Programmable, 0-140 Hz (effective)
Sensor scan product	800 maximum
Beam divergence	0.25 mrad (1/e)
Roll compensation	Programmable, ±37˚ (FOV dependent)
Vertical target separation distance	<0.7 m
Range capture	Up to 4 range measurements, including 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and last returns
Intensity capture	Up to 4 intensity returns for each pulse, including last (12 bit)
Image capture	5 MP interline camera (standard); 60 MP full frame (optional)
Full waveform capture	12-bit Optech IWD-2 Intelligent Waveform Digitizer
Data storage	Removable solid state disk SSD (SATA II)
Power requirements	28 V, 800 W, 30 A
Dimensions and weight	Sensor: 630 x 540 x 450 mm; 65 kg;
	Control rack: 650 x 590 x 490 mm; 46 kg
Operating Temperature	-10°C to +35°C
Relative humidity	0-95% non-condensing

Annex B

OPTECH TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION OF THE D-8900 AERIAL DIGITAL CAMERA

Parameter	Specification
Camera Head	
Sensor type	60 Mpix full frame CCD, RGB
Sensor format (H x V)	8, 984 x 6, 732 pixels
Pixel size	6µm x 6 µm
Frame rate	1 frame/2 sec.
FMC	Electro-mechanical, driven by piezo technology (patented)
Shutter	Electro-mechanical iris mechanism 1/125 to 1/500++ sec. f-stops: 5.6, 8, 11, 16
Lenses	50 mm/70 mm/120 mm/210 mm
Filter	Color and near-infrared removable filters
Dimensions (H x W x D)	200 x 150 x 120 mm (70 mm lens)
Weight	~4.5 kg (70 mm lens)
Controller Unit	
Computer	Mini-ITX RoHS-compliant small-form-factor embedded computers with AMD TurionTM 64 X2 CPU 4 GB RAM, 4 GB flash disk local storage IEEE 1394 Firewire interface
Removable storage unit	~500 GB solid state drives, 8,000 images
Power consumption	~8 A, 168 W
Dimensions	2U full rack; 88 x 448 x 493 mm
Weight	~15 kg
Image Pre-Processing Software	
Capture One	Radiometric control and format conversion, TIFF or JPEG
Image output	8,984 x 6,732 pixels or 16 bits per channel (180 MB or 360 MB per image)

Annex C

THE SURVEY TEAM

Data Acquisition Component			
Sub-team	Designation	Name	Agency/Affiliation
Data Acquisition Component Leader	Data Component Project Leader –I	ENGR. CZAR JAKIRI S. SARMIENTO	UP-TCAGP
Survey Supervisor	Chief Science Research Specialist (CSRS)	ENGR. CHRISTOPHER CRUZ	UP TCAGP
LiDAR Operation	Supervising Science Research Specialist (Supervising SRS)	LOVELY GRACIA ACUNA	UP TCAGP
LiDAR Operation	Senior Science Research Specialist (SSRS)	MARK GREGORY ANO	
	UP TCAGP		
LiDAR Operation	Senior Science Research Specialist (SSRS)	JASMINE ALVIAR	UP TCAGP
Ground Survey	Senior Science Research Specialist (SSRS)	ENGR. GEROME HIPOLITO	UP TCAGP
Ground Survey	Research Associate	ENGR. JAMES WILBERT BELTRAN	UP TCAGP
Data Download and Transfer	Research Associate	CHRISTOPHER JOAQUIN	UP TCAGP
LiDAR Operation	Airborne Security	SSG. SOBERANO	Philippine Air Force (PAF)
LiDAR Operation	Pilot	FRANCISCO CADENAS	ASIAN AEROSPACE CORP (AAC)
LiDAR Operation	Pilot	JAMAAL CLEMENTE	AAC
LiDAR Operation	Co-pilot	LAWRENCE MADAYAG	AAC
LiDAR Operation	Co-pilot	GRAIUS DELA CRUZ	AAC
LiDAR Operation	Co-pilot	FRANCO JESUS PEPITO	AAC

Annex D

NAMRIA CERTIFICATION

1. COV-1

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Environment and Natural Resources
NATIONAL MAPPING AND RESOURCE INFORMATION AUTHORITY

April 26, 2013

CERTIFICATION

To whom it may concern:

This is to certify that according to the records on file in this office, the requested survey information is as follows -

Province: **COMPOSTELA VALLEY**
Station Name: **COV-14**
Order: **2nd**

Island: **MINDANAO**
Municipality: **MACO**
Barangay: **POBLACION**

		PRS92 Coordinates			
Latitude:	7° 22' 16.56505"	Longitude:	125° 51' 36.23705"	Ellipsoidal Hgt:	68.09600 m.
		WGS84 Coordinates			
Latitude:	7° 22' 13.38586"	Longitude:	125° 51' 41.73051"	Ellipsoidal Hgt:	140.90600 m.
		PTM Coordinates			
Northing:	815116.743 m.	Easting:	594955.891 m.	Zone:	5
		UTM Coordinates			
Northing:	815,751.82	Easting:	815,772.26	Zone:	51

Location Description

COV-14
"COV-14" is in Barangay Poblacion, Maco, Compostela Valley. TO reach the station travel for about 6 kms. from Tagum City towards Maco taking the National Highway until reaching the Municipal Hall Station is located 10m. "SW part of the flagpole. Mark is the head of 4" copper nail embedded in a 0.30 x 0.30 x 1.0 m. concrete monument with the inscription "COV-14 2007 NAMRIA".

Requesting Party: **UP-TCAGP**
Pupose: **Reference**
OR Number: **3943584 B**
T.N.: **2013-0365**

RUEL DM. BELEN, MNSA
Director, Mapping and Geodesy Department

9 9 0 4 2 6 2 0 1 3 1 6 3 4 4 4

CIP/4701/12/09/814

NAMRIA OFFICES:
Main : Lawton Avenue, Fort Bonifacio, 1634 Taguig City, Philippines Tel. No.: (632) 810-4831 to 41
Branch : 421 Barraca St. San Nicolas, 1010 Manila, Philippines, Tel. No. (632) 241-3494 to 98
www.namria.gov.ph

Annex D

NAMRIA CERTIFICATION

B. DV-76

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Environment and Natural Resources
NATIONAL MAPPING AND RESOURCE INFORMATION AUTHORITY

June 11, 2013

CERTIFICATION

To whom it may concern:

This is to certify that according to the records on file in this office, the requested survey information is as follows -

Province: **DAVAO DEL NORTE**
Station Name: **DV-76**

Island: **Mindanao**	Municipality: **CARMEN**	Barangay: **TUGANAY**
Elevation: **8.3592 m.**	Order: **1st Order**	Datum: **Mean Sea Level**

Location Description

DV-76 is in the Province of Davao del Norte, City of Carmen, Barangay Tuganay taking the national highway until reaching the Gov. Miranda Bridge. Station is located at the NE abutment of Gov. Miranda at Kilometer Post KM. 1466+881.

Station mark is the head of 4" copper nail set on a drilled hole and cemented flushed on top of a 15x15cm. cement putty with inscriptions "DV-76, 2007 NAMRIA."

Requesting Party: **UP-TCAGP DREAM**
Pupose: **Reference**
OR Number: **3943775B**
T.N.: **2013-0563**

RUEL DM. BELEN, MNSA
Director, Mapping and Geodesy Department

9 9 0 6 1 1 2 0 1 3 1 5 0 5 4 6

CIP/4701/12/09/814

NAMRIA OFFICES:
Main : Lawton Avenue, Fort Bonifacio, 1634 Taguig City, Philippines Tel. No.: (632) 810-4831 to 41
Branch : 421 Barraca St. San Nicolas, 1010 Manila, Philippines, Tel. No. (632) 241-3494 to 98
www.namria.gov.ph

Annex E

DATA TRANSFER SHEET FOR TAGUM FLOODPLAIN

1. Data Transfer Sheet for 1TGM1A192A, 1TGM1B195A, 1TGM1C196A, 1TGM1D196B, 1TG-M1E198A and 1TGM1FB S202A

DATA TRANSFER SHEET
Aug 12, 2013

DATE	FLIGHT NO.	MISSION NAME	SENSOR	RAW LAS	LOGS	POS	RAW IMAGES	MISSION LOG FILE	RANGE	DIGITIZER	BASE STATION(S)	OPERATOR COMMENTS (DPC LOGS)	FLIGHT PLAN	SERVER LOCATION
Jul 13, 2013	307P	1TGM1A192A	PEGASUS	81.5MB	1.43MB	190MB	N/A	N/A	15.7GB	N/A	4.72MB	1KB	N/A	Z:\Airborne_Raw\307P
Jul 16, 2013	319P	1TGM1B195A	PEGASUS	114MB	1.60MB	222MB	N/A	N/A	21.8GB	N/A	7.77MB	1 KB	N/A	Z:\Airborne_Raw\319P
Jul 17, 2013	323P	1TGM1C196A	PEGASUS	402MB	1.10MB	206MB	N/A	N/A	19.8GB	N/A	6.50MB	1 KB	N/A	Z:\Airborne_Raw\323P
Jul 17, 2013	325P	1TGM1D196B	PEGASUS	225MB	1.26MB	201MB	N/A	N/A	22.3GB	N/A	7.50MB	2 KB	N/A	Z:\Airborne_Raw\325P
Jul 18, 2013	327P	1TGM1EF197A	PEGASUS	26.3MB	319KB	75.1MB	N/A	N/A	2.82GB	N/A	6.68MB	1 KB	N/A	Z:\Airborne_Raw\327P
Jul 19, 2013	331P	1TGM1E198A	PEGASUS	237MB	1.30MB	207MB	N/A	N/A	23.7GB	N/A	7.07MB	1 KB	N/A	Z:\Airborne_Raw\331P
Jul 23, 2013	347P	1TGM1FBS202A	PEGASUS	224MB	1.62MB	220MB	N/A	N/A	23.6MB	N/A	4.49MB	2 KB	N/A	Z:\Airborne_Raw\347P

Received from

Name/Signature Ambray Medina
Position SRS
Date 8/12/13

Received by

Name/Signature JOIDA F. PRIETO
Position SSRS
Date 8/12/13

Verified by

Name/Signature Benjamin Magallon
Position SSRS
Date 8/12/13

Page 1

Annex E

DATA TRANSFER SHEET FOR TAGUM FLOODPLAIN

1. Data Transfer Sheet for 1TGM2C219A, 1TGM2B219B, 1TGM2B220A, 1TGM2CS220B, 1TG-M2A223A, 1TGM2D226A, 1TGM2E227A and 1TGMS228A

DATA TRANSFER SHEET
Aug 22, 2013

DATE	FLIGHT NO.	MISSION NAME	SENSOR	RAW LAS	LOGS	POS	RAW IMAGES	MISSION LOG FILE	RANGE	DIGITIZER	BASE STATION(S)	OPERATOR COMMENTS (DPC LOGS)	FLIGHT PLAN	SERVER LOCATION
Aug 9, 2013	413P	1TGM2C219A	PEGASUS	123 MB	1.82 MB	224 MB	N/A	N/A	22.3 GB	N/A	9.50 MB	310 BYTES	80.7 KB	Z:\Airborne_Raw\413P
Aug 9, 2013	415P	1TGM2B219B	PEGASUS	55.7 MB	868 KB	143 MB	N/A	N/A	10.1 GB	N/A	9.50 MB	228 BYTES	43.8 KB	Z:\Airborne_Raw\415P
Aug 10, 2013	417P	1TGM2B220A	PEGASUS	305 MB	1.45 MB	234 MB	N/A	N/A	30.3 GB	N/A	9.91 MB	845 BYTES	28.9 KB	Z:\Airborne_Raw\417P
Aug 10, 2013	419P	1TGM2CS220B	PEGASUS	247 MB	1.37 MB	217 MB	N/A	N/A	24.7 GB	N/A	9.91 MB	398 BYTES	62.0 KB	Z:\Airborne_Raw\419P
Aug 11, 2013	421P	1TGM2A223A	PEGASUS	290 MB	1.66 MB	254 MB	N/A	N/A	28.7 GB	N/A	5.19 MB	252 BYTES	31.0 KB	Z:\Airborne_Raw\421P
Aug 14, 2013	433P	1TGM2D226A	PEGASUS	242 MB	1.33 MB	228 MB	N/A	N/A	23.8 GB	N/A	3.09 MB	824 BYTES	27.9 KB	Z:\Airborne_Raw\433P
Aug 15, 2013	437P	1TGM2E227A	PEGASUS	288 MB	1.73 MB	252 MB	N/A	N/A	29.1 GB	N/A	7.35 MB	732 BYTES	27.9 KB	Z:\Airborne_Raw\437P
Aug 16, 2013	441P	1TGMS228A	PEGASUS	206 MB	1.30 MB	223 MB	N/A	N/A	21.0 GB	N/A	5.34 MB	177 BYTES	34.9 KB	Z:\Airborne_Raw\441P

Received from

Name/Signature
Position
Date

Received by

Name/Signature
Position
Date

Page 1

Annex F

FLIGHT LOGS

1. Flight Log for 1TGM192A Mission



DREAM Data Acquisition Flight Log

Flight Log No.: 3079

1 LiDAR Operator: M. Ano	2 ALTM Model: Pegasus	3 Mission Name: 1TGM192A	4 Type: VFR	5 Aircraft Type: Cessna T206H	6 Aircraft Identification: RP-C9022
7 Pilot: Clemente	8 Co-Pilot: Tangonan	9 Route: Davao City			
10 Date: 13 July 2013	12 Airport of Departure (Airport, City/Province): Davao City		12 Airport of Arrival (Airport, City/Province): Davao City		
13 Engine On: 0950	14 Engine Off: 1300	15 Total Engine Time: 3+10	16 Take off:	17 Landing:	18 Total Flight Time: 2+45
19 Weather: very cloudy					

20 Remarks: mission completed of voids (Tolor is does not work, cannot descend due to heavy cloud build-up

21 Problems and Solutions: -

Acquisition Flight Approved by: M. Ano — Signature over Printed Name (End User Representative)

Acquisition Flight Certified by: SSgt Rodjemuna Ramirez PAF — Signature over Printed Name (PAF Representative)

Pilot-in-Command: — Signature over Printed Name

Lidar Operator: M. Ano — Signature over Printed Name

Annex F

FLIGHT LOGS

2. Flight Log for 1TGM1B195A Mission



DAR Data Acquisition Flight Log

Flight Log No.: 389P

1 LiDAR Operator: J. Alviar	2 ALTM Model: Pegasus	3 Mission Name: 1TGM1B195A	4 Type: VFR
5 Aircraft Type: Cessna T206H	6 Aircraft Identification: RP-C9022	7 Pilot: J. Clemente	8 Co-Pilot: M. Tangonan
9 Route:	10 Date: 16 July 2013	12 Airport of Departure (Airport, City/Province):	12 Airport of Arrival (Airport, City/Province):
13 Engine On: 1010H	14 Engine Off: 1350H	15 Total Engine Time: 3+40	16 Take off:
17 Landing:	18 Total Flight Time:	19 Weather: very cloudy	

20 Remarks:

TGM 1B @ 750 m AGL
mission completed

21 Problems and Solutions:

no images due to camera failure

Acquisition Flight Approved by: Mark Aug — Signature over Printed Name (End User Representative)

Acquisition Flight Certified by: Sgt Brad Ramirez PAF — Signature over Printed Name (PAF Representative)

Pilot-in-Command: for Capt Clemente — Signature over Printed Name

Lidar Operator: Signature over Printed Name

DREAM

FLIGHT LOGS

3. Flight Log for 1TGM1C196A Mission



DREAM Data Acquisition Flight Log

Flight Log No.: 327P

1 LiDAR Operator: C. Joaquin
2 ALTM Model: PEG
3 Mission Name: 1TGM1C196A
4 Type: VFR
5 Aircraft Type: Cessna T206H
6 Aircraft Identification:
7 Pilot: Tangonan
8 Co-Pilot: Clement
9 Route: Davao - Tagum
10 Date: 17 July 2013
12 Airport of Departure (Airport, City/Province): Davao
12 Airport of Arrival (Airport, City/Province): Davao
13 Engine On: 1020
14 Engine Off: 1340
15 Total Engine Time: 3h+20
16 Take off:
17 Landing:
18 Total Flight Time:
19 Weather: FAIR
20 Remarks: Mission Completed
21 Problems and Solutions:

Acquisition Flight Approved by: C. Joaquin — Signature over Printed Name (End User Representative)

Acquisition Flight Certified by: Sgt Padayhag Ramirez PAF — Signature over Printed Name (PAF Representative)

Pilot-in-Command: for Capt Clement — Signature over Printed Name

LiDAR Operator: C. Joaquin — Signature over Printed Name

DREAM

Annex F

FLIGHT LOGS

4. Flight Log for 1TGM1D196B Mission



PHIL-LiDAR Data Acquisition Flight Log

Flight Log No.: 3025

1 LiDAR Operator: M. Ano | 2 ALTM Model: Pegasus | 3 Mission Name: 1TGM1D196B | 4 Type: VFR | 5 Aircraft Type: Cessna T206H | 6 Aircraft Identification: RP-C9022

7 Pilot: Clemente | 8 Co-Pilot: Tangonan | 9 Route: Davao City

10 Date: 17 July 2013 | 12 Airport of Departure (Airport, City/Province): Davao City | 12 Airport of Arrival (Airport, City/Province): Davao City

13 Engine On: 1430 | 14 Engine Off: 1740 | 15 Total Engine Time: 3+10 | 16 Take off: | 17 Landing: | 18 Total Flight Time: 3+00

19 Weather: overcast overhead

20 Remarks: mission completed

21 Problems and Solutions: n

Acquisition Flight Approved by — Signature over Printed Name (End User Representative)

Acquisition Flight Certified by — Signature over Printed Name (PAF Representative)

Pilot-in-Command — Signature over Printed Name

Lidar Operator — Signature over Printed Name

DREAM

Annex F

FLIGHT LOGS

5. Flight Log for 1TGM1E198A Mission


DREAM Data Acquisition Flight Log

Flight Log No.: 331

1 LiDAR Operator: M. Ano | 2 ALTM Model: Pegasus | 3 Mission Name: 1TGM1E198A | 4 Type: VFR | 5 Aircraft Type: Cessna T206H | 6 Aircraft Identification: RP-C9022

7 Pilot: C. Alcnate | 8 Co-Pilot: Tangonan | 9 Route: Davao City

10 Date: 19 July 2013 | 12 Airport of Departure (Airport, City/Province): Davao City | 12 Airport of Arrival (Airport, City/Province): Davao City

13 Engine On: 0958 | 14 Engine Off: 1315 | 15 Total Engine Time: 3+20 | 16 Take off: | 17 Landing: | 18 Total Flight Time: 3+05

19 Weather: overcast overhead

20 Remarks: mission completed;

21 Problems and Solutions:

Acquisition Flight Approved by: Mark/Ano — Signature over Printed Name (End User Representative)

Acquisition Flight Certified by: Sgt Rodyanna Dos Ramirez PAF — Signature over Printed Name (PAF Representative)

Pilot-in-Command: For Capt. Clemente — Signature over Printed Name

Lidar Operator: Mark/Ano — Signature over Printed Name

Annex F

FLIGHT LOGS

6. Flight Log for 1TGM1AS199A Mission

DREAM Data Acquisition Flight Log — Flight Log No.: 335

1 LiDAR Operator: J. Alviar	2 ALTM Model:	3 Mission Name: 1TGM1AS199A	4 Type: VFR	5 Aircraft Type: Cessna T206H	6 Aircraft Identification:
7 Pilot:	8 Co-Pilot:	9 Route:			
10 Date: 20 July 2013	12 Airport of Departure (Airport, City/Province):		12 Airport of Arrival (Airport, City/Province):		
13 Engine On: 1050H	14 Engine Off: 1415H	15 Total Engine Time: 3+25	16 Take off:	17 Landing:	18 Total Flight Time:
19 Weather					

20 Remarks:

mission completed

21 Problems and Solutions:

Acquisition Flight Approved by	Acquisition Flight Certified by	Pilot-in-Command	Lidar Operator
Signature over Printed Name (End User Representative)	Signature over Printed Name (PAF Representative)	Signature over Printed Name	Signature over Printed Name

DREAM
Disaster Risk Exposure and Assessment for Mitigation

Annex F

FLIGHT LOGS

7. Flight Log for 1TGM1FBS202A Mission



DREAM Data Acquisition Flight Log

Flight Log No.: 397

1 LiDAR Operator: M. Ano	2 ALTM Model:	3 Mission Name: 1TGM1FBS202A	4 Type: VFR	5 Aircraft Type: Cessna T206H
6 Aircraft Identification: RP-C9022				
7 Pilot:	8 Co-Pilot: Tangonan	9 Route: Davao - Tagum		
10 Date: July 03, 2013	12 Airport of Departure (Airport, City/Province): Davao	12 Airport of Arrival (Airport, City/Province): Davao		
13 Engine On: 1145H	14 Engine Off: 1515H	15 Total Engine Time: 3+30	16 Take off:	17 Landing:
18 Total Flight Time:				

19 Weather

20 Remarks:

mission completed

21 Problems and Solutions:

Acquisition Flight Approved by	Acquisition Flight Certified by	Pilot-in-Command	Lidar Operator
Signature over Printed Name (End User Representative)	Signature over Printed Name (PAF Representative)	Signature over Printed Name	Signature over Printed Name

DREAM

Annex F

FLIGHT LOGS

8. Flight Log for 1TGMAS210A Mission



DREAM Data Acquisition Flight Log

Flight Log No.: 379

1 LIDAR Operator: J. Alviar	2 ALTM Model: Pegasus	3 Mission Name: 1TGMAS210A	4 Type: VFR	5 Aircraft Type: Cessna T206H	6 Aircraft Identification: RP-C9022
7 Pilot: J. Clemente	8 Co-Pilot: M. Tangonan	9 Route: Davao - Tagum			
10 Date: July 31, 2013	12 Airport of Departure (Airport, City/Province): Davao		12 Airport of Arrival (Airport, City/Province): Davao		
13 Engine On: 1105H	14 Engine Off: 1415H	15 Total Engine Time: 3+10	16 Take off:	17 Landing:	18 Total Flight Time:
19 Weather					
20 Remarks: Mission completed					
21 Problems and Solutions:					

Acquisition Flight Approved by	Acquisition Flight Certified by	Pilot-in-Command	Lidar Operator
Signature over Printed Name (End User Representative)	Signature over Printed Name (PAF Representative)	Signature over Printed Name	Signature over Printed Name

DREAM

Annex F

FLIGHT LOGS

9. Flight Log for 1TGM2B220A Mission



DREAM Data Acquisition Flight Log

Flight Log No.: 419

1 LiDAR Operator: J. Alviar	2 ALTM Model:	3 Mission Name: 1TGM2B220A	4 Type: VFR	5 Aircraft Type: Cessna T206H
6 Aircraft Identification: RP-C9022				
7 Pilot: M. Tangonan	8 Co-Pilot: C. Alfonso	9 Route: Davao - Tagum		
10 Date: Aug 10, 2013	12 Airport of Departure (Airport, City/Province): Davao		12 Airport of Arrival (Airport, City/Province): Davao	
13 Engine On: 0920 H	14 Engine Off: 1705 H	15 Total Engine Time: 3+45	16 Take off:	17 Landing:
18 Total Flight Time:				

19 Weather

20 Remarks:

Mission completed.

21 Problems and Solutions:

Acquisition Flight Approved by	Acquisition Flight Certified by	Pilot-in-Command	Lidar Operator
Signature over Printed Name (End User Representative)	Signature over Printed Name (PAF Representative)	Signature over Printed Name	Signature over Printed Name

DREAM

FLIGHT LOGS

10. Flight Log for 1TGM2C219A Mission

DREAM Data Acquisition Flight Log

Flight Log No.: 415

1 LiDAR Operator: C. Joaquin	2 ALTM Model: Pegasus	3 Mission Name: 1TGM2C219A	4 Type: VFR
5 Aircraft Type: Cessna T206H	6 Aircraft Identification: RP-C9022		
7 Pilot: M. Tangonan	8 Co-Pilot: C. Alfonso	9 Route:	
10 Date: Aug 9, 2013	12 Airport of Departure (Airport, City/Province):	12 Airport of Arrival (Airport, City/Province):	
13 Engine On: 1025H	14 Engine Off: 1905H	15 Total Engine Time: 2+40	16 Take off:
17 Landing:	18 Total Flight Time:		
19 Weather			
20 Remarks: Mission completed			
21 Problems and Solutions:			

Acquisition Flight Approved by	Acquisition Flight Certified by	Pilot-in-Command	Lidar Operator
Signature over Printed Name (End User Representative)	Signature over Printed Name (PAF Representative)	Capt. Mark Tangonan Signature over Printed Name	Signature over Printed Name

DREAM

Annex F

FLIGHT LOGS

11. Flight Log for 1TGM2B219B Mission



DREAM Data Acquisition Flight Log

Flight Log No.: 417

1 LiDAR Operator: M. Ano	2 ALTM Model: Pegasus	3 Mission Name: 1TGM2B219B	4 Type: VFR	5 Aircraft Type: Cessna T206H	6 Aircraft Identification: RP-C9022
7 Pilot: M. Tangonan	8 Co-Pilot: C. Alfonso	9 Route: Davao - Tagum			
10 Date: Aug 9, 2013	12 Airport of Departure (Airport, City/Province): Davao		12 Airport of Arrival (Airport, City/Province): Davao		
13 Engine On: 1525 H	14 Engine Off: 1750 H	15 Total Engine Time: 2+25	16 Take off:	17 Landing:	18 Total Flight Time:

19 Weather

20 Remarks:

mission completed

21 Problems and Solutions:

Acquisition Flight Approved by	Acquisition Flight Certified by	Pilot-in-Command	Lidar Operator
Mark Rio	Sgt Pradyumna Ramirez PAF	CAPT. MARK TANGONAN	M. Ano
Signature over Printed Name (End User Representative)	Signature over Printed Name (PAF Representative)	Signature over Printed Name	Signature over Printed Name

DREAM

FLIGHT LOGS

12. Flight Log for 1TGM2A223A Mission

PAF Data Acquisition Flight Log

Flight Log No.: 423

1 LiDAR Operator: M. Ano | 2 ALTM Model: Pegasus | 3 Mission Name: 1TGM2A223A | 4 Type: VFR | 5 Aircraft Type: Cessna T206H | 6 Aircraft Identification: RP-C9022

7 Pilot: M. Tangonan | 8 Co-Pilot: C. Alfonso | 9 Route: Davao - Tagum

10 Date: Aug 11, 2015 | 12 Airport of Departure (Airport, City/Province): Davao | 12 Airport of Arrival (Airport, City/Province): Davao

13 Engine On: 1055H | 14 Engine Off: 1500H | 15 Total Engine Time: 4+05 | 16 Take off: | 17 Landing: | 18 Total Flight Time:

19 Weather

20 Remarks:

Mission completed.

21 Problems and Solutions:

Acquisition Flight Approved by: M. Ano — Signature over Printed Name (End User Representative)

Acquisition Flight Certified by: Sgt Rodmund Ramirez PAF — Signature over Printed Name (PAF Representative)

Pilot-in-Command: Capt. Mark Tangonan — Signature over Printed Name

Lidar Operator: M. Ano — Signature over Printed Name

DREAM

Annex F

FLIGHT LOGS

13. Flight Log for 1TGM2D226A Mission



DREAM Data Acquisition Flight Log — Flight Log No.: 935

1 LiDAR Operator: J. Alviar	2 ALTM Model: Pegasus	3 Mission Name: 1TGM2D226A	4 Type: VFR	5 Aircraft Type: Cessna T206H	6 Aircraft Identification: RP-C9022
7 Pilot: M. Tangonan	8 Co-Pilot: C. Alfonso	9 Route: Davao - Tagum			
10 Date: Aug 14, 2013	12 Airport of Departure (Airport, City/Province): Davao	12 Airport of Arrival (Airport, City/Province): Davao			
13 Engine On: 1145H	14 Engine Off: 1530H	15 Total Engine Time: 3+45	16 Take off:	17 Landing:	18 Total Flight Time:
19 Weather					

20 Remarks: Mission completed

21 Problems and Solutions:

Acquisition Flight Approved by — Signature over Printed Name (End User Representative)

Acquisition Flight Certified by — Signature over Printed Name (PAF Representative)

Pilot-in-Command — Signature over Printed Name

Lidar Operator — Signature over Printed Name

DREAM

FLIGHT LOGS

14. Flight Log for 1TGM2E227A Mission



DREAM Data Acquisition Flight Log

Flight Log No.: 935

1 LIDAR Operator: J. Alviar 2 ALTM Model: Pegasus 3 Mission Name: 1TGM2D226A 4 Type: VFR 5 Aircraft Type: Cessna T206H 6 Aircraft Identification: RP-C9022

7 Pilot: M. Tangonan 8 Co-Pilot: C. Alfonso 9 Route: Davao – Tagum

10 Date: Aug 19, 2013 12 Airport of Departure (Airport, City/Province): Davao 12 Airport of Arrival (Airport, City/Province): Davao

13 Engine On: 1145H 14 Engine Off: 1530H 15 Total Engine Time: 3+45 16 Take off: 17 Landing: 18 Total Flight Time:

19 Weather

20 Remarks:

Mission completed

21 Problems and Solutions:

Acquisition Flight Approved by: Signature over Printed Name (End User Representative)

Acquisition Flight Certified by: Signature over Printed Name (PAF Representative)

Pilot-in-Command: CAPT. MARK TANGONAN Signature over Printed Name

Lidar Operator: Signature over Printed Name

DREAM

Annex F

FLIGHT LOGS

15. Flight Log for 1TGMS228A Mission

DREAM Data Acquisition Flight Log

Flight Log No.: 439

1 LiDAR Operator: C. Joaquin | 2 ALTM Model: Pegasus | 3 Mission Name: 1TGMS228A | 4 Type: VFR | 5 Aircraft Type: Cessna T206H | 6 Aircraft Identification: RP-C9022

7 Pilot: M. Tangonan | 8 Co-Pilot: C. Alfonso | 9 Route: Davao - Tagum

10 Date: Aug 15, 2013 | 12 Airport of Departure (Airport, City/Province): Davao | 12 Airport of Arrival (Airport, City/Province): Davao

13 Engine On: 1005H | 14 Engine Off: 1420H | 15 Total Engine Time: 4+15 | 16 Take off: | 17 Landing: | 18 Total Flight Time:

19 Weather

20 Remarks:

Mission completed.

21 Problems and Solutions:

Acquisition Flight Approved by: Signature over Printed Name (End User Representative)

Acquisition Flight Certified by: SSgt Rodolfo Ramirez PAF — Signature over Printed Name (PAF Representative)

Pilot-in-Command: CAPT. MARK TANGONAN — Signature over Printed Name

Lidar Operator: C. Joaquin — Signature over Printed Name

DREAM

DREAM

Disaster RIsk and Exposure Assessment for Mitigation